	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	IST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
				The state of the s	PLANNING & DES	SIGN PHASE				
It is impor	rtant to note that specialist planning and design p	phase impacts v	•		•			sed on sensitivity data and constraints provided b	y the various special	ists.
			The planni		<u> </u>	nerefore mitigated at I	Planning Phase.			
None identified by special	vliet			AG	GRICULTURAL IMPAC	CT ASSESSMENT				
	130				AQUATIC IMPACT A	ASSESSMENT				
None identified by special	list					1007000 07017				
None identified by special	rlict			A	AVIFAUNAL IMPACT	ASSESSMENT				
None inchesped by Special					BAT IMPACT ASS	SESSMENT				
None identified by special	list									
None identified by special	~lint				HERITAGE IMPACT	ASSESSMENT				
None identified by Special	ist				NOISE IMPACT AS	SSESSMENT				
None identified by special	Mist									
None identified by special				PALA	AENTOLOGICAL IMPA	ACT ASSESSMENT				
None identified by special	ist			RIV	VERINE RABBIT IMPA	ACT ASSESSMENT				
None identified by special	Mist									
None identified by special	".			SOCI	CIO-ECONOMIC IMPA	ACT ASSESSMENT				
None іденијіви <i>пу эрсым</i>	ist			TERREST	RIAL BIODIVERSITY	Y IMPACT ASSESSMENT				
None identified by special	list									
None identified by special	. Itak				VISUAL IMPACT AS	SSESSMENT				
None identified by special	ist				WAKE EFFECT	T STUDY				
None identified by special	list									
					CONSTRUCTIO					
OCCUPATION OF LAND	Agricultural land directly occupied by the	DIRECT	STUDY	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	ACT ASSESSMENT DEFINITE	LOW -	↑ The allowable development limit on land of low	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
OCCUPATION OF LAND	development infrastructure will become restricted		AREA	TERM	FOSSIBLE	<u></u>	LOW	and medium agricultural sensitivity with a land	NE VENSIBLE	
	for agricultural use, with consequent potential loss	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	DEFINITE	LOW -	capability of < 8, as this site has been verified to	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	of agricultural productivity for the duration of the project lifetime. The small and widely distributed		AREA	TERM	NO IMPAG	ACT		be, is 2.5 ha per MW. This would allow the proposed facility of 270 MW to occupy an	NO IMPA	PACT
	nature of the agricultural footprint of the facility				NO IIII A	CI		agricultural footprint of 675 hectares. The wind	NO IIV P	ACT
	means that only an insignificant proportion of the	1						facility being assessed will occupy an		
	available agricultural land is impacted in this way.	1						agricultural footprint of < 81 hectares. It is therefore confirmed that the agricultural		
	The potential cumulative agricultural impact of							footprint of this development will be well within		
	importance is a regional loss (including by							the allowable limit. It will in fact be		
	degradation) of future agricultural production potential.	1						approximately eight times smaller than what the development limits allow.		
		1								
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it		1					The state of the s		
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their		1					The state of the s		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same		1							
	developer and the FMPrs will be prepared to the		•							
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related									

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	to disturbance of agricultural system as no known construction activities are present on site.									
SOIL EROSION AND DEGRADATION	Erosion can occur as a result of the alteration of the land surface run-off characteristics, predominantly	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	Mitigation measures to prevent soil degradation are all inherent in the project design and / or are	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	through the establishment of hard surface areas including roads. Soil erosion is completely	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	standard, best-practice for construction sites. A system of storm water management, which will	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	will be an inherent part of the road engineering on site and standard, best practice erosion control measures recommended and included in the EMPr, are likely to be effective in preventing soil erosion. Loss of topsoil can result from poor topsoil management during construction related excavations.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of agricultural system as no known construction activities are present on site.							engineering on site. Any occurrences of erosion must be attended to immediately and the integrity of the erosion control system at that point must be amended to prevent further erosion from occurring there.  Any excavations done during the construction phase, in areas that will be re-vegetated at the end of the construction phase, must separate the upper 30 cm of topsoil from the rest of the excavation spoils and store it in a separate stockpile. When the excavation is back-filled, the topsoil must be backfilled last, so that it is at the surface. Topsoil should only be stripped in areas that are excavated. Across the majority of the site, including construction lay down areas, it will be much more effective for rehabilitation, to retain the topsoil in place. If levelling requires significant cutting, topsoil should be temporarily stockpiled and then re-spread after cutting, so that there is a covering of topsoil over the entire surface.		
					AQUATIC IMPACT	ASSESSMENT				
VEHICULAR MOVEMENT	Loss of freshwater vegetation, associated habitat	DIRECT	LOCALISED	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	▲ All development footprint areas to remain as	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
(TRANSPORTATION OF CONSTRUCTION	·	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	TERM MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	small as possible and vegetation clearing to be limited to what is essential;	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
MATERIALS)	in disturbances to soils, and increased risk of sedimentation/erosion; and Soil and stormwater contamination from oils and hydrocarbons originating from construction vehicles.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of aquatic habitats as no known construction activities are present on site.	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMPA	СТ		<ul> <li>Retain as much indigenous vegetation as possible;</li> <li>All vegetation removed as part of the site clearing activities (specifically where large areas need to be cleared) must be transported from the construction site (may not be stockpiled) and disposed of at a registered waste disposal facility;</li> <li>During construction of the surface infrastructure within the 100 m GN509 Zone of Regulation (e.g., access roads), regular spraying of non-potable water or the use of chemical dust suppressants, that are approved for use near freshwater ecosystems must be implemented to reduce dust and to ensure no</li> </ul>	NO IMP	ACT
REMOVAL OF VEGETATION AND ASSOCIATED	Earthworks could be potential sources of sediment, which may be transported as runoff into the	DIRECT	LOCALISED	MEDIUM TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	smothering of vegetation within the freshwater features occurs from excessive dust settling. It must be noted that specifics as to what type of	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	downgradient freshwater ecosystem areas;		STUDY	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	dust suppressant (grey water vs. chemical dust	REVERSIBLE	LOW -

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
DISTURBANCES TO SOILS	Exposure of soils, leading to increased runoff, and erosion, and thus increased sedimentation of the freshwater features; Increased sedimentation of the freshwater features, leading to smothering of the vegetation associated with the freshwater features; and Proliferation of alien and/or invasive vegetation as a result of disturbances.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of aquatic habitats as no known construction activities are present on site.	NO-GO	AREA	TERM	NO IMPA	ACT		suppressant) that will be utilised as part of the proposed development was not available at the time of assessment. Should this detail become available, it is recommended that the freshwater ecologist provide a statement on the suitability of the use of the proposed dust suppressant;  The freshwater features outside the construction footprint not having authorised road crossings must be considered as no-go areas. No construction vehicles, nor construction personnel or vehicles may traverse through these freshwater features (except on approved road crossings);  As far as possible, existing roads must be utilised to gain access to sites;  Contractor laydown areas, and material storage facilities to remain outside of the freshwater features and their associated 100 m NEMA / GN509 ZoR as it would also help the proponent avoid the LN3 activities triggered within 100 m of watercourses;  All vehicle re-fuelling is to take place in specifically designated re-fuelling areas that must be located outside of the 100 m NEMA / GN509 ZoR; and'  No vegetation may be removed from the 100 m ZoR surrounding the freshwater features where no infrastructure is planned, as this provides a natural buffer zone around the freshwater features which plays a role in dispersing surface runoff into the freshwater features, and thus prevents sedimentation and erosion thereof.	NO IMPA	ACT
REMOVAL OF VEGETATION AND	Earthworks could be potential sources of sediment, which may be transported as runoff into the	DIRECT	LOCALISED	MEDIUM TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Though the proposed turbines are located outside the 100 m GN509 Zone of Regulation,	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
TOPSOIL AND ASSOCIATED	downgradient freshwater ecosystem areas; Disturbances of soils leading to increased alien	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	MEDIUM TERM	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	indirect impacts to the receiving freshwater environment are likely during construction,	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
STOCKPILING; GROUND-BREAKING AND EARTHWORKS RELATING TO FOUNDATIONS AND TRENCHES; MIXING AND CASTING OF CONCRETE FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES; BACKFILLING OF EXCAVATED AND DISTURBED AREAS; AND MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES BY CONSTRUCTION	vegetation proliferation within the terrestrial buffer zone surrounding the freshwater features, with the potential to affect the freshwater habitat; Altered runoff patterns within the local catchment of the freshwater features, potentially leading to increased erosion and sedimentation of the receiving freshwater environment; Potential impacts on the water quality of surface water runoff (when present) which may potentially enter the downgradient freshwater features and contamination of soils due to concrete casting; and Potential of backfill material entering the freshwater features, increasing the sediment loads therein.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT		particularly on the freshwater features located downgradient of the turbines. As such appropriate mitigation measures are provided.  The contractor laydown areas, material storage facilities, and the O&M building (if applicable) must remain outside of the freshwater features. It is also strongly recommended that these be located outside the 100 m NEMA/GN509 ZoR of the freshwater features. This in itself is considered a mitigation measure which complies with the mitigation hierarchy as advocated by the DFFE et al. (2013).  With regards to ground-breaking activities outside the delineated extent of a freshwater	NO IMPA	ACT

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF	SPATIAL	TEMPORAL	CERTAINTY	SEVERITY /	SIGNIFICANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/	SIGNIFICANCE
13301	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	IMPACT	SCALE (EXTENT)	SCALE (DURATION)	SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	BENEFICIAL SCALE	PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	MITIGATION	POST- MITIGATION
ERSONNEL	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of aquatic habitats as no known earthworks activities are present on site.							feature:  During excavation activities, the topsoil and vegetation must be stockpiled separately from other material outside the delineated extent of the freshwater features; Excavated materials must not be contaminated, and it must be ensured that the minimum surface area is taken up by any stockpiled materials. The mixture of the lower and upper layers of the excavated soil must be kept to a minimum, so as for later use as backfill material after construction has commenced; All exposed soils must be protected from wind using tarpaulins for the duration of the construction phase to prevent potential erosion and sedimentation of the freshwater features; Suitable drainage must be insured along the turbine foundations, in order to ensure that water does not pond or drain in a concentrated manner into the nearby freshwater features. This must be considered as part of the stormwater management plan and be overseen by the Environmental Control Officer (ECO); Construction of the proposed surface infrastructure may result in disturbance to the natural buffer zone surrounding the freshwater features which may result in the reduction of surface roughness. This can be mitigated by ensuring that no concentrated runoff from the surface infrastructure construction areas enter the freshwater features by installing silt traps or placing haybales down gradient of the construction footprint (until suitable basal vegetation cover has been restored) to ensure no sediment laden or concentrated runoff generates from the construction footprint; and It is highly recommended that an alien vegetation management plan be compiled during the planning phase and implemented concurrently with		

		SYNTHESIS OF	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
								<ul> <li>✓ With regards to concrete mixing on site:         <ul> <li>Concrete and cement-related mortars can be toxic to aquatic life. Proper handling and disposal must minimise or eliminate discharges into the freshwater features. High alkalinity associated with cement, can dramatically affect and contaminate both soil and ground water. The following measures must be adhered to:</li></ul></li></ul>		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
								completion of construction or used in the rehabilitation process.  Rehabilitation of the construction footprint areas:  All footprint areas which have been compacted must be ripped and revegetated with indigenous vegetation as soon as the construction activities have been completed. This will prevent soil erosion and the creation of gullies within the operational area; and  The operational area must regularly be inspected for alien and invasive vegetation species which might have established due to the construction activity related disturbances.		
CREATION OF NEW ROAD CROSSINGS	Earthworks and exposure of soil could result in sedimentation of the freshwater features, which	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	MEDIUM TERM	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	It is imperative that all construction works be undertaken during the dry periods when there	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
WITHIN THE SOUT RIVER AND THE LOWER		CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	MEDIUM TERM	POSSIBLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	is no flow within the freshwater features, and thus no diversion of flow would be necessary. It	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
FOOTHILL TRIBUTARIES ASSOCIATED WITH THE KLEIN BRAK AND SOUT RIVER SYSTEMS  AND  CREATION OF NEW ROAD CROSSINGS WITHIN THE MOUNTAIN STREAM DRAINAGE LINES (NO RIPARIAN VEGETATION) AND UPPER FOOTHILL TRIBUTARIES (NO RIPARIAN VEGETATION) ASSOCIATED WITH THE KLEIN BRAK AND SOUT RIVER SYSTEMS	vegetation associated with the freshwater features; Altered water quality (if surface water is present) as a result of vehicle movement and construction activities; and Proliferation of alien and/or invasive vegetation as a result of disturbances.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be high should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of aquatic habitats as no known road work activities are present on site.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT		is also recommended that existing crossings through freshwater features be prioritised for upgrading rather than development of new crossings, where possible;  The throughflow structures must be designed to ensure that the structures are geotechnically sound and that they are hydraulically stable, even if a 1:100 year flood event was to occur. The designs must include culverts installed intermittently to ensure a free draining landscape. It is recommended that a suitably qualified hydrologist be consulted to provide guidance on the relevant sizes and width requirements to ensure that hydraulic functioning of the system is maintained;  In addition, the crossings must be designed such that should they be overtopped, they remain stable and do not lead to excessive downstream erosion and incision. It must be ensured that the final design accounts for appropriate wetting frequencies and patterns are maintained in the pre-development condition (with input from the freshwater ecologist, where necessary);  The reaches of the freshwater features where no activities are planned to occur must be considered no-go areas. These no-go areas can be marked at a maximum distance of 5 m upstream and downstream of the proposed road upgrade crossing. This 5 m construction Right of Way would allow for construction	NO IMPA	ACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
								personal, vehicles (if applicable) to enter the freshwater feature crossing where the road is proposed to be constructed;  The clearing of vegetation within the footprint area must be kept to a minimum to avoid unnecessary disturbance within the active channel;  The removed vegetation must be stockpiled outside of the delineated boundary of a freshwater feature. The footprint areas of these stockpiles must be kept to a minimum, and may not exceed a height of 2 m. Should the vegetation not be suitable for reinstatement after the construction phase or be alien/invasive vegetation species, all material must be disposed of at a registered garden refuse site and may not be burned or mulched on site;  See impact below with regards to excavation and soil compaction activities within the freshwater features.  See impact above for control measures specific to concrete works.		
SITE PREPARATION PRIOR TO	Earthworks and exposure of soil could result in sedimentation of the freshwater features, which	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	MEDIUM TERM	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	The construction footprint must be limited to a construction Right of Way that comprises a 5 m	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
CONSTRUCTION	may be transported as runoff into the downstream	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	construction buffer (upstream and downstream	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
ACTIVITIES; REMOVAL OF VEGETATION AND	freshwater ecosystem areas and may smother vegetation associated with the freshwater	NO-GO	AREA	TERM	NO IMPA	<u> </u> ACT		of the freshwater ecosystem crossing) only.  Lupgrading of the informal roads must take	NO IMP	ACT
ASSOCIATED SOIL	ecosystem areas; and Proliferation of alien and/or invasive vegetation as							cognisance of the delineated extent of the freshwater feature traversed by the existing		
DISTURBANCES TO SOIL; DISTURBANCES TO SOIL	a result of disturbances.							informal access road and that located within		
OF THE FRESHWATER								close proximity to the road. Should the road be		
FEATURES; MOVEMENT								increased in width, the road must be expanded		
OF CONSTRUCTION MACHINERY/ VEHICLES	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							on the side opposite of a freshwater feature, to ensure that the remaining natural buffer		
WITHIN THE	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							between the access road and the freshwater		
FRESHWATER	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same							feature remains intact;		
FEATURES; AND POSSIBLE SPILLS / LEAKS	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.							<ul> <li>Material to be used (gravel – if applicable) as part of the upgrading of the existing roads must</li> </ul>		
FROM CONSTRUCTION	No-go alternative would result in no impact related							be stockpiled outside the delineated extent of		
VEHICLES.	to disturbance of aquatic habitats as no known							the freshwater features (preferably at least 32		
	construction activities are present on site.							m from the freshwater feature) to prevent sedimentation thereof and to avoid any other		
								vegetation being impacted by the construction		
								activities. These stockpiles may not exceed a		
								height of 2 m and must be protected from wind using tarpaulins;		
								The disturbed area surrounding the road must		
								be revegetated with suitable indigenous		
								vegetation to prevent the establishment of alien vegetation species and to prevent erosion		
								from occurring;		
	1		1					,· -··· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

		SYNTHESIS OI	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
					LIKELIHOOD)			<ul> <li>⚠ The alien vegetation management plan as compiled by the terrestrial/botanical ecologist is highly recommended and supported by the freshwater specialist and must be implemented concurrently with the commencement of construction; and</li> <li>⚠ All existing alien and invasive vegetation must be removed. All material must be disposed of at a registered garden refuse site and may not be burned or mulched on site.</li> <li>⚠ With regards to excavation and soil compaction activities within the freshwater ecosystems (including that associated with the installation of underground cabling)</li> <li>⚠ Although the proposed freshwater ecosystems crossings upgrades are associated with generally existing farm roads, and as such the most significant impacts have already occurred, the existing gravel roads are relatively small with no formal through flow structures in most cases. The following are applicable with regards to excavation works and any concrete related activities:</li> <li>⚠ During the excavation activities, any soil/sediment or silt removed from the freshwater feature may be temporarily stockpiled in the road reserve but outside the delineated extent of the freshwater feature. These stockpiles may not exceed 2 m in height, and their footprint must be kept to a minimum. Stockpiling of removed materials may only be temporary (may only be stockpiled during the period of construction at a particular site) and must be disposed of at a registered waste disposal facility;</li> <li>⚠ During trenching activities, seepage water may be present within the trench-invariably this will be filled with silt and be muddy. Therefore, any seepage must not be discharged straight into the river channel but through a silt trapping area first before entering the downstream reach;</li> <li>♠ Excavated materials must not be contaminated, and it must be ensured that the minimum surface area is taken up. Mixture of the lower and upper layers of the excavated soil must be kept to a minimum, for later</li></ul>		
								stored separately and may not be contaminated. Furthermore, the soil layers		

	SYN	ITHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST TIVIPA	CIS AS EXII	<i><b>TACIED FROIVI</b></i>	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
								must be placed in the same order and the topsoil returned last;  Care must be taken to ensure that no scouring or erosion occurs as a result of the proposed culvert crossing. Installation of riprap or gabion mattresses and/or concrete aprons associated with any culverts;  All construction material (with specific mention of prefabricated culvert structures) must be stockpiled in the laydown area and must only be imported to the construction site when required;  Machinery/vehicles used to install culvert structures must be parked on the existing road surface and may not enter the freshwater features; and  Reno-mattresses or riprap must be installed at the outlet side of the culvert/bridge structures to ensure energy dissipation and prevent concentrated runoff into the downstream freshwater feature. The reno mattress/riprap must be installed flush with the culvert outlet.  See impact 3 above for control measures specific to concrete works.		
				Α	VIFAUNAL IMPACT	T ASSESSMENT		.,,		
DISPLACEMENT	Disturbance during the construction, operational	DIRECT	STUDY	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE -	→ Disturbance can be managed and mitigated	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -
THROUGH	and decommissioning phases can negatively affect		AREA	TERM		SEVERE		most effectively at the design stage by avoiding		
DISTURBANCE		CUMULATIVE	STUDY	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	HIGH -	important nesting, roosting and foraging areas	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
	increasing stress, decreasing food and habitat availability, causing displacement into potentially	NO 60	AREA	TERM	210 1245	SEVERE		of sensitive species during site selection and layout design, which has been achieved for the	A10 1840	ACT
	less suitable neighbouring environments, and	NO-GO						layout design, which has been achieved for the		ACI
	less suitable fielghbouring environments, and				NO IMPA	ACT		1 1	NO IMP	
	ultimately notentially decreasing reproductive				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).	NO IMP	
	ultimately potentially decreasing reproductive success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017,				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017,				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).	NO IMP	
					NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease with the completion of the phases and is reversible.				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease with the completion of the phases and is reversible. The impact severity is potentially moderately				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease with the completion of the phases and is reversible. The impact severity is potentially moderately severe if breeding areas of SCC are affected. This				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease with the completion of the phases and is reversible. The impact severity is potentially moderately severe if breeding areas of SCC are affected. This results in the significance of the impact rated as				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).  The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as potentially negative and would affect the avifauna of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some displacement is certain to occur, while some attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease with the completion of the phases and is reversible. The impact severity is potentially moderately severe if breeding areas of SCC are affected. This				NO IMPA	ACT		proposed development (embedded mitigation).  In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of the site conducted within the month prior to commencement of construction can identify areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on	NO IMP	

	SYN	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.									
DISPLACEMENT THROUGH HABITAT	According to the project description the proposed	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	LONG-TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY SEVERE	MODERATE -	Reversibility is considered to be possible with	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -
LOSS	permanent development footprint is relatively	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG-TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	HIGH -	rehabilitation to some degree for the construction phase.	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
	small within the development site, some habitat		AREA			SEVERE		Following site selection mitigation is only		
	loss will definitely occur. Many bird species will persist within the operational WEF site, due to the relatively small footprint, however some avian species may be displaced from the area. Some habitat could occur due to the road and cable network and this would impact mainly on terrestrial species such as Ludwig's Bustard, Karoo Korhaan, Northern Black Korhaan. The impact of habitat loss on avifauna is negative and would affect the site directly and surrounding areas indirectly through displacement. Therefore, the spatial extent of the impact is rated as the study area.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.	NO-GO			NO IMPA			marginally possible by retaining as much of the indigenous vegetation as possible, minimising the footprint of all associated infrastructure, including buildings, electrical infrastructure and the width and length of roads, and rehabilitating as many disturbed areas as possible following construction.  * Before construction and decommissioning an avifaunal walkthrough can identify any active nesting and breeding sites, which must be protected until the breeding has concluded.	NO IMP	
MORTALITY FROM COLLISIONS WITH	Birds can collide with wind turbines and the monopoles if they do not avoid them (Kunz et al.	DIRECT CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL REGIONAL	LONG-TERM LONG-TERM		SEVERE SEVERE	HIGH -	Pre-construction monitoring in line with Best Practice Guidelines.	ACHIEVABLE ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE - MODERATE -
TURBINES	monopoles if they do not avoid them (kunz et al. 2007), and their ability to avoid turbines can be site, species- and weather- and turbine-specific (Cook et al. 2014). Drewitt & Langston 2006, Marques et al. 2014). Mortalities from collisions with turbines can vary greatly between sites (Sovacool 2009) and the effect of mortalities on the species population can vary greatly depending on the species resilience, with large-bodies, long-living species with a low reproductive rate and slow maturation rates being disproportionately affected. In addition to being more prone to collisions due to body size, even low fatality rates can have population-level effects, particularly for already heavily impacted upon SCC	NO-GO	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	NO IMPA		AIGH -	A specialist raptor nest survey and collision risk modelling were completed prior to the selection of the facility site and the selection of the turbine layout, as has been done for this project.  The proposed turbine layout avoids all areas of high and medium collision risk for Verreaux's Eagle identified by the VERA model, in addition to avoiding high flight activity buffers of priority species, nest buffers that were identified for Martial Eagle, Secretarybird, Jackal Buzzard and Pale Chanting Goshawk, as well as applied buffers of ridgelines, wetlands and rivers.	NO IMPA	

	SY	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	(Carrete et al. 2009, Drewitt & Langston 2006, Marques et al 2014).  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.							<ul> <li>▶ Proactive minimizing mitigation measures that are recommended include habitat management measures, such as removing artificial rock piles used by eagle prey, minimising perching and nesting opportunities within the facility, blade painting and implementing post-construction monitoring.</li> <li>▶ The painting of one turbine blade in a different colour has shown to lower collisions by raptors successfully (May et al 2020), and this is currently being implemented retrospectively (in-situ) at one WEF in South Africa. As this mitigation is potentially highly effective, proactively painting the blades of as many turbines as legally possible prior to construction, at a fraction of the cost of a reactive approach is highly recommended.</li> </ul>		
MORTALITY FROM	In South Africa, a number of endemic and	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	The impact can be completely avoided by	ACHIEVABLE	NO IMPACT
COLLISIONS WITH	threatened species are known to be significantly	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	burying all internal overhead powerlines along	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
POWERLINES	affected by collisions (Taylor et al. 2015), including	NO-GO		•	NO IMPA	СТ		the internal road network. Where this is		
	SCC's that were recorded in the area such as Ludwig's Bustard, Blue Crane, Secretarybird and Black Stork (Shaw et al. 2021). Ludwig's Bustard is particularly prone to collisions and made up 69% of carcasses found under powerlines in a two year study in the Karoo (Shaw 2013). Karoo Korhaan is also affected, but does not collide as frequently as Ludwig's Bustard, possibly due to their sedentary nature making them familiar with their area and their smaller size increasing their maneuverability (Shaw 2013).  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.							technically not possible, in order to minimise collisions, line markers such as bird flappers and static bird flight diverters are being widely used with some success.  Where this is not possible, every meter of overhead power line potentially significantly increases the probability of collisions resulting in a high negative, and unacceptable impact significance rating.	NO IMP	ACT
MORTALITY FROM	Large birds can be electrocuted or incur electric	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	MAY OCCUR	DEFINITE	HIGH -	→ Bird electrocutions can be easily avoided by	EASILY ACHIEVABLE	NO IMPACT
ELECTROCUTIONS ON	shock injuries when simultaneously contacting two	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	MAY OCCUR	DEFINITE	HIGH -	burying overhead powerlines, and by creating	EASILY ACHIEVABLE	LOW -

	SYN	ITHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE	uninsulated energised components of differing electric potential (phase-to-phase electrocution), or when contacting an uninsulated energised component and a path to ground (phase-to-ground-electrocution) (Dwyer 2006, APLIC 2006). Because electrocutions result from birds bridging air-gaps, larger birds with larger wingspans, such as Martial Eagle, are disproportionately affected (Slater et al. 2020). Most bird electrocutions occur at relatively low and medium voltage distribution systems, rather than with transmission systems where the separations created by longer insulators and wider air- gaps around wires are larger (APLIC 2006, Bennun et al. 2020, Slater et al. 2020). Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		separation between conductors of differing electrical potential at substations and electrical infrastructure, and by placing insulation over conductors, or by redirecting birds to perch or nest away from conductors (APLIC 2006, Dwyer et al. 2017).  If all overhead powerlines are buried any exposed electrical infrastructure within the substation is of a bird-friendly insulated design, the impact can be completely removed.	NO IMP	ACT
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	Cumulative impacts assessed include the	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	SEVERE	DEFINITE	HIGH -	★ The only real mitigation possible in order to	DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
	combination of all the impacts discussed above for	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	SEVERE	DEFINITE	HIGH -	minimise cumulative impacts, beyond	DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
	this project, which may be higher than the sum of impacts, as well as the associated two Soutrivier WEFs, the Soutrivier Solar PV Facilities and their associated OHPLs, and all known past, present and proposed projects in an area of 30 km surrounding the proposed development. In addition to the Soutrivier projects two WEFs are proposed within this radius: the Taaibos North WEF and associated OHPL, and the Taaibos South WEF and associated OHPL. All of these facilities are to ultimately connect to the Gamma MTS with one shared powerline from the Soutrivier Collector Substation to the Gamma Substation, which lowers the cumulative impact. The impacts of the cumulative projects will be negative by making a larger area of avifaunal karoo scrub habitat unavailable and of higher risk for SCC flying between Victoria West and Loxton.  There is also a potential for an increased barrier effect being created by the combination of these projects, which would be a negative, regional, long-term impact. As these projects are not located on any major flyways, the probability of this occurring is however unlikely.  The contribution of the Soutrivier South WEF to the cumulative impact in a 30 km radius is considered to be moderate, i.e., the cumulative impact will be	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		minimising impacts for each project separately during the EIA process, is for the Competent Authority to ensure only projects are authorised that are practically mitigatable to an acceptable level, and that do not lead to unacceptable negative impacts, including cumulative impacts, and to ensure the correct implementation of authorised Environmental Management Programmes through compliance audits and enforcement.	NO IMP	ACT

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	lower but the cumulative significance rating will remain unchanged regardless of the Soutrivier South WEF being constructed or not.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard. No-go alternative would result in no impact related									
	to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.									
					BAT IMPACT ASS	SESSMENT				
DDIFICATION OF BAT	Vegetation clearing for access roads, turbines and	DIRECT AND	STUDY	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATELY	MODERATE -	Avoid:	REVERSIBLE	MODERATE -
ABITAT (ROOSTING, FORAGING,	their service areas and other infrastructure, as well	INDIRECT CUMULATIVE	AREA STUDY	TERM LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	Limit potential for bats to roost in project infrastructure (e.g., buildings, turbines, road	REVERSIBLE	MODERATE-
COMMUTING)	as noise and dust generated during the construction phase, will negatively and indirectly impact bats by	CUMULATIVE	AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	culverts) by ensuring they are properly sealed	KEVEKSIBLE	MODERATE-
,	removing habitat used for foraging and commuting,	NO-GO	ANLA	<u> </u>	NO IMPA	СТ		such that bats cannot gain access.	NO IMPA	ACT
	through disturbance, and displacement (Kunz et al.									
	2007b, Millon et al. 2018, Bennun et al. 2021). This							placement of infrastructure (except roads) in		
	impact is likely to have species specific effects;							no-go areas.		
	clutter edge species (e.g., Cape serotine) are more							Minimise:		
	likely to be impacted by habitat modification given their greater association with physical habitat							<ul> <li>Minimise clearing of vegetation, minimise disturbance and destruction of farm buildings</li> </ul>		
	features compared to high-flying species (e.g.,							on site, minimise removal of trees, minimise		
	Egyptian free-tailed bat).							disturbance and destruction of rocky outcrops,		
								and where this is required, these features		
	Construction of WEF infrastructure could result in							should be examined for roosting bats. This		
	destruction (direct impact) of bat roosts (rocky crevices, buildings) and disturbance (indirect							study assumes that all buildings and rocky		
	impact) of bat roosts potentially resulting in roost							outcrops are potentially roosts and must be buffered since numerous species use these		
	abandonment. Bat mortality can occur if roosts							features for roosting.		
	which contain bats are destroyed. Installation of							Apply good construction abatement control		
	new infrastructure in the landscape (e.g., buildings,							practices to reduce emissions and pollutants		
	turbines, road culverts) can inadvertently provide							(e.g., noise, erosion, waste) created during		
	new roosting spaces for some bat species,							construction.		
	attracting them to areas with wind turbines and							Restore:		
	potentially increasing the likelihood of collisions.							Rehabilitate all areas disturbed during construction		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							(including aquatic habitat).		
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related									
	to disturbance of bat habitats.									
	and the second s			L	HERITAGE IMPACT	ACCECCNENT		<u> </u>		
					IENTIAGE IIVIPACT	433E33IVIEIV I				

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANO POST- MITIGATION
SOURCES: STONE AGE	tangible heritage resources within the cultural	C. 12 41 11 4 7 11 / F	AREA	TERM	144V 000UD	SU SU T	1.014	▲ Stone Age remains occur abundantly in the	ACHIEVABLE	1.011
OCCURANCES		CUMULATIVE	STUDY	SHORT AND	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	project landscape where locally available raw	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
OCCURANCES	heritage sites are lost. Previously undetected cultural (archaeological) layers are usually superficial, subsoil layers and that makes them easily vulnerable to destruction and the likelihood for encountering additional cultural heritage sites as the land clearing process commences, or during construction of infrastructure should be considered.  Cummulative impact: The low frequency of significant archaeological resources documented in the project area and in its immediate surroundings implies low-severity short and long-term impacts on the heritage landscape  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.	NO-GO	AREA	LONG TERM	WAT OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	material for the manufacture of stone tools is available in the geological setting. Most of the artefacts are probably Middle Stone Age (MSA) lithics such as blades, scrapers, chunks and cores produced on quartzite. Single possible Later Stone Age (LSA) microlithic tools were noted. Stone artefact scatters are usually located in areas with fluvial gravels along drainage lines, pans and within decomposing calcretes, rocky outcrops or ridges. Despite the high number of observations of artefacts, these resources are common and representative of similar scatters across widespread areas of the Karoo. The widespread but ephemeral scatters are often of low heritage value due to temporally mixed contexts and the frequent absence of faunal, organic and other cultural remains which is scattered over thousands of square kilometres of the Karoo. The Stone Age localities are not conservation-worthy and even though the resources may be destroyed during construction, the impact is inconsequential.  A small rock shelter containing cultural	NO IMP	AND LOW (-
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related to destruction of archaeological resources.							remnants is situated south east of turbine position T25 and in the general vicinity of		
LOSS OF HERITAGE	S ignificant archaeological resources such as a rock	DIRECT	STUDY	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	planned access roads (SRS14). The site has	REVERSIBLE	MODERATE -
RESOURCES:	shelter (SRC02)and a corbel building (SRC01) may	C. 12 41 11 4 T. 15	AREA	TERM	144V 000UD	CHOUT	1004	potential to yield valuable archaeological		
OCKSHELTER (SRc02) ND CORBEL BUILDING	be damaged during the construction phase.	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT AND LONG	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	information on the regional development of the LSA and it has been assigned a medium	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
(SRC01)	Cummulative impact:		ANLA	TERM				archaeological significance. It is recommended	NEVENSIBLE	AND LOW (+
(3.22.7	The low frequency of significant archaeological	NO-GO	L		L			that a 100m no-go development buffer be		712 2011 (1
	resources documented in the project area and in							demarcated with a fence or construction	NO IMP	PACT
	its immediate surroundings implies low-severity							barricade during the Preconstruction Phase.		
	short and long-term impacts on the heritage							Continuous site monitoring should be done in		
	landscape							order to detect potential impact on the site at		
								the earliest opportunity. Should impact on the		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							site proof inevitable, a Phase 2 Assessment		
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							inclusive of site documentation, possible		
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is							sampling and analysis must be conducted		
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							during the Preconstruction Phase. The		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same							necessary destruction permits from the		
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the							relevant Heritage Resources Authorities should		
	same standard.		be obtained prior to site impact and							
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related							destruction.		
	to destruction of archaeological resources.							The collapsed remains of dry-stone walling		
								were noted at a number of localities in the		
								project area (SRS16, SRS17, SRS21, SRS36). No		
								material culture or artefacts were noted at		
								these wall remains. Similar features occur		
	1							widespread across the landscape and the		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
			(LATENT)	(DONATION)	LIKELIHOOD)		WITIGATION			WITHGATION
					LIKELIHOOD)			remains do not hold unique cultural or historical attributes. The occurrences are rated as low heritage significance and general site monitoring should be conducted during all stages of the project in order to detect the presence of previously undocumented heritage resources the earliest opportunity.  A number of elongated stone cairns possibly indicating human burials occur north west of turbine position T27 and in close proximity of proposed access roads (SRS11). The potential burial site, which is of high heritage significance, occurs in close proximity of project development areas and it is recommended that a 100m no-go development buffer be demarcated with a fence or construction barricade during the Preconstruction Phase. Frequent and continuous site monitoring should be done during all stages of the project in order to detect potential impact on the site at the earliest opportunity.  Information on the layout of civil services such as access roads were made available to specialists at an advanced stage of this assessment and not all of these proposed access road alignments could be included in site investigations. It is recommended that a suitably qualified archaeologist be appointed during the Construction Phase to monitor vegetation clearing and excavation activities for the possible occurrence of archaeological material remains and features in these areas.  Considering the localised nature of heritage remains, the general monitoring of the development progress by an ECO or by the		
								heritage specialist is recommended for all stages of the project. Should any subsurface palaeontological, archaeological or historical material, or burials be exposed during construction activities, all activities should be		
								suspended and the archaeological specialist		
					NOISE INADAGE A	CCECCNAENT		should be notified immediately.		
CONSTRUCTION NOISE:	Daytime ambient sound levels could range from 35	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT	UNLIKELY	SSESSMENT SLIGHT	LOW -	★ The significance of the noise impact is low for	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
DAYTIME	dBA to more than 72 dBA, averaging at 45 dBA.	= 3 3.		TERM				daytime construction activities and no	=	
		CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	SHORT	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -	additional mitigation is required or	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	rural noise district most of the times, though it is			TERM				recommended. General measures are		
	expected that introduced noises will be audible over large distances during quiet periods (during low wind conditions).  Various construction activities (development of	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT		recommended to ensure that annoyance with the project is minimised. It is therefore recommended that the applicant plan process access roads t pass further than 60m from residential dwellings of the identified NSR.	NO IMPA	CT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	access roads, laydown areas, the hard standing									
	areas, excavation of foundations, concreting of									
	foundations and the erection of the wind turbines,									
	other infrastructure) taking place simultaneously									
	during the day will increase ambient sound levels due to air-borne noise.									
	due to all-bottle floise.									
	Depending on the location of access roads, traffic									
	noises may be audible during passing and could									
	change the ambient sound levels at NSR staying									
	within 100m from (potential) access routes.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters									
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is									
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related to daytime construction noise.									
CONSTRUCTION NOISE:	Night-time ambient sound levels could range	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	★ The significance of the noise impact is low and	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
NIGHTTIME	between 27 dBA to more than 64 dBA, averaging at			TERM				additional mitigation is not required, yet some		
	41.9 dBA. Night-time ambient sound levels are	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	general management measures are included	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	higher than expected for a rural noise district, but			TERM				to ensure that the potential annoyance that		
	this is likely due to the measurement period taking	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		may be created due to night-time construction	NO IMP	ACT
	place during a period with increased wind speeds,							noises are minimized. Potential mitigation		
	resulting in more wind-induced noises. Ambient							measures would include:		
	sound levels are expected to be low during period							Minimizing night-time activities when		
	of low winds, and it is expected that introduced							working within 2,000m from any NSR.		
	noises will be audible over large distances during quiet periods (during low wind conditions).							Work should only take place at one WTG location to minimize potential		
	quiet perious (during low wind conditions).							night-time cumulative noises (when		
	Various construction activities (likely limited to the							working at night within 2,000m from		
	pouring of concrete as well as erection of WTG							NSR);		
	components) taking place simultaneously at night							<ul> <li>The applicant must notify the NSR</li> </ul>		
	will increase ambient sound levels due to air-borne							when night-time activities will be		
	noise, using the criteria of the author. The							taking place within 1,000m from the		
	projected noise levels, the change in ambient							NSR; and		
	sound levels as well as the potential noise impact							The applicant must plan the		
	is defined per NSR.							completion of noisiest activities (such		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							a pile driving, rock breaking and excavation) during the daytime		
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							period (even though it is expected		
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is							that it is highly unlikely that this may		
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							take place at night).		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same							. 5 ,		
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related									
	to night-time construction noise.									
				PALA	ENTOLOGICAL IMP	PACT ASSESSMENT				

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION		REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
LOSS OF PALAEONTOLOGICAL	Disturbance, damage, destruction or sealing-in of legally protected, scientifically valuable fossil		LOCALISED			MODERATE TO SEVERE	LOW -	Impact severity can be effectively (albeit only partially) mitigated through:	IRREVERSIBLE	LOW -
HERITAGE RESOURCES	remains preserved at or beneath the ground surface within the development footprint,	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	POSSIBILITY	MODERATE TO SEVERE	LOW -	Pre-construction walk-down of authorized project footprint by specialist palaeontologist	IRREVERSIBLE	LOW -
	especially during ground clearance or bedrock excavations during the Construction Phase.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to loss of palaeontological resources.				NO IMPA			in the Pre-Construction Phase  Ongoing monitoring for fossil remains of all substantial bedrock excavations and surface clearance activities by ECO during Construction Phase, with safeguarding and reporting of new palaeontological finds (notably fossil vertebrate bones & teeth) to SAHRA for possible specialist mitigation (See appended Chance Fossil Finds Protocol).  Low Negative impact may also be partially offset by professional recording and collection of new fossil finds, which may be a compensatory positive outcome.  Cumulative impacts: Anticipated cumulative impacts on local palaeontological heritage fall within acceptable limits based largely on the paucity of significant fossil sites recorded hitherto within the combined cluster project area and assumes that the proposed Pre-Construction and Construction Phase mitigation measures recommended for all these projects are implemented in full.	NO IMPA	
					ERINE RABBIT IMPA					
LOSS OF HABITAT	The construction of roads, turbine hard-stands, roads and laydown areas will result in the	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	Turbines and pylons should be located outside of the buffers around riverine habitat	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	destruction of vegetation and top-soil within areas of potential Riverine Rabbit habitat. No turbines	CUMULATIVE	_	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	An ECO must be employed to demarcate areas for use during construction, and to ensure that	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	should be constructed in riparian zones demarcated as High sensitivity, or their associated buffers. Furthermore, the developer should strive to reduce the amount of roads intersecting these riparian zones. If these measures are correctly implemented the total extent of habitat loss is likely to be low, and the resulting impact on the species from habitat loss would also be low.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on the				NO IMPA	СТ		the construction activities remain within the designated area and that no unauthorised activities occur outside of the construction footprint  Avoid road development transversing riparian areas, where possible	NO IMPA	<b>ACT</b>
DISTURBANCE	Iocal Riverine Rabbit population.  The construction of roads, turbine hard-stands,	DIRECT	STUDY	SHORT	PROBABLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	An ECO must be employed to demarcate areas	REVERSIBLE	LOW -

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
THROUGH	roads and laydown areas will result in elevated		AREA	TERM	LIKELIHOOD)			for use during construction, and to ensure that		
CONSTRUCTION NOISE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT	PROBABLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	the construction activities remain within the designated area and that no unauthorised	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	should include minimizing noise and educating workers. If done, the potential displacement of the species from home range is likely to be very low. As there are limited areas of potentially suitable Riverine Rabbit on the site, this would be a largely minimalised, thus requiring minimal mitigation.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		activities occur outside of the construction footprint  A Traffic and loud machinery should be prohibited during the early hours of the morning (04:00 – 09:00) and early evening (18:00 – 22:00)  Any trenches built must have slopes that allow any dispersing rabbits that fall in to escape and must be backfilled.	NO IMPA	ACT
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on the local Riverine Rabbit population.									
MORTALITY FROM ROADKILL OR	Roadkill is a significant source of mortality for Riverine Rabbits across their range. The probability	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	POSSIBLE	SEVERE	MODERATE -	<ul> <li>Prohibit all employees from hunting</li> <li>Prohibit open fires</li> </ul>	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
BUSHMEAT HUNTING		CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT	POSSIBLE	SEVERE	MODERATE -	→ Prohibit any domestic carnivores (e.g. dogs)	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	during the construction phase. This would potentially occur within the site as well as on the nearby larger public roads (such as the R381). During operation, however, this potential impact would be significantly reduced. As Riverine Rabbit activity is 'crepuscular' (i.e., highest between dusk and dawn), traffic during these periods should be curtailed. In addition, speed limits (<40km) in all areas of potential conflict (i.e. High sensitivity) should be implemented to reduce collision risk. Finally, a limitation of roads within the drainage habitat within the AoI should be considered.  Bushmeat hunting and active interference with Riverine Rabbits by construction employees may also result in reduced Riverine Rabbit occurrence within the AoI. All employees should be educated thoroughly on the potential impact of hunting in the AoI, and encouraged to report any sightings of the species during construction to their line managers.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on the	NO-GO			NO IMPA			An ECO must be employed to demarcate areas for use during construction, and to ensure that the construction activities remain within the designated area and that no unauthorised activities occur outside of the construction footprint  Avoid road development traversing riparian areas, where possible  Speed restrictions for all project vehicles (40km/h is recommended) should be in place to reduce road kills of rabbits killed on the project roads. Traffic should be reduced during the early hours of the morning (04:00 – 09:00) and early evening (18:00 – 22:00)  Any contractor employed for development work must ensure that no rabbit or hare species are disturbed, trapped, hunted or killed by them and their team during the construction phase. Conservation-orientated clauses should be built into contracts for construction personnel, complete with penalty clauses for non-compliance	NO IMPA	AC I

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	local Riverine Rabbit population.			SOCI	O FCONOMIC INAD	ACT ACCECCATAIT				
TEAADODADY	During the construction where there will be	DIRECT	LOCAL		O-ECONOMIC IMP		CONT	Marinia I and analysis at and I and an atom	DIFFICULT	CONST
TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT	During the construction phase, there will be temporary employment associated with the	DIRECT	LOCAL	SHORT TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY BENEFICIAL	SOME BENEFITS	<ul> <li>Maximise local employment and local content (the Project's direct sending area) through the</li> </ul>	DIFFICULT	SOME BENEFITS
EIVII EOTIVIEIVI		CUMULATIVE	NATIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	HIGH +	Preferential Procurement Plan and Contractor	DIFFICULT	HIGH +
	250 employment opportunities will become			TERM		BENEFICIAL		Services Management Plan (CSMP) for all	2	
	available over the 24-month construction period. Of	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		contractors that are used.	NO IMP	ACT
	these about 55% will be allocated to unskilled, 30%							★ Involve the Ubuntu LM and PKSDM from the		
	to semi-skilled and 15% to skilled workers. Semi-							early processes (from financial close already if		
	and lower skilled workers are usually required to perform electrical and civil duties (site clearing,							possible). Determine their existing processes with regards to a labour desk and streamline		
	excavation and casting of concrete foundations,							employment processes between the various		
	stormwater reticulation, trenching, access roads,							stakeholders.		
	cable installations, structural steelwork, buildings,							Appoint a Community Employer Relations		
	fencing, etc.); whereas higher skilled professionals							Officer / CLO. Communicate with communities		
	entail Project Managers, Engineers, Environmental							through this one channel to ensure		
	Control Officers and so forth. In addition to direct employment, the construction phase will have a							transparency, limit unrealistic expectations and to avoid conflict.		
	positive spin-off effect on the economy (local,							to avoia conflict.		
	regional and national) through procurement of									
	goods and services, with indirect and induced									
	employment creation as result.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be HIGH should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.									
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings significantly.									
LOCAL PROCUREMENT	In order to meet or better targets set by the DMRE,	DIRECT	NATIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE +	A Maximise local content of procurement by	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
	the Developer is aiming for approximately 40% of			TERM		BENEFICIAL		procuring from the local and regional study		
	total capital expenditure to be local. It is anticipated	CUMULATIVE	NATIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	HIGH +	areas as far as possible.	ACHIEVABLE	HIGH +
	that many of the high-technology turbine	NO 60		TERM	110 1140	BENEFICIAL		▲ Do a value-chain analysis of services required	NO 1845	A 0.T
	components would be imported and that other technical components will be sourced from larger	NO-GO			NO IMPA	АСТ		(directly and indirectly related to construction such as transport, laundry, catering, etc.).	NO IMP	ACT
	industrial areas in other parts of the province /							Communicate this to the PKSDM and Ubuntu		
	country. Even though the Preferential Procurement							LED Units at least 4 months prior to the tender		
	Policy will only be formulated closer to the time,							process commencing in order for SMME's to		
	positive impacts on local and national economies							prepare.		
	are 'definite' since 25% of the DMRE scorecard is									
	based on local content.							local employment, BBEEE procurement, SMME		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							targets, local services providers, etc.		
	HIGH should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
										<del></del> -

	SYN	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTE	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings									
INDUCED LOCAL	significantly.  Expenditure during construction and the increase in	DIRECT	NATIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY	LOW +	Maximise the Project's local content as far as	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW+
ECONOMIC IMPACTS	household earnings due to temporary employment	Dinect	MATIONAL	TERM	DEFINANCE	BENEFICIAL	2011	possible.	VERT BITTICOET	2011
		CUMULATIVE	NATIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY	LOW +		VERY DIFFICULT	LOW +
	spin-offs for the local and regional economies, such as:	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMPA	BENEFICIAL			NO IMP	A CT
	Business opportunities for the service and manufacturing industries (locally and nationally), e.g. transport, Personal Protective Equipment, maintenance work, general consumables, civil works;  Wages that are spent locally and a general improvement of income levels with higher spending benefits and spin-offs for local businesses, retail, sales, leisure and hospitality, real estate, etc.;  Local accommodation facilities that house the workers sourced from outside the direct Project sending area and spin-offs for the tourism industry.  Since at least 20% of the South African workforce has to be residents from local communities a large portion of these induced impacts will manifest locally. Definite positive impacts of 'low significance' will manifest.  Wallet loose b  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.									
TRAINING / SKILLS	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings significantly.  An important outcome of training and skills	DIRECT	REGIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY	LOW +	Where feasible, the Developer should:	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
DEVELOPMENT	development is that it increases the employability			TERM		BENEFICIAL		→ Make the skill requirements clear to the		
	of a region's workforce, resulting in enhanced economic opportunities and thus addressing	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY	MODERATE +	municipalities in advance and do a skills analysis of the available labour force.	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
	poverty alleviation over the medium to long term.  During the construction phase the following training initiatives would usually take place:  On-site training so that workers can safely perform their duties; and  Training by contractors to maintain their own BBEEE level, such as health and safety legislation training, first aid, fire-fighting,	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMPA	BENEFICIAL CT		Implement a SMME skills development programme and do certification (training on how to tender, understanding contracts, basic business skills, etc.) at least 4 months prior inviting SMMEs to tender and involve the relevant LED Units in the programmes.  Do a Value-chain analysis of services required (directly and indirectly related to construction)	NO IMP	ACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	IST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	construction skills, basic electrical training, quality management, legal compliance or business skills.  Consultation with the affected local and district municipalities however identified a great need for training and capacity building as most of the workers and SMME's on their databases are poorly educated with limited skills. These constraints result in gaps between the Developers' requirements and the local communities' / SMME's abilities to provide the required services. It would thus be to the advantage of the Project if on-the-job training is implemented, especially for unskilled workers.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings significantly.							<ul> <li>and communicate this to local and district municipalities in advance so that they are prepared and equipped to take part in the tender process.</li> <li>Require larger contractors to work with small SMMEs to train and transfer skills and include this in their respective CSMP's.</li> <li>Implement on-the-job training for unskilled workers.</li> <li>Capacitate the local government structures by involving them as early as possible in the Project; remain transparent throughout the processes.</li> <li>Negotiate a MoU with the municipalities so that each role-player is clearly aware of its roles, responsibilities and timelines in the Project processes.</li> <li>Establish an EMC or similar Forum for the duration of construction to aid communication and transparency. Members of the EMC / Forum to meet on a quarterly basis to discuss issues that may arise during the course of the construction period (if feasible).</li> </ul>		
EMPLOYMENT EQUITY	significantly.  Statistics obtained from the IP4 overview (DMRE,	DIRECT	REGIONAL	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	LOW+	→ Obtain inputs from the local and district	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
	December 2021) indicate that during the construction phases, Black South African citizens, Youths and rural local communities have primarily	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	TERM SHORT TERM	DEFINITE	SEVERE MODERATELY SEVERE	LOW+	municipalities on the contents of the Procurement strategy and Employment Equity Plan to be implemented.	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
	been the beneficiaries of RE projects, as they respectively represent 81%, 44% and 48% of total job opportunities created by IPP's to date. However, woman and the disabled could still be significantly empowered as they represent a mere 10% and 0.4% of total jobs created. Pre-mitigation positive impacts of employment equity will hold benefits of 'low overall significance' if only the DMRE's minimum requirements are implemented. With mitigation, the intensity of the impact will increase, and the overall significance can be increased to hold 'moderate benefits'.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings significantly.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		Set targets for the employment of Youth, women and the disabled in the respective CSMPs.	NO IMP	
IMPACTS ASSOCIATED	Negative impacts that could manifest for local	DIRECT	REGIONAL	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATELY	MODERATE -	Employment / Temporary construction workers:	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
WITH AN INFLUX OF JOBSEEKERS /	communities and the local and district municipalities due to an influx of jobseekers /	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	TERM SHORT	PROBABLE	SEVERE MODERATELY	MODERATE -	<ul> <li>Clearly identify the beneficiary communities / labour sending area and compile the</li> </ul>	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -
TEMPORARY	temporary construction workers include:			TERM		SEVERE		employment strategy in collaboration with the		
TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION WORKERS	temporary construction workers include: Conflict between locals and 'outsiders' if the outside labour force receives preference; Conflict due to cultural differences;	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMPA			employment strategy in collaboration with the affected municipalities' LED Units.  Contractually oblige contractors and subcontractors to only source labour through the labour desk / job registration database and make this known to the target communities.  Work through limited communication channels (e.g. Ward Councillors and the Employer Relations Officer / CLO).  Be vigilant not to raise unrealistic expectations amongst the local communities and workers with regards to employment, skills requirements, local procurement and so forth. Ensure transparency through the Ward Councillors, CLO and the EMC / Forum.  No recruitment of temporary workers at the access to the construction site.  As part of their Social Management Plan's (SMP's), contractors to provide a transport and housing plan: (i) no workers are allowed to be housed on site or in informal housing / settlements; (ii) allow workers that do not live nearby time to return to their families at regular intervals or over weekends.  No workers to remain on site after shifts.  It is also recommended that the Developer embarks on a Social Awareness Campaign for the workforce that focuses on sexual health, unwanted pregnancies and related social issues.  Security, safety and environmental health:  24-hour security, demarcate and fence the construction site (if possible), material stores to be secured, access control and no trespassing of workers outside designated construction areas.  Join the local community policing forum or similar initiative for the duration of construction.  Keep the local SAPS, other emergency services, Ward Councillors, landowners and other relevant stakeholders informed about the construction progress and time-lines.  Develop a Fire / Emergency Management Plan in conjunction with affected and neighbouring landowners.  Dispose of the various types of waste generated in the appropriate manner at licensed waste landfill sites at regular intervals.	NO IMPA	ACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	essential.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be MODERATE should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings significantly.							<ul> <li>compiled for the construction phase.</li> <li>Display "danger" warning signs and "no public access" signs at all potential accesses, paths and along the periphery of the construction areas in English and the local languages.</li> <li>If water for construction is obtained from a natural water resource, comply with the Water Use Licence conditions for the duration of the construction period.</li> <li>Ensure implementation of the provisions of the Occupational Health and Safety Act No. 85 of 1993 and adhere to the Emergency and Safety plan procedures for the duration of the construction phase.</li> <li>Awareness / community engagement:</li> <li>Keep open communication channels with the landowners and address any potential issues as a matter of priority.</li> <li>Make contact details of the main contractor and procedures to lodge complaints available to landowners and the local communities through the Ward Councillors and EMC / Forum.</li> <li>Make a complaints register / log book available at the entrance to the construction site and act immediately should issues arise.</li> <li>Consult with surrounding landowners whose livestock, private residences and other infrastructure could be affected by dust, noise and other impacts that result from traffic movement and general construction activities.</li> <li>Where required, draw up a land use management plan with individual landowners to protect livestock and farmland, which addresses restricted access areas, procedures when farm gates are opened and closed and so forth.</li> <li>Rehabilitate the veld to its original state post construction.</li> </ul>		
LAND USE IMPACTS	Main land uses in the study area pertain to livestock farming (mainly sheep and goat) and grazing for	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT TERM	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	A Rehabilitate the veld to its original state post construction.	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
	game. The land has a long term grazing capacity of	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	construction.	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
	24 to 28 hectares per large stock unit (LSU). Small patches of cultivation can be found along water courses and in close proximity to farmsteads. Farms are also used for residential and leisure purposes, albeit farmsteads are scattered and dispersed and the nearest farmstead is located about 1 km from a turbine. No direct impacts on residential land uses are therefore foreseen.	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMP <i>i</i>	ACT			NO IMP	ACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	period no grazing is possible at the construction									
	site/s. Should 32 turbines be constructed, the area									
	cleared of vegetation for construction amounts to									
	124.68 ha (4.5 LSU), which has a negligible direct									
	impact on grazing land uses.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters									
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is									
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings									
INTRUSION IMPACTS	significantly.  Intrusion impacts could indirectly impact	DIRECT	STUDY	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE -	★ Comply with the EMPr requirements to address	DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
INTROSION INITACTS	agricultural land uses, thereby having a negative	DINLET	AREA	TERM	DETIIVITE	SEVERE	WODERATE -	any potential noise and dust impacts.	DITTICOLI	WIODERATE
	effect on incomes of landowners, such as:	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	SHORT	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE -	♣ Proper planning, management and republification of all construction sites to forego.	DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
	Negligent construction workers that do not	NO-GO	AREA	TERM	NO IMPA	SEVERE		rehabilitation of all construction sites to forego the visual impacts of the construction activities,	NO IMPA	ACT.
	close / lock farm gates resulting in animals that	140-40			NO IIVIFA	Ci		as proposed in the VIA (Nuleaf Planning &	NO IIVIF	ic i
	go missing and/or mix with animals in different							Environmental, October 2022).		
	breeding groups / cycles, potentially							Implement all mitigation measures as proposed		
	introducing diseases into herds;									
	Livestock that is killed on access roads if drivers							so that grazing of livestock can take place away		
	do not adhere to speed limits and traffic rules;							from construction areas.		
	→ Dust that impact the quality of wool and/or							Collaborate with the necessary road		
	dust that settle on grazing land and have an							management agencies when road closures are		
	impact on livestock carrying capacity;							required and advertise alternative routes in		
	<ul> <li>Possible noise impacts; and</li> </ul>							advance.		
	Construction activities that hamper the							Impose penalties for reckless drivers as a way		
	farmers' access to their own farms.							to enforce compliance to traffic rules.		
	The increase in traffic could result in the									
	degradation of road surfaces and speeding /									
	negligent drivers could cause accidents and fatalities, subsequently placing pressure on local									
	emergency, disaster management and health care									
	services (fire, ambulance, police services, etc.).									
	Abnormal vehicles that transport large project									
	infrastructure could also necessitate intermittent									
	road closures.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters									
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is									
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings									
LIEALTH AND CASETY	significantly.	DIDECT	LOCALISES	CHORT	BAAY OCCUP	CEVERE	MODERATE	Francisco incolores estables a fish	ACUIEVA 21 E	10111
HEALTH AND SAFETY RISKS FOR WORKERS	Health and safety risks for workers and the broader community are possible to manifest. Community	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT TERM	MAY OCCUR	SEVERE	MODERATE -	<ul> <li>Ensure implementation of the provisions of the Occupational Health and Safety Act (Act No. 85</li> </ul>	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -
MISKS FUR WURKERS	community are possible to maillest. Community			IENIVI				Occupational nealth and sujety Act (Act No. 85		

inflow of Safety Acthe healt sites. The sites. The structura resulting in respira machiner loss of he for worke water at months; and due to provide regarding pregnance Health Cathern and the safety and the sites of the sites o	and safety risks are associated with the of workers. The Occupational Health and Act (Act No. 85 of 1993) makes provision for alth and safety of workers at construction hese risks are broadly associated with:  Construction related accidents due to ral safety of Project infrastructure, possibly of in fatalities;  Dust generation and air pollution resulting ratory diseases;  High ambient noise levels caused by ery and construction equipment, resulting in hearing or other similar health issues;  Dehydration, sunburn and related issues kers due to unsafe and insufficient drinking and high temperatures during summer is; and  An increase in HIV/AIDS and other STDs prostitution activities and temporary sexual aships with local women and unwanted incies that place further pressure on Basic Care Services.	NATURE OF IMPACT  CUMULATIVE  NO-GO	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT) LOCALISED	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION) SHORT TERM	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD) MAY OCCUR  NO IMPA	SEVERE  SEVERE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION  MODERATE -	of 1993) and adhere to the Emergency and Safety plan procedures for the duration of the construction phase.  Promote good conduct of employees through awareness campaigns. It is also recommended that the Developer embarks on a Social Awareness Campaign for the workforce that focuses on sexual health, unwanted pregnancies and related social issues.  Contractors to provide a housing plan that makes provision for workers that do not live nearby to return to their families at regular intervals or over weekends.  Provide safe and clean drinking water and instil regular water breaks to keep workers hydrated.  Provide sufficient ablution facilities (chemical/portable toilets, etc.) at strategic locations that are cleaned regularly.  Keep the local police, emergency and ambulance services informed of construction times and progress.	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION  ACHIEVABLE  NO IMPA	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION  MODERATE -  ACT
inflow of Safety Acthe health sites. The sites. The structural resulting sin respiral machiner loss of he for worked water all months; and due to programment of the	of workers. The Occupational Health and Act (Act No. 85 of 1993) makes provision for alth and safety of workers at construction hese risks are broadly associated with:  Construction related accidents due to ral safety of Project infrastructure, possibly in fatalities;  Dust generation and air pollution resulting ratory diseases;  High ambient noise levels caused by ery and construction equipment, resulting in hearing or other similar health issues;  Dehydration, sunburn and related issues be kers due to unsafe and insufficient drinking and high temperatures during summers; and  An increase in HIV/AIDS and other STDs prostitution activities and temporary sexual aships with local women and unwanted incies that place further pressure on Basic Care Services.		LOCALISED				MODERATE -	Safety plan procedures for the duration of the construction phase.  Promote good conduct of employees through awareness campaigns. It is also recommended that the Developer embarks on a Social Awareness Campaign for the workforce that focuses on sexual health, unwanted pregnancies and related social issues.  Contractors to provide a housing plan that makes provision for workers that do not live nearby to return to their families at regular intervals or over weekends.  Provide safe and clean drinking water and instil regular water breaks to keep workers hydrated.  Provide sufficient ablution facilities (chemical/portable toilets, etc.) at strategic locations that are cleaned regularly.  Keep the local police, emergency and ambulance services informed of construction		
the healt sites. The sites. The sites. The sites. The structural resulting sin respiral in respiral sin respi	alth and safety of workers at construction hese risks are broadly associated with:  Construction related accidents due to ral safety of Project infrastructure, possibly in fatalities;  Dust generation and air pollution resulting ratory diseases;  High ambient noise levels caused by ery and construction equipment, resulting in hearing or other similar health issues;  Dehydration, sunburn and related issues skers due to unsafe and insufficient drinking and high temperatures during summer is; and  An increase in HIV/AIDS and other STDs prostitution activities and temporary sexual aships with local women and unwanted incies that place further pressure on Basic Care Services.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		<ul> <li>▶ Promote good conduct of employees through awareness campaigns. It is also recommended that the Developer embarks on a Social Awareness Campaign for the workforce that focuses on sexual health, unwanted pregnancies and related social issues.</li> <li>♠ Contractors to provide a housing plan that makes provision for workers that do not live nearby to return to their families at regular intervals or over weekends.</li> <li>♠ Provide safe and clean drinking water and instil regular water breaks to keep workers hydrated.</li> <li>♠ Provide sufficient ablution facilities (chemical/portable toilets, etc.) at strategic locations that are cleaned regularly.</li> <li>♠ Keep the local police, emergency and ambulance services informed of construction</li> </ul>	NO IMP	ACT
low should construct important associate developed same state No-go alt	ould the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters action timelines overlap. However, it is ant to note that the 5 WEFs and their ted infrastructure are proposed by the same per and the EMPrs will be prepared to the tandard.  Calternative would not impact the SEIA ratings									
significan	antly.			TERRESTE	RIAL BIODIVERSITY	IMPACT ASSESSMENT				
POTENTIAL Permane	nent or temporary loss of indigenous	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Blanket clearing of vegetation must be limited	DIFFICULT	LOW -
		CUMULATIVE		PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	to the site. No clearing outside of required	DIFFICULT	LOW -
VEGETATION blanket of footprint.  Cumulative low shows construct important associate developes same state.	ative impact, on a localised scale, would be build the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters action timelines overlap. However, it is ant to note that the 5 WEFs and their ted infrastructure are proposed by the same per and the EMPrs will be prepared to the tandard.  alternative would result in no impact on	NO-GO			NO IMP	ст		footprint required for construction to take place.  Topsoil must be striped and stockpiled separately during site preparation and replaced on completion where revegetation will take place.  Any site camps and laydown areas requiring clearing must be located within already disturbed areas as far as possible, or away from watercourses, alluvial areas and other sensitive features (rocky outcrops).	NO IMP	ACT
	flora species of special concern during pre-	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	→ A flora search and rescue is recommended	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL construct	iction site clearing activities. Several special	CUMULATIVE	+	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	before commencement.	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	cern are known from surrounding areas,	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		A Respective permits to be obtained beforehand.	NO IMPA	ACT
FLORA SPECIES										

	SYN	ITHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	which could be destroyed during site preparation.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on floral species.  Susceptibility of post construction disturbed areas to invasion by exotic and alien invasive species and removal of exotic and alien invasive species during construction. Post construction disturbed areas	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT TERM SHORT TERM	DEFINITE  DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	<ul> <li>A Alien trees and weeds must be removed from the site as per CARA/ NEMBA requirements.</li> <li>A suitable weed and alien invasive plant management plan to be implemented in</li> </ul>	REVERSIBLE REVERSIBLE	LOW -
ALIEN INVASIVE SPECIES	having no vegetation cover are often susceptible to invasion by weedy and alien species, which can not only become invasive but also prevent natural flora from becoming established.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on alien invasive species.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		construction and operation phases.  After clearing and construction is completed, an appropriate cover crop may be required, should natural re-establishment of grasses not take place in a timely manner, such as along road verges. This will also minimise dust.	NO IMPA	СТ
POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL	Susceptibility of some areas to erosion because of construction related disturbances. Removal of	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Suitable measures must be implemented in areas that are susceptible to erosion. Areas	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	vegetation cover and soil disturbance may result in some areas being susceptible to soil erosion after		LOCALISED	SHORT TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	must be rehabilitated, and a suitable cover crop planted once construction is completed.	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
EROSION	completion of the activity.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on erosion.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	Ci		<ul> <li>Topsoil must be stripped and stockpiled separately and replaced on completion.</li> <li>If natural vegetation re-establishment does not occur, a suitable grass must be applied.</li> </ul>	NO IMPA	
POTENTIAL	Disturbances to ecological processes: Activity may	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	A Blanket clearing of vegetation must be limited	DIFFICULT	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	result in disturbances to ecological processes such as fragmentation (road, etc).	CUMULATIVE NO-GO	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE NO IMPA	SLIGHT	LOW -	to the development footprint, and the area to be cleared must be demarcated before any	DIFFICULT NO IMPA	LOW -
ECOLOGICAL PROCESSES		110-00			NO INIFA	<b>.</b>		clearing commences.	INO IIVIPA	

	SYN	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on ecological processes.									
POTENTIAL	Aquatic and Riparian processes: Diversion and	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	★ Suitable structures to be constructed at	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL	_ ·	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	watercourse crossings that do not alter flows.	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	to the hydrological regime and increased potential	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		★ Stormwater discharge into watercourses to be     ★	NO IMPA	СТ
AQUATIC AND	for erosion. Impact of changes to water quality. Loss of riparian vegetation / aquatic habitat. Loss of							protected against erosion.		
RIPARIAN PROCESSES	species of special concern.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on aquatic and riparian processes.									
POTENTIAL	Loss of Faunal Habitat: Activity may result in the loss	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Blanket clearing of vegetation must be limited	DIFFICULT	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL	of habitat for faunal species, which could result in	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	to the construction footprint required.	DIFFICULT	LOW -
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	disturbance and displacement of faunal species.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		A Rocky outcrop areas and Riverine Rabbit	NO IMPA	СТ
FAUNAL HABITAT	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be XX							Habitat to be avoided as far as possible.  It is important that clearing activities are kept		
TACHALHADHAI	should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							to the minimum and take place in a phased		
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is							manner, where applicable. This allows any		
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							smaller animal species to move into safe areas		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the							and prevents wind and water erosion of the cleared areas.		
	same standard.							cieureu ureus.		
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on XX.									
POTENTIAL	Impacts to faunal processes because of the activity	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	LOW -		DIFFICULT	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	such as erection of barriers to movement.	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	LOW -	project site are not unique and are widespread in the general area, hence the local impact	DIFFICULT	LOW -
BIODIVERSITY IIVIPACTS	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be XX	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CI		associated with the footprint would be of low	NO IMPA	CI
FAUNAL PROCESSES	should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							significance if mitigation measures are adhered		
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is							to.		
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							▲ Small mammals within the habitat on and		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the							around the affected area are generally mobile and likely to be transient to the area. They will		
	same standard.							most likely vacate the area once construction		
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on XX.							commences. As with all construction sites there		
								is a latent risk that there will be some		
								accidental mortalities. Specific measures are made to reduce this risk. The risk of species of		
								special concern is low, and it is unlikely that		
								there will be any impact to populations of such		
								species because of the activity.		
								Reptiles such as lizards are less mobile		
								compared to mammals, and some mortalities		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
								could arise. It is recommended that a faunal search and rescue be conducted before		
								construction commences, although experience		
								has shown that there could still be some		
								mortalities as these species are mobile and may		
								thus move onto site once construction is		
								underway. A retile handler should be on call for such circumstances.		
								<ul> <li>Should any amphibian migrations occur</li> </ul>		
								between wetland areas during construction,		
								appropriate measures (including temporarily		
								suspending works in the affected area) should		
POTENTIAL	Loss of faunal SSC due to construction activities:	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	be implemented.  A pre-commencement faunal search and rescue	DIFFICULT	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL	Activities associated with bush clearing, killing of	CUMULATIVE		PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	is recommended.	DIFFICULT	LOW -
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	perceived dangerous fauna, may lead to increased	NO-GO			NO IMPA			Respective permits to be obtained beforehand.	NO IMP	
	mortalities among faunal species.							→ No animals are to be harmed or killed during		
FAUNAL SPECIES								the course of operations.		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF							Workers are NOT allowed to snare any faunal species.		
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							species.		
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on									
	faunal species.									
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	The development may fragment an already highly	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	Minimising the project footprint by utilising	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF	fragmented landscape which may create barriers to	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	existing roads and disturbed areas as much as	DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION	geneflow where subpopulations are disconnected	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		technically possible.	NO IMP	ACT
CONCERN:	and isolated. Roads and fences can affect the quality and quantity of available habitat, most							Locate developments away from identified sensitive habitats, this includes no go zones and		
HABITAT LOSS,	notably through fragmentation, creating barriers to							buffer zones for turbine pads, electrical		
DEGRADATION AND	animal movement. Erosion from construction may							substations and housing facilities as well as		
FRAGMENTATION	degrade the habitat and direct loss of habitat will							construction laydown areas.		
	occur due to necessity of access roads.							↓ Implementing adequate dust control and		
	Cumulative impact on a localized scale would be							erosion control.		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF							<ul> <li>Careful planning of road layout to minimise the length of roads traversing through riverine</li> </ul>		
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							habitats and rocky ridges that have been		
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							identified as Very high or high sensitivity which		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same							may create barriers and fragment habitats.		
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.							<ul> <li>Establish wildlife passes, where artificial barriers are found; this particularly refers to</li> </ul>		
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on							physical barriers such as roads and fences.		
	habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation with							<ul> <li>Develop and implement a site-specific spill</li> </ul>		
	regards to faunal species.			<del>,</del>				management plan.		
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	Disturbance will be primarily in the form of visual	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	↓ Implementing adequate noise reduction	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF	<u> </u>	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	measures, including the use of insulation to	DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION CONCERN:	activities. Visual stimuli from movements of the turbine blades may cause a disturbance which may	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CI		reduce noise output from turbine hubs.  Temporal (curtailment) restrictions. Temporal	NO IMP	ACT
CONCLINIA.	be far reaching due to the site being open and							restriction strategies can focus on altering		
	1	l	<u>l</u>							

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
DISTURBANCE	unobscured. Noise effect from construction and associated human activities during this phase is highly probable. This impact will reduce once the WEF is operational however there will be continued noise pollution from turbines from both the hub and the swish of the blades.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on disturbance of faunal species of conservation concern.							turbine operation during times or weather conditions when wildlife is most active or where a negative impact has been found during the monitoring program.  Targeted operational timing by working with wind facility managers to target specific turbines under certain weather conditions where a negative impact has been identified. This may require changing the minimum windspeed at which turbines begin to turn and generate energy (cut-in speed) so that they idle during gentle wind and in so doing reduce noise during periods of low ambient noise.  Minimise development lighting in order to minimise light pollution, disturbance to animals at night;  Minimize noise disturbance during constructions where construction takes place within 1000 m of Very high and high sensitivity habitats. Restricting noise to daytime (9 am – 4 pm) periods when most fauna are less active.		
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	There is an increased collision risk from increased	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	<ul> <li>Careful planning of roads to minimise the</li> </ul>	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF	traffic levels at the site and in the general area. This	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	length that traverses through riverine and	DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION CONCERN:  MORTALITY FROM ROAD COLLISION	impact is likely to be of highest concern during construction but is also expected during the operational phase. Roads and roadsides may attract SCC such as Riverine Rabbits and Karoo Dwarf Tortoises due to verge edge enhancement of vegetation and roads may be used to facilitate movement, thus further increasing collision risks. Access roads that traverse riverine habitats require careful planning and monitoring to reduce risk of rabbit mortality.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on faunal species in relation to road collision mortality.	NO-GO			NO IMPA			rocky habitats that have been identified as Very high or high sensitivity.  Use existing roads as much as possible.  Roadkill monitoring program on both internal and external public roads targeting sensitive habitats and wildlife corridors. Roadkill Monitoring programs must be initiated at preconstruction phase and continued during construction and post-construction as well as conducted over different seasons.  Pre-construction road planning to identify target sites for wildlife crossing structures which should be considered during the EIA process and with pre-construction roadkill monitoring findings. Wildlife crossing structures must be made in consultation with road planner, construction manager and wildlife biologist. This is generally more cost effective than retro fixing existing roads.  Assess efficiency of roadkill mitigation approaches via a post-implementation roadkill monitoring program.  Implementation of speed limits on both internal access WEF roads (40km/h) as well as external public roads (60km/h).  Reduced speed limits of 30km/h where roads (both internal and external) cross High and Very high sensitivity areas identified; including riverine habitat, koppies and ecotones which	NO IMP	

	SYN	ITHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	The cumulative impact is of concern, given the fact	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	may harbour sensitive species and generally have higher species diversity and abundance  Wildlife warning signage and speed reduction measures where roads cross High and Very high sensitivity areas.  Education and awareness campaigns on SCC and their habitat must form part of staff induction procedures to help increase awareness, respect and responsibility towards the environment for all staff and contractors. Inductions on safe wildlife passing and driving to reduce possible injury and roadkill alongside roads.  There is higher risk of collision when animals are more active which is typically from late afternoon to early morning. During these times a low speed limit (30km/h) needs to be implemented. Night-time driving should be avoided as much as possible but if necessary, speed needs to be reduced significantly to avoid collisions. Lagomorph species (hares and rabbits) often freeze in headlights and require headlights to be momentarily turned off to allow the animal to move off the road.  Reduced speeds also need to be implemented during reduced visibility such as misty conditions that have been observed on the site.  Induction must include reporting of any vehicle/wildlife collision or found roadkill to the appointed Roadkill monitoring personnel.  Search and rescue of slow-moving species, specifically Karoo Dwarf Tortoises, during the construction phase. IUCN guidelines for translocation of sensitive species should be consulted. Tortoises will need to be carefully relocated and provided shelter and water-rich food as well as monitoring of threatened species to ensure of their survival. Should a subpopulation be found further consultations with a herpetologist will be required for appropriated mitigation.  It is important to evaluate the consequences of	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF	that the renewable-energy industry is rapidly		LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	each development before the next is begun.	DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION	expanding in South Africa. The local fauna is already	NO-GO		•	NO IMPA			Use a precautionary approach and aim to	NO IMP	
CONCERN:	impacted and threatened by past and current land							minimise negative effects even when the		
CLIMILI ATIVE IMPACT	use and the combination of these existing							effects are not fully known.		
CUMULATIVE IMPACT	anthropogenic impacts with planned developments may impact the local fauna with unexpectedly large							Ensure the construction phase is done in as short a period as possible and avoid breeding		
	effects. Cumulative effects can also result where							season, typically in the spring after good rains.		
	the construction phase occurs at several locations							<ul> <li>Construction needs to be done during daytime,</li> </ul>		
	simultaneously or if a new project begins							avoiding noise and disturbance when faunal		
	construction immediately following the completion							communities are most likely active, particularly		
		<u></u>								

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
POTENTIAL RISKS TO FAUNA SPECIES OF	of another. Cumulative effects can cause a small localized effect (which may have a limited effect on its own) to have a significant impact on population level as there may be thresholds where the cumulative effects increase disproportionally.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact from a cumulative faunal species of conservation concern loss perspective.	DIRECT	LOCALISED LOCALISED	PERMANENT PERMANENT	DEFINITE DEFINITE	MODERATE MODERATE	MODERATE - MODERATE -	where the construction is in proximity to their habitat. Sensitive habitats near construction will need to be clearly marked.  Relating construction phase of the development with neighbouring developments and farming activity to ensure construction does not begin immediately after the completion of another or simultaneously.  The developer instigates a proactive mitigation measure by initiating a multi-stakeholder dialogue at a workshop to clarify these concerns and how they might be taken forward and co-funded. The aim of this mitigation is to reduce current impacts that threaten the survival of SCC populations. We recommend a biodiversity wildlife corridor approach whereby protecting sensitive habitats is made a priority. This may include species refuge areas where no form of indiscriminate wildlife killing/snaring is allowed, no or highly reduced livestock grazing, and no pest control including locust spraying is carried out.  Poaching and the use of hunting dogs at site is prohibited.  Initiate a general Fauna Biodiversity Monitoring program	DIFFICULT DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION CONCERN:  CASCADING IMPACT ACROSS TROPHIC LEVELS	other species within the same community due to ecological relations to one another. This means that an effect on one species may in turn affect many others within the same ecosystem. Cascading effects may be complex and unpredictable as it may be the result of different types of interactions including competition, predation, parasitism, or symbiosis.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no cascading impact across the trophic levels due to the proposed WEF	NO-GO			NO IMPA			<ul> <li>A Fauna Biodiversity program must be initiated pre-construction to have baseline population status and monitoring must be ongoing post-construction to identify any changes in occupancy in certain species' population which may in turn indirectly impact other fauna populations.</li> <li>★ We recommend the use of multiple monitoring methods including and not limited to; camera trapping in diverse habitats, targeted camera trapping for SCC; small mammal monitoring with the use of Sherman traps; the use of Conservation Scent Detection Dog teams to assist in detecting SCC.</li> </ul>	NO IMP	ACT
DOTENTIAL MICHAE	During the construction assisted these will be an	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SUOPE	VISUAL IMPACT A		. WOU	France that constation is not constant	AAODEDATE	MACDEDATE
POTENTIAL VISUAL IMPACT OF CONSTRUCTION ON	During the construction period, there will be an increase in heavy vehicles utilising the roads to the construction sites that may cause, at the very least,	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	SHORT TERM SHORT	PROBABLE	SEVERE SEVERE	HIGH -	<ul> <li>Ensure that vegetation is not unnecessarily removed during the construction period.</li> <li>Reduce the construction period through careful</li> </ul>	MODERATE  MODERATE	MODERATE -
SENSITIVE VISUAL RECEPTORS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO THE	a visual nuisance to other road users and landowners in the area in close proximity (within 5km). Within the region, dust as a result of	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMPA	ACT		logistical planning and productive implementation of resources.  A Plan the placement of lay-down areas and	NO IMP	ACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
FACILITY	construction activities may also be visible, as such it will result in a visual impact occurring during construction.  This impact is likely to be of high significance before mitigation and moderate significance post mitigation on the identified sensitive visual receptors within this zone:  Users of the various secondary roads Residents of the following homesteads:  Stoeifontein  The following homesteads are located on farm portions earmarked for the Victoria West WEF, thereby reducing the probability of this impact occurring on these specific receptors (i.e. it is assumed that these landowners are supportive of WEF developments and their associated visual impacts):  Liebenbergsdam Soutrivier Bonnievale  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be high should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no visual impacts							temporary construction equipment camps in order to minimise vegetation clearing (i.e., in already disturbed areas) wherever possible.  **Restrict the activities and movement of construction workers and vehicles to the immediate construction site and existing access roads.  **Ensure that rubble, litter, and disused construction materials are appropriately stored (if not removed daily) and then disposed regularly at licensed waste facilities.  **Reduce and control construction dust using approved dust suppression techniques as and when required (i.e., whenever dust becomes apparent).  **Restrict construction activities to daylight hours whenever possible in order to reduce lighting impacts.  **Rehabilitate all disturbed areas immediately after the completion of construction works.		
	related to construction activities.				WAKE EFFECT	T STUDY				
None identified by special	ist				0050450					
				AG	OPERATIONA RICULTURAL IMPA					
OCCUPATION OF LAND	_ : :	DIRECT	STUDY AREA STUDY	MEDIUM TERM MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	DEFINITE	LOW -	The allowable development limit on land of low and medium agricultural sensitivity with a land capability of < 8, as this site has been verified to	REVERSIBLE REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	of agricultural productivity for the duration of the project lifetime. The small and widely distributed nature of the agricultural footprint of the facility means that only an insignificant proportion of the available agricultural land is impacted in this way.  The potential cumulative agricultural impact of importance is a regional loss (including by degradation) of future agricultural production potential.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF	NO-GO	AREA	TERM	NO IMPA	ACT		be, is 2.5 ha per MW. This would allow the proposed facility of 270 MW to occupy an agricultural footprint of 675 hectares. The wind facility being assessed will occupy an agricultural footprint of < 81 hectares. It is therefore confirmed that the agricultural footprint of this development will be well within the allowable limit. It will in fact be approximately eight times smaller than what the development limits allow.	NO IMP	ACT

	SYN	ITHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of agricultural system as no known construction activities are present on site.									
SOIL EROSION AND DEGRADATION	Erosion can occur as a result of the alteration of the land surface run-off characteristics, predominantly	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	Mitigation measures to prevent soil degradation are all inherent in the project design and / or are	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
DEGRADATION	l	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	standard, best-practice for construction sites.	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	preventable. The storm water management that will be an inherent part of the road engineering on site and standard, best practice erosion control measures recommended and included in the EMPr, are likely to be effective in preventing soil erosion. Loss of topsoil can result from poor topsoil management during construction related excavations.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of agricultural system as no known construction activities are present on site.	NO-GO	The no-go environmen potential in by climate of terms of economic by Africa.	alternative cont in the abservance is that dust change, agricult conomic viabilities development fire enefits associations.	nce of the proposite to irregular rail lture in the area wity. In addition, in from contributing ted with the devel	that will occur to sed development. The offall, which is likely to will come under incredithe no-go option word to the environment of renewable	e one identified be exacerbated ased pressure in uld prevent the atal, social and energy in South	<ul> <li>A system of storm water management, which will prevent erosion, will be an inherent part of the road engineering on site. Any occurrences of erosion must be attended to immediately and the integrity of the erosion control system at that point must be amended to prevent further erosion from occurring there.</li> <li>▲ Any excavations done during the construction phase, in areas that will be revegetated at the end of the construction phase, must separate the upper 30 cm of topsoil from the rest of the excavation spoils and store it in a separate stockpile. When the excavation is back-filled, the topsoil must be back-filled last, so that it is at the surface. Topsoil should only be stripped in areas that are excavated. Across the majority of the site, including construction lay down areas, it will be much more effective for rehabilitation, to retain the topsoil in place. If levelling requires significant cutting, topsoil should be temporarily stockpiled and then respread after cutting, so that there is a covering of topsoil over the entire surface.</li> </ul>	NO IMPA	
INCREASED FINANCIAL SECURITY FOR FARMING	Reliable and predictable income will be generated by the farming enterprises through the lease of the	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW +		ACHIEVABLE	LOW +
OPERATIONS	land to the energy facility. This is likely to increase their cash flow and financial security and could	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW +		ACHIEVABLE	LOW +
	improve farming operations and productivity through increased investment into farming.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be LOW should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of agricultural system as no known	NO-GO	OneA	LIM	NO IMPA	СТ			NO IMP	ACT

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF	SPATIAL	TEMPORAL	CERTAINTY	RACTED FROM SEVERITY /	SIGNIFICANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/	SIGNIFICANCE
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF INTEREST	IMPACT	SCALE (EXTENT)	SCALE (DURATION)	SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	BENEFICIAL SCALE	PRE- MITIGATION	WITIGATION WEASURES	MITIGATION	POST- MITIGATION
	construction activities are present on site.									
IMPROVED SECURITY AGAINST STOCK THEFT	Improved security against stock theft and other crime due to the presence of security infrastructure	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW +		ACHIEVABLE	LOW +
AND OTHER CRIME	and security personnel at the energy facility.	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW +		ACHIEVABLE	LOW +
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be LOW should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of agricultural system as no known construction activities are present on site.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ			NO IMPA	ACT
					AQUATIC IMPACT A	ASSESSMENT				
PROACTIVE	No direct impacts perceived.	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -	→ No indiscriminate movement of construction	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
MONITORING TO	·	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -	equipment through the freshwater features	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
<b>ENSURE STRUCTURAL</b>	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		may be permitted during standard operational	NO IMPA	ACT
INTEGRITY IS	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							activities or maintenance activities. Use must		
MAINTAINED AND TO	operational timelines overlap, which is likely.							be made of the existing freshwater ecosystem		
IDENTIFY EARLY SIGNS	However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and							crossings only;		
OF FAILURE / EROSION.	their associated infrastructure are proposed by the							★ Vehicles used in the development site must be		
	same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to							regularly washed (on a non-permeable surface		
	the same standard.							or off-site) to avoid the dispersal of seeds on		
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related							any alien or invasive species into the freshwater		
CONCENTRATER	to erosion of aquatic habitats.	DIDECT	LOCALICED	LONG TERM	DOCCIDI E	CHCHT	1014	features;	DEVEDCIDI E	1011
CONCENTRATED RUNOFF ENTERING THE	Concentrated runoff from the road crossings leading to erosion and subsequent sedimentation	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Hot spots for the build-up of debris and excess sediment must be identified and when	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
FRESHWATER FEATURES	-	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	necessary, debris/excess sediment must be	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
THESITATENTEATONES	load) and turbulent flows when surface water is	CONIOLATIVE	AREA	LONG TERRIT	TOSSIBLE	JEIGITI	LOW -	removed by hand to prevent future flooding	NEVENSIBLE	LOW
AND	present; Higher flood peaks into the freshwater	NO-GO	AILEA		NO IMPA	CT		and potential damage to infrastructure;	NO IMPA	ACT
	features due to reduced surface roughness in the							Routine maintenance of the roads must be		
DISTURBANCE TO THE	freshwater features.							undertaken to ensure that no concentration of		
<b>VEGETATION WITHIN</b>								flow and subsequent erosion occurs due to the		
AND SURROUNDING	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							road crossings/instream infrastructure. Such		
THE FRESHWATER	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							maintenance activities must specifically be		
FEATURES.	operational timelines overlap, which is likely.							undertaken after high rainfall events;		
	However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and							▲ Stormwater runoff from the road crossings		
	their associated infrastructure are proposed by the							must be monitored (by the O&M Manager, to		
	same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to							ensure it does not result in erosion of the		
	the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related							freshwater features. Stormwater must be allowed to diffusely spread across the		
	to disturbance of freshwater features.							landscape, by ensuring adequate surface		
	to disturbance of freshwater features.							roughness in the freshwater feature (through		
								vegetation and rocky areas);		
								★ Maintenance vehicles must make use of		
								dedicated access roads and no indiscriminate		
								movement in the freshwater features may be		
								movement in the freshwater features may be		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
								<ul> <li>During periodic maintenance activities of the roads, monitoring for erosion must be undertaken; and</li> <li>Should erosion be observed, caused by the road crossings/instream infrastructure, the area must be rehabilitated by infilling the erosion gully and revegetation thereof with suitable indigenous vegetation. Use can also be made of rocks collected from the surrounding area to infill any area prone to erosion (however, these must be sustainably sourced not taken from the surrounding freshwater features including rivers in the local area).</li> </ul>		
DISPLACEMENT	Disturbance during the construction, operational	DIRECT	STUDY	LONG-TERM	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	→ Disturbance can be managed and mitigated	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -
THROUGH	and decommissioning phases can negatively affect	DIRECT	AREA	LOING-TERINI	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	most effectively at the design stage by avoiding	ACHIEVADLE	LOW -
DISTURBANCE	all avifauna on an individual or population level by increasing stress, decreasing food and habitat	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY SEVERE	HIGH -	important nesting, roosting and foraging areas of sensitive species during site selection and	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
	availability, causing displacement into potentially	NO-GO		1	NO IMPA			layout design, which has been achieved for the		
	less suitable neighbouring environments, and							proposed development (embedded mitigation).		
	ultimately potentially decreasing reproductive							In order to ensure no SCCs are breeding within		
	success (Bennun et al. 2021, Jenkins et al. 2017, Madders & Whitfield 2006, Marques et al. 2021). An							the proposed disturbance footprint prior to the commencement of construction or		
	avoidance of the WEF at a macro scale (barrier							decommissioning activities, a walkthrough of		
	effect), can lead to displacement, but can also lead							the site conducted within the month prior to		
	to no response (if the bird avoiding the WEF area							commencement of construction can identify		
	does not alter it's habitat use otherwise) (Laranjeiro et al. 2018, May 2015).							areas that require additional mitigation during construction and limit negative impacts on sensitive species.		
	The impact of disturbance on avifauna is rated as							sensitive species.		
	potentially negative and would affect the avifauna									
	of the PAOI for the duration of all phases. Some									
	displacement is certain to occur, while some									
	attraction may also occur, but the impact will cease									
	with the completion of the phases and is reversible.  The impact severity is potentially moderately									
	severe if breeding areas of SCC are affected. This									
	results in the significance of the impact rated as									
	potentially moderate negative before mitigation for									
	the construction and decommissioning phases and									
	as low negative for the operational phase.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related									
	to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.									
DISPLACEMENT	The state of the s	DIRECT	STUDY	LONG-TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE -	A Reversibility is considered to be possible with	ACHIEVABLE	LOW -
	-			1				,		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
THROUGH HABITAT	According to the project description the proposed	CURALII ATIVE	AREA	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SEVERE	111011	rehabilitation to some degree for the	A CLUIEN A DUE	MODERATE
LOSS	permanent development footprint is relatively	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	LONG-TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY SEVERE	HIGH -	construction phase.  - Following site selection mitigation is only	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
	small within the development site, some habitat	NO-GO	ANLA		NO IMPA			marginally possible by retaining as much of the	NO IM	PACT
	loss will definitely occur. Many bird species will							indigenous vegetation as possible, minimising		
	persist within the operational WEF site, due to the							the footprint of all associated infrastructure,		
	relatively small footprint, however some avian							including buildings, electrical infrastructure		
	species may be displaced from the area. Some							and the width and length of roads, and		
	habitat could occur due to the road and cable							rehabilitating as many disturbed areas as possible following construction.		
	network and this would impact mainly on terrestrial							→ Before construction and decommissioning an		
	species such as Ludwig's Bustard, Karoo Korhaan,							avifaunal walkthrough can identify any active		
	Northern Black Korhaan. The impact of habitat loss							nesting and breeding sites, which must be		
	on avifauna is negative and would affect the site directly and surrounding areas indirectly through							protected until the breeding has concluded.		
	displacement. Therefore, the spatial extent of the									
	impact is rated as the study area.									
	impact is rated as the study area.									
	Consulative insurest on a leastined early would be									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related									
MORTALITY FROM	to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.  Birds can collide with wind turbines and the	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -		ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
COLLISIONS WITH	monopoles if they do not avoid them (Kunz et al.	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM		SEVERE	HIGH -	Practice Guidelines.	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
TURBINES	2007), and their ability to avoid turbines can be site-	NO-GO			NO IMPA			A specialist raptor nest survey and collision risk	NO IM	
	, species- and weather- and turbine-specific (Cook							modelling were completed prior to the		
	et al. 2014, Drewitt & Langston 2006, Marques et al.							selection of the facility site and the selection of		
	2014). Mortalities from collisions with turbines can							the turbine layout, as has been done for this		
	vary greatly between sites (Sovacool 2009) and the effect of mortalities on the species population can							project.  The proposed turbine layout avoids all areas of		
	vary greatly depending on the species resilience,							high and medium collision risk for Verreaux's		
	with large-bodies, long-living species with a low							Eagle identified by the VERA model, in addition		
	reproductive rate and slow maturation rates being							to avoiding high flight activity buffers of priority		
	disproportionately affected. In addition to being							species, nest buffers that were identified for		
	more prone to collisions due to body size, even low							Martial Eagle, Secretarybird, Jackal Buzzard		
	fatality rates can have population-level effects,							and Pale Chanting Goshawk, as well as applied		
	particularly for already heavily impacted upon SCC (Carrete et al. 2009, Drewitt & Langston 2006,							buffers of ridgelines, wetlands and rivers.  Proactive minimizing mitigation measures that		
	Marques et al 2014).							are recommended include habitat		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							management measures, such as removing		
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF							artificial rock piles used by eagle prey,		
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							minimising perching and nesting opportunities		
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							within the facility, blade painting and		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same							implementing post-construction monitoring.		
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the							The painting of one turbine blade in a different		
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related							colour has shown to lower collisions by raptors successfully (May et al 2020), and this is		
	Two-go unternative would result in no impact related							Juccessjully living et al 2020, alla tills is		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.							currently being implemented retrospectively (in-situ) at one WEF in South Africa. As this mitigation is potentially highly effective, proactively painting the blades of as many turbines as legally possible prior to construction, at a fraction of the cost of a reactive approach is highly recommended.		
MORTALITY FROM	In South Africa, a number of endemic and	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	The impact can be completely avoided by	ACHIEVABLE	NO IMPACT
COLLISIONS WITH	threatened species are known to be significantly		REGIONAL	LONG-TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	burying all internal overhead powerlines along	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE -
POWERLINES	affected by collisions (Taylor et al. 2015), including SCC's that were recorded in the area such as	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		the internal road network. Where this is		• • •
	Ludwig's Bustard, Blue Crane, Secretarybird and							technically not possible, in order to minimise collisions, line markers such as bird flappers	NO IMP	ACT
	Black Stork (Shaw et al. 2021). Ludwig's Bustard is							and static bird flight diverters are being widely		
	particularly prone to collisions and made up 69% of							used with some success.		
	carcasses found under powerlines in a two year							Where this is not possible, every meter of		
	study in the Karoo (Shaw 2013). Karoo Korhaan is							overhead power line potentially significantly		
	also affected, but does not collide as frequently as							increases the probability of collisions resulting		
	Ludwig's Bustard, possibly due to their sedentary							in a high negative, and unacceptable impact		
	nature making them familiar with their area and							significance rating.		
	their smaller size increasing their maneuverability									
	(Shaw 2013). Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related									
AAORTALITY FROM	to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.	DIRECT	DECIONAL	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	DEFINITE	шсп	Died electropytions can be easily sucided by	EASILY ACHIEVABLE	NO IMPACT
MORTALITY FROM ELECTROCUTIONS ON	Large birds can be electrocuted or incur electric shock injuries when simultaneously contacting two	DIRECT	REGIONAL REGIONAL	LONG-TERM LONG-TERM	MAY OCCUR	DEFINITE DEFINITE	HIGH -	<ul> <li>Bird electrocutions can be easily avoided by burying overhead powerlines, and by creating</li> </ul>	EASILY ACHIEVABLE	NO IMPACT LOW -
ELECTRICAL	uninsulated energised components of differing	CONIDLATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG-TERIVI	WAT OCCOR	DEFINITE	HIGH -	separation between conductors of differing	NO IMP	
INFRASTRUCTURE	electric potential (phase-to-phase electrocution), or							electrical potential at substations and electrical	INO IIVIF	ACI
	when contacting an uninsulated energised							infrastructure, and by placing insulation over		
	component and a path to ground (phase-to-ground-							conductors, or by redirecting birds to perch or		
	electrocution) (Dwyer 2006, APLIC 2006). Because							nest away from conductors (APLIC 2006, Dwyer		
	electrocutions result from birds bridging air-gaps,							et al. 2017).		
	larger birds with larger wingspans, such as Martial							↓ If all overhead powerlines are buried any		
	Eagle, are disproportionately affected (Slater et al.							exposed electrical infrastructure within the		
	2020). Most bird electrocutions occur at relatively low and medium voltage distribution systems,							substation is of a bird-friendly insulated design, the impact can be completely removed.		
	rather than with transmission systems where the							те пприст сип ве сотрієтелу геточей.		
	separations created by longer insulators and wider									
	air- gaps around wires are larger (APLIC 2006,									
	Bennun et al. 2020, Slater et al. 2020).									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it									
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the			1						

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.									
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	Cumulative impacts assessed include the combination of all the impacts discussed above for this project, which may be higher than the sum of impacts, as well as the associated two Soutrivier	DIRECT CUMULATIVE NO-GO	REGIONAL REGIONAL	LONG-TERM LONG-TERM	SEVERE SEVERE NO IMPA	DEFINITE DEFINITE	HIGH - HIGH -	The only real mitigation possible in order to minimise cumulative impacts, beyond minimising impacts for each project separately during the EIA process, is for the Competent	DIFFICULT  DIFFICULT  NO IMPA	MODERATE - MODERATE - ACT
	WEFs, the Soutrivier Solar PV Facilities and their associated OHPLs, and all known past, present and proposed projects in an area of 30 km surrounding the proposed development. In addition to the Soutrivier projects two WEFs are proposed within this radius: the Taaibos North WEF and associated OHPL, and the Taaibos South WEF and associated OHPL. All of these facilities are to ultimately connect to the Gamma MTS with one shared powerline from the Soutrivier Collector Substation to the Gamma Substation, which lowers the cumulative impact. The impacts of the cumulative projects will be negative by making a larger area of avifaunal karoo scrub habitat unavailable and of higher risk for SCC flying between Victoria West and Loxton.  There is also a potential for an increased barrier effect being created by the combination of these projects, which would be a negative, regional, long-term impact. As these projects are not located on any major flyways, the probability of this occurring is however unlikely.  The contribution of the Soutrivier South WEF to the cumulative impact in a 30 km radius is considered to be moderate, i.e., the cumulative impact will be lower but the cumulative significance rating will remain unchanged regardless of the Soutrivier South WEF being constructed or not.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to disturbance of avifaunal habitats.							Authority to ensure only projects are authorised that are practically mitigatable to an acceptable level, and that do not lead to unacceptable negative impacts, including cumulative impacts, and to ensure the correct implementation of authorised Environmental Management Programmes through compliance audits and enforcement.		
	to aisturbance of avifaunal habitats.				RAT IMPACT ACC	SECCMENT				
BAT FATALITY	Bat mortality (direct impact) through collisions with wind turbine blades is the principal impact of wind energy facilities on bats (Cryan and Barclay 2009,	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	Avoid:  No placement of turbines within no-go areas.	REVERSIBLE	MODERATE -
	Arnett et al. 2016).	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	Minimise:	REVERSIBLE	MODERATE -

	SYI	ITHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to bats.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		<ul> <li>Maintain a minimum blade sweep of 30 m to avoid impacts to lower flying bats such as clutter-edge species (e.g., Cape serotine, Natal long-fingered bat)</li> <li>Minimise the rotor diameter</li> <li>Turbine blades must be feathered, or a similar technique should be used, to prevent freewheeling below the turbine cut-in speed.</li> <li>Implement post-construction fatality monitoring and apply additional curtailment or deterrents if fatality thresholds are exceeded.</li> </ul>	NO IMP <i>i</i>	ACT
LIGHT POLLUTION	Construction of infrastructure will increase ecological light pollution from artificial lighting	DIRECT AND INDIRECT	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Avoid:  No placement of substations and operational and	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	associated with the substation and other operational and maintenance buildings associated	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	maintenance buildings within no-go areas.  Minimise:	REVERSIBLE	MODERATE -
	with the project. Light pollution can alter ecological dynamics (Horváth et al. 2009). Lighting attracts and can cause direct mortality of insects, reducing the prey base for bats, especially bat species that are light-phobic. These species may also be displaced from previous foraging areas due to lighting. Other bat species forage around lights, attracted by higher numbers of insects. This may bring these species into the vicinity of the project and indirectly increase the risk of collision with wind turbines.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to bats.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		Use as little lighting as possible, maximise use of motion-sensor lighting, avoid sky-glow by using hoods, increase spacing between lighting units, and using low intensity lighting (Rydell 1992, Stone 2012).	NO IMPA	ACT
				H	HERITAGE IMPACT	ASSESSMENT				
LOSS OF HERITAGE RESOURCES: STONE AGE	impact on previously undetected archaeological sites, human burials and the cultural landscape	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	It is understood that no new areas will be disturbed and/or impacted during the operations phase of the	EASILY REVERSIBLE	LOW -
OCCURANCES	might occur as a result of operational activities (site access, movement, maintenance, trespassing,	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT AND LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	project and the risk and severity of heritage impacts should decrease once the projects activate.	REVERSIBLE	LOW – AND LOW (+)
	natural elements, hazards etc).  Cummulative impact: The low frequency of significant archaeological resources documented in the project area and in its immediate surroundings implies low-severity short and long-term impacts on the heritage landscape  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters	NO-GO						Furthermore, the majority of sites of archaeological and heritage significance would have been recorded and/or assessed in preceding phases.  Cumulative impact:  The significance of the landscape in terms of its heritage is bound not to change during the course of construction, operation and decommissioning of the project.	NO IMPA	ACT

	SYM	ITHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to destruction of archaeological resources.									
LOSS OF HERITAGE	impact on previously undetected archaeological	DIRECT	STUDY	SHORT	PROBABLE	MODERATE	LOW -	It is understood that no new areas will be disturbed	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
RESOURCES: ROCKSHELTER (SRc02)	sites, human burials and the cultural landscape might occur as a result of operational activities (site	CUMULATIVE	AREA STUDY	TERM SHORT	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	and/or impacted during the operations phase of the project and the risk and severity of heritage impacts		
AND CORBEL BUILDING (SRC01)	access, movement, maintenance, trespassing, natural elements, hazards etc).	COMOLATIVE	AREA	AND LONG TERM	MAT GCGN	SEIGIII	2011	should decrease once the projects activate.	REVERSIBLE	LOW – AND LOW (+)
		NO-GO		<u>.</u>				Furthermore, the majority of sites of archaeological		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to destruction of archaeological resources.							and heritage significance would have been recorded and/or assessed in preceding phases. During the Operations Phase, the continuation of management measures for the rock shelter (SRC02) and a corbel building (SRC01) -should the sites be retained -should be tracked and continuous ECO site monitoring will be required.	NO IMI	PACT
					NOISE IMPACT A	SSESSMENT				
DAYTIME OPERATION	WTG will only operate during period with increased	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -	→ The significance of the noise impact is low and	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
OF WTG CONSIDERING THE WORST-CASE SPL	winds, when ambient sound levels are higher than periods with no or low winds. As discussed and motivated in Section 6.4 of the Noise Impact Assessment (as proposed in Table 6-2 and illustrated in Figure 4-28), ambient sound levels will likely be higher, with this assessment assuming an ambient sound level of 41.5 dBA.  Numerous WTG of the Soutrivier South WEF operating simultaneously during the day will increase ambient sound levels due to air-borne noise from the WTG. The projected noise levels and the change in ambient sound levels is defined for the identified NSR in Appendix F, Table 4 of the Noise Impact Assessment.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related	NO-GO	LOCALISED	LONGTERM	NO IMPA	SLIGHT	LOW -	no additional mitigation is recommended.	REVERSIBLE  NO IM	PACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
NIGHT-TIME	WTG will only operate during period with increased	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -	The significance of the noise impact is low and	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
OPERATION OF WTG CONSIDERING THE WORST-CASE SPL	winds, when ambient sound levels are higher than periods with no or low winds. As discussed and motivated in Section 6.4 of the Noise Impact Assessment (as proposed in Table 6-2 and illustrated in Figure 4-29), ambient sound levels will likely be higher with this assessment assuming an ambient sound level of 41.5 dBA.  Numerous WTG of the Soutrivier South WEF operating simultaneously at night will increase ambient sound levels due to air-borne noise from the WTG. The projected noise levels, the change in ambient sound levels as well as the potential noise impact is defined per NSR in Appendix F, Table 5 (using the criteria of the author/EARES) of the Noise Impact Assessment. It is expected that the sounds from the operating WTG may be audible at night.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.	NO-GO	LOCALISED	LONGTERM	UNLIKELY NO IMPA	SLIGHT	LOW -	no additional mitigation is recommended, though future noise-monitoring is recommended.	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	No-go alternative would result in no impact related to night-time operational noise.									
				PALA	ENTOLOGICAL IMI	PACT ASSESSMENT				
None identified by specia	list									
				RIVE	RINE RABBIT IMP	ACT ASSESSMENT				
DISTURBANCE	During operation, the turbines will generate noise	DIRECT	STUDY	SHORT	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	<ul> <li>Turbines and pylons should be located outside</li> </ul>	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
THROUGH NOISE	which may have a negative impact on Riverine		AREA	TERM				of the buffers around riverine habitat	251/526/215	1000
POLLUTION	Rabbit activity and ecology. Wind turbines generate noise within the audible range as well as low-	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	SHORT TERM	POSSIBLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	△ Given the lack of knowledge on adequate	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	frequency "infrasound". Such noise may reduce the	NO-GO	AREA	IERIVI	NO IMPA	) ACT		buffer sizes to effectively mitigate noise		
	species' ability to detect predators, or may result in	NO-GO			NO IIVIPA	ACT		impacts on the species, if a population is found		
	elevated stress levels. Although there is little							on the site in the future, a research project should be instigated and funded to monitor the		
	mitigation possible for turbine noise, the potential							effect of the turbines on the species		
	Riverine Rabbit habitat on the plateau has been							effect of the turbines of the species		
	buffered by a minimum of 350m, which would									
	reduce the potential significance of this impact.									
	Given the distance between the turbines and High									
	sensitivity zones, it is assumed, with a low level of									
	certainty, that this impact would be of generally low									
	magnitude									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely.									
	However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and									
	their associated infrastructure are proposed by the									
	same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to									

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT					RACTED FROM	SIGNIFICANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	DEVEDS A DILITY /	SIGNIFICANCE
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	POST- MITIGATION
	the same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on the local Riverine Rabbit population.									
DEGRADATION OF	The construction of roads, turbine hard-stands,	DIRECT AND	STUDY	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	SEVERE	MODERATE -	→ Implement a Site Erosion Management and	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
HABITAT BY EROSION	roads and laydown areas etc. will result in the	INDIRECT	AREA	TERM		<b>V</b> 2.V22		Control Plan to prevent erosion from high-lying		2011
	destruction of currently intact vegetation, which	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	MEDIUM	POSSIBLE	SEVERE	MODERATE -	areas impacting downstream ecosystems	REVERSIBLE	LOW -
	may lead indirectly to soils being exposed and		AREA	TERM						
	facilitating erosion. Erosion leads to river	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT			NO IMP	ACT
	degradation through increased runoff and siltation processes. If erosion control is implemented, the									
	resulting impact from erosion and would also be									
	low.									
	iow.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters									
	operational timelines overlap, which is likely.									
	However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and									
	their associated infrastructure are proposed by the									
	same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on the									
	local Riverine Rabbit population.									
				SOCI	O-ECONOMIC IMP	ACT ASSESSMENT				
NEW EMPLOYMENT	Direct and indirect employment opportunities will	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE +	→ Maximise local employment and procurement	DIFFICULT	MODERATE +
AND ECONOMIC	manifest during the operational lifespan of the					BENEFICIAL		(from the local and district municipalities)		
IMPACTS	Project and result in an increase in household	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY	MODERATE +	wherever possible.	DIFFICULT	MODERATE +
	earnings and improved livelihoods for the affected households through salaries and wages.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	BENEFICIAL		<ul> <li>Coordinate the effort to obtain temporary employment, service providers, SMME's etc.</li> </ul>	NO IMP	ACT
	★ WEF Projects of this nature employ between	NO-GO			NO IIVIFA	CI		required for maintenance work, with the	INO IIVIF	ACI
	ten to fifteen permanent workers, of which							municipal LED Units.		
	about 50% would be skilled (Operations							·		
	Manager, technicians, electricians, engineers,									
	mechanics, Health & Safety Officer, etc.) and									
	50% semi-skilled (security, site maintenance,									
	etc.).  * Temporary workers would be sourced through									
	service providers to perform contract									
	maintenance work such as civil works, site									
	maintenance, site clearing to minimise the									
	potential of veld fires, painting of buildings,									
	plumbing and so forth.									
	→ Job creation as a result of the funding spent on									
	SED projects, such as construction /									
	infrastructure projects, literacy / education programmes, sport development, etc.									
	Indirect and induced employment created									
	through procurement of components,									
	equipment, goods and services to maintain the									
	infrastructure and access roads.									
	In additional to employment, economic impacts will									
	manifest for the local and national economies through the manufacturing and services industries.									

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	Furthermore, agricultural land will be rezoned for renewable energy purposes, thereby increasing farm values and resulting in higher payable taxes for the local municipality.  Induced economic impacts will realise locally and regionally through employment and procurement and as a result more benefits for retail sales, leisure and hospitality, real estate, etc. will occur as more money circulates in the local economy.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be MODERATE should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA									
	ratings significantly			<del>,</del>						
INCREASE IN LIVELIHOODS FOR	During the operational period the IPP will sign a long-term lease agreement with the affected	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY BENEFICIAL	MODERATE +	Consider the potential increase in rates and taxes when lease agreements are negotiated	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE +
DIRECTLY BENEFITTING LANDOWNERS	landowners where turbines (up to 32) and associate infrastructure are located, thereby compensating	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	MODERATELY BENEFICIAL	MODERATE +	with landowners.	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE +
	them through an annual fee. Details of the option-to-lease agreements are confidential. However, the compensation will increase the landowners' incomes and revenue and can be used to further invest in their properties, increase productivity and employment, or improve financial security. It is however also worth noting that the rezoning of agricultural land for renewable energy infrastructure purposes usually results in higher payable property taxes, which, if not considered during the negotiation process, could result in a negative trade-off for landowners.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be MODERATE should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on XX.	NO-GO			NO IMPA				NO IMP	
SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION /	A needs assessment will be done with the affected parties (municipalities, beneficiary communities,	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY BENEFICIAL	LOW +	Involve the local and district municipalities' LED Units in all processes when SED and ED projects	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	etc.) to identify suitable projects for SED and ED, which is usually aligned with IDP and LED priorities.	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY BENEFICIAL	LOW +	and suitable candidates for projects and/or training programmes are identified.	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
	Once the identified beneficiaries have been evaluated according to stringent evaluation criteria a contract is entered with them for the specified duration of the projects. Monitoring is done to	NO-GO		1	NO IMPA			<ul> <li>Make gender and Youth issues a specific outcome of the needs analysis to ensure that these groups are targeted.</li> <li>In conjunction with other IPP's in the region or</li> </ul>	NO IMP	ACT

	SYN	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	ensure that the projects deliver as per their proposals.  The IPP is required to report quarterly to the DMRE's Independent Power Producer Office (IPPO), which allows the IPPO to monitor use of SED and ED funds as committed by the Project (approximately 2.1% of revenue), as well as monitor the impact such contributions have on the communities through funding of existing projects and enterprises.  Consultation with municipal stakeholders for this Project and for previous RE projects in other provinces identified the need for:  More transparency during the annual monitoring processes so that it is clear for municipalities whether the budget allocated towards SED and ED has been used adequately;  A greater commitment to link with the LED initiatives already identified in the IDP;  Coordination between SED and ED initiatives of the various RE projects in the region through a central Forum or similar structure so that initiatives are not duplicated. This will also enable the implementation of larger projects that will have a greater impact for the region.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be MODERATE should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings invidently.							in the RE corridor / RE Zone set up and establish a Forum (or similar structure) to coordinate community development initiatives. Meet on a quarterly basis to provide feedback and ensure transparency.  Ensure further transparency and effective information sharing through industry associated websites, emailed newsletters, municipal noticeboards, information events and meetings and existing community channels used by the various wards.  Become involved in local initiatives that address existing backlogs, such as the establishment and training of an Emergency Unit / Response Team for fire prevention and emergencies (e.g. with volunteers such as farmers), hospital support (e.g. equipment, training of staff where there are staff shortages, etc.) and so forth to ensure that real community based needs are met.  Link with existing NGO's and pre-established projects but make it a requirement (and set targets) for the establishment of new community-driven development processes and for NGO's to assist in skills transfer to these new groups and processes.		
TRAINING / SKILLS	significantly.  Training and skills development initiatives during	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHTLY	LOW +	▲ Identify existing NGO's to assist in training and	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
DEVELOPMENT / CAPACITY BUILDING	operations are likely to occur in the following ways: Formal and on-the-job training for permanent and	CUMULATIVE	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	BENEFICIAL SLIGHTLY	LOW +	skills transfer to communities and Officials.  Link with existing training workshops and	ACHIEVABLE	MODERATE +
	<ul> <li>temporary employees to allow them to perform their tasks safely and adequately;</li> <li>Training / education programmes through ED contributions;</li> <li>Offering of bursaries and internships;</li> <li>Skills development and capacity building of municipal Officials during the negotiation processes and stakeholder relations.</li> <li>The implementation and operation of RE projects require local government involvement to assist with managing stakeholder and community relations. This poses various challenges, as there might be shortfalls in terms of capacity and management experience</li> </ul>	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT		programmes for SMME development that are done by municipal LED Units.  In collaboration with other IPPs operational in the region, establish a SMME "Village" and training centre to coordinate training efforts of SMMEs and individuals. Link with bigger institutions such as Universities and Further Education and Training (FET) institutes to increase the impact of training and skills development in the region.	NO IMP	ACT

F a F a B B B a B B B B B B B B B B B B								LIST REPORTS		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	within the municipalities. Emphasis is therefore									
	again placed on the involvement of local									
	government throughout operations to enable the Officials to gain experience and develop									
	skills that will be to the advantage of the									
	Project as well as for the municipalities over the									
	long-term.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	LOW should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters									
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is									
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings									
	significantly.									
LAND USE IMPACTS	The total footprint of the turbines and ancillary	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -	None suggested	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
	infrastructure is 76.68 ha post-construction. With a	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	SLIGHT	LOW -		VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
	grazing capacity of 26 to 28 hectares per LSU, the	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ			NO IMPA	ACT
	loss in land amounts to a loss of only about 2.7 LSU.									
	No high potential agricultural or cultivated land will									
	be lost.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters									
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is									
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their									
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same									
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings significantly.									
IMPACTS ON LAND	Incomes earned through long-term lease	DIRECT	STUDY	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	None suggested     None	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
				1						
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and		AREA	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUP	CHCUT	LOW		VEDV DIEEICHT	LOW
VALUES			AREA STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -		VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since		STUDY	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR NO IMPA		LOW -		VERY DIFFICULT  NO IMPA	
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers'	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which could be to the detriment of land values. In addition	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which could be to the detriment of land values. In addition to negative perceptions, other variables such as the	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which could be to the detriment of land values. In addition to negative perceptions, other variables such as the impact on land uses, location, proximity of wind	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which could be to the detriment of land values. In addition to negative perceptions, other variables such as the	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which could be to the detriment of land values. In addition to negative perceptions, other variables such as the impact on land uses, location, proximity of wind turbines and lease agreement terms can have a	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			
VALUES	agreements will have an economic benefit and could increase farmland values and returns for the duration of operations. However, impacts on farmland values remain an inconclusive topic, since emotional factors and negative perceptions associated with the wind farm facility (such as aesthetics, visual impacts, noise, sense of place and so forth) could affect individual prospective buyers' interests and possibly prolong sales periods, which could be to the detriment of land values. In addition to negative perceptions, other variables such as the impact on land uses, location, proximity of wind turbines and lease agreement terms can have a significant impact on the marketability of rural land	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM			LOW -			

100115						RACTED FROM				0.01.01.01.01
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	operational phase of the Soutrivier South WEF are	ı								
	unlikely, but that individual negative perceptions	1	I							
	towards the infrastructure could affect property	ı	I							
	sales negatively in terms of possible prolonged sale periods and fewer buyers' interests.	1								
	perious and fewer buyers interests.									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters	1								
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is	1	I							
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their	1	I							
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same		I							
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.		I							
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings		I							
	significantly.	1								
MPACTS ON TOURISM	Should impacts on tourism as a result of this project	DIRECT	STUDY	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	→ Should the affected tourism establishment	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
	manifest, it will likely be due to visual impacts and		AREA					raise complaints and/or concerns, consult		
	impacts on sense of place. At this stage tourism in the PKSDM district contributes 15.6% to the	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM	MAY OCCUR	SLIGHT	LOW -	with them and consider to remove the	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
	provincial GVA, of which the Ubuntu LM is only a	NO-GO	AREA		NO IMPA	CT		turbine/s that they perceive could be problematic.		
	small contributor.	NO-GO	I		NO IIVIFA	ICI		problematic.		
	Only one accommodation / tourism establishments	1								
	has been identified in the study area, i.e.	1								
	Meltonwold, a historical Karoo Guest Farm located	ı	I							
	about 8 km north of the nearest wind turbine. The	ı	I							
	VIA (Nuleaf, October 2022) determined that the	1								
	potential visual impact on sensitive receptors	1								
	within the local area (5 – 10 km offset) is likely to be		I							
	of high significance.		I							
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be	1								
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters	1								
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is		I							
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same		I							
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the	ı	I							
	same standard.									
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings		I							
	significantly.		I							
MPACTS ON SENSE OF PLACE	The Project is located in an area with low crime levels and has an overall feeling of solitude and	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE SEVERE	MODERATE -	Implement an effective Land Use Management programme in collaboration with the	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
. 2.02		CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE SEVERE	MODERATE -	landowners.	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
	term impact on the sense of place for this WEF		AREA							
	project would thus relate to a potential change in	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ	•	measures as proposed		
	the landscape character, intrusion impacts and any		l					A Rehabilitate the veld to its original state post		
	changes to the safety and social surroundings of		l					the operational phase.		
	community members.		l							
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be		l							
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters		l							
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is		l							
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same		l							

	SYNTHESIS OF SPECIALIST IMPACTS AS EXTRACTED FROM THE SPECIALIST REPORTS  ISSUE DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT NATURE OF SPATIAL TEMPORAL CERTAINTY SEVERITY SIGNIFICANCE IMPACT SCALE SCALE SCALE BENEFICIAL SCALE PRE-  MITIGATION MEASURES REVERSABILITY SIGNIFICANCE PRE-													
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT							MITIGATION MEASURES						
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the				·					•				
	same standard.													
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings													
INTRUSION IMPACTS	significantly.  The NIA (de Jager, October 2022) rated both	DIRECT	STUDY	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE SEVERE	MODERATE -	★ Implement an effective Land Use Management	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE -				
INTROSION INFACTS	daytime and night-time operational activities	DIRECT	AREA	LONG TERIVI	PROBABLE	WIODERATE SEVERE	WODERATE -	programme (procedures when gates are	VERT DIFFICULT	WODERATE -				
	(noises form wind turbines) when considering the	CUMULATIVE	STUDY	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE SEVERE	MODERATE -	opened and closed, road maintenance,	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE -				
	worst-case scenario with a low negative		AREA					methods to address potential veld fires, no-go						
	significance.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		areas, etc.) in collaboration with the						
	The VIA (Nuleaf Planning & Environmental, October							landowners.						
	2022) rated the visual impact on visual receptors in close proximity (within 5km) with a very high							Implement all mitigation and management measures as proposed in the VIA and NIA						
	negative significance and those located between 5							Specialist reports.						
	and 20 km ranging from between high and							Specialist reports.						
	moderate negative significance. The visual impact													
	of shadow flicker is rated with a moderate													
	significance.													
	Traffic on local access roads will not increase													
	significantly as maintenance and repairs to infrastructure will be done intermittently.													
	innastructure will be done intermittently.													
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be XX													
	should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters													
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is													
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their													
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the													
	same standard.													
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings													
	significantly.													
CONTRIBUTION TO	The proposed Soutrivier South WEF will generate	DIRECT	NATIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY	MODERATE +	None suggested.	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE +				
NATIONAL POWER	electricity and enhance the reliability and stability	CHRAIN ATIVE	NATIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	BENEFICIAL	MODERATE		VEDV DIEEICHT	MODERATE				
SUPPLY	of supply that would contribute to economic development in the country as a whole.	COMOLATIVE	NATIONAL	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SLIGHTLY BENEFICIAL	MODERATE +		VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE +				
	development in the country as a whole.	NO-GO				DENETICIAL								
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be													
	MODERATE should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF													
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it													
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same													
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the													
	same standard.													
	No-go alternative would not impact the SEIA ratings													
	significantly.			TERRESE	UAL BIODUITAGE	INADACT ACCECCATELE								
POTENTIAL	Permanent or temporary loss of indigenous	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	→ Blanket clearing of vegetation must be limited	DIFFICULT	LOW -				
TERRESTRIAL	vegetation cover because of site clearing. Site			PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	to the site. No clearing outside of required	DIFFICULT	LOW -				
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	clearing before construction will result in the	NO-GO	200.11025		NO IMPA			footprint required for construction to take	NO IMP					
	blanket clearing of vegetation within the affected							place.						
VEGETATION	footprint.							★ Topsoil must be striped and stockpiled						
	Consideration transport							separately during site preparation and replaced						
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							on completion where revegetation will take						

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTR	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on vegetation.				, , ,			place.  Any site camps and laydown areas requiring clearing must be located within already disturbed areas as far as possible, or away from watercourses, alluvial areas and other sensitive features (rocky outcrops).		
POTENTIAL	Loss of flora species of special concern during pre-	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	A flora search and rescue is recommended	EASY	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL	construction site clearing activities. Several special	CUMULATIVE		PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	before commencement.	EASY	LOW -
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	of concern are known from surrounding areas,	NO-GO	LOCALISED	PERMANULINI	NO IMPA		LOW -	<ul> <li>Respective permits to be obtained beforehand.</li> </ul>	NO IMF	
FLORA SPECIES	which could be destroyed during site preparation.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is	NO-GO			NO IWIFA			nespective perims to be obtained beforehand.	NO IMP	ACI
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on floral species.									
POTENTIAL	Susceptibility of post construction disturbed areas	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Alien trees and weeds must be removed from	EASY	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL	to invasion by exotic and alien invasive species and			TERM				the site as per CARA/ NEMBA requirements.		
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	removal of exotic and alien invasive species during	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	SHORT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	A suitable weed and alien invasive plant	EASY	LOW -
ALIEN INVASIVE SPECIES	construction. Post construction disturbed areas having no vegetation cover are often susceptible to invasion by weedy and alien species, which can not only become invasive but also prevent natural flora from becoming established.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on alien	NO-GO		TERM	NO IMPA	СТ		management plan to be implemented in construction and operation phases.  After clearing and construction is completed, an appropriate cover crop may be required, should natural re-establishment of grasses not take place in a timely manner, such as along road verges. This will also minimise dust.	NO IMF	ACT
POTENTIAL	<ul><li>invasive species.</li><li>Susceptibility of some areas to erosion because of</li></ul>	DIRECT	LOCALISED	SHORT	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW	∴ Suitable measures must be implemented in	EASY	LOW -
TERRESTRIAL	construction related disturbances. Removal of	DIRECT	LOCALISED	TERM	FUSSIBLE	JUNI	LOW -	areas that are susceptible to erosion. Areas	EAST	LOVV -
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	vegetation cover and soil disturbance may result in	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	SHORT	POSSIBLE	SLIGHT	LOW -	must be rehabilitated, and a suitable cover crop	EASY	LOW -
	some areas being susceptible to soil erosion after	JOINGERUIVE	10 OALIGED	TERM	. 333.555	J210111	2011	planted once construction is completed.	L/ 13 /	2011
EROSION	completion of the activity.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ст		<ul> <li>★ Topsoil must be stripped and stockpiled separately and replaced on completion.</li> <li>↓ If natural vegetation re-establishment does not occur, a suitable grass must be applied.</li> </ul>	NO IMF	ACT
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the									

SYNTHESIS OF SPECIALIST IMPACTS AS EXTRACTED FROM THE SPECIALIST REPORTS												
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION		
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on erosion.											
POTENTIAL	Disturbances to ecological processes: Activity may	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Blanket clearing of vegetation must be limited	DIFFICULT	LOW -		
TERRESTRIAL	result in disturbances to ecological processes such	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	to the development footprint, and the area to	DIFFICULT	LOW -		
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	as fragmentation (road, etc).	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		be cleared must be demarcated before any	NO IMP	ACT		
ECOLOGICAL PROCESSES	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on ecological processes.							clearing commences.				
POTENTIAL	Aquatic and Riparian processes: Diversion and	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	→ Suitable structures to be constructed at	EASY	LOW -		
TERRESTRIAL	increased velocity of surface water flows – Changes	CUMULATIVE		PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	watercourse crossings that do not alter flows.	EASY	LOW -		
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	to the hydrological regime and increased potential	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		Stormwater discharge into watercourses to be	NO IMP	ACT		
AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN PROCESSES	for erosion. Impact of changes to water quality. Loss of riparian vegetation / aquatic habitat. Loss of species of special concern.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on							protected against erosion.				
	aquatic and riparian processes.			1								
POTENTIAL	Loss of Faunal Habitat: Activity may result in the loss	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	SLIGHT	LOW -	Blanket clearing of vegetation must be limited	DIFFICULT	LOW -		
TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS	of habitat for faunal species, which could result in disturbance and displacement of faunal species.	NO-GO	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE NO IMPA	SLIGHT CT	LOW -	to the construction footprint required.  Rocky outcrop areas and Riverine Rabbit	DIFFICULT NO IMPA	LOW -		
FAUNAL HABITAT	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be LOW should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on faunal habitat.							Habitat to be avoided as far as possible.  It is important that clearing activities are kept to the minimum and take place in a phased manner, where applicable. This allows any smaller animal species to move into safe areas and prevents wind and water erosion of the cleared areas.				
POTENTIAL	Impacts to faunal processes because of the activity	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	LOW -	The habitats and microhabitats present on the	DIFFICULT	LOW -		
TERRESTRIAL	such as erection of barriers to movement.	CUMULATIVE		PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	LOW -	project site are not unique and are widespread	DIFFICULT	LOW -		
BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS		NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		in the general area, hence the local impact	NO IMP	ACT		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							associated with the footprint would be of low				
FAUNAL PROCESSES	LOW should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters							significance if mitigation measures are adhered				
	construction timelines overlap. However, it is							to.				
	important to note that the 5 WEFs and their		<u> </u>					Small mammals within the habitat on and				

SYNTHESIS OF SPECIALIST IMPACTS AS EXTRACTED FROM THE SPECIALIST REPORTS												
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on faunal processes.							around the affected area are generally mobile and likely to be transient to the area. They will most likely vacate the area once construction commences. As with all construction sites there is a latent risk that there will be some accidental mortalities. Specific measures are made to reduce this risk. The risk of species of special concern is low, and it is unlikely that there will be any impact to populations of such species because of the activity.  A Reptiles such as lizards are less mobile compared to mammals, and some mortalities could arise. It is recommended that a faunal search and rescue be conducted before construction commences, although experience has shown that there could still be some mortalities as these species are mobile and may thus move onto site once construction is underway. A retile handler should be on call for such circumstances.  A Should any amphibian migrations occur between wetland areas during construction, appropriate measures (including temporarily suspending works in the affected area) should be implemented.				
POTENTIAL TERRESTRIAL	Loss of faunal SSC due to construction activities: Activities associated with bush clearing, killing of	DIRECT CUMULATIVE		PERMANENT PERMANENT	DEFINITE DEFINITE	MODERATE MODERATE	MODERATE -	A pre-commencement faunal search and rescue is recommended.	DIFFICULT DIFFICULT	LOW -		
FAUNAL SPECIES	perceived dangerous fauna, may lead to increased mortalities among faunal species.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on faunal species.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	СТ		<ul> <li>Respective permits to be obtained beforehand.</li> <li>No animals are to be harmed or killed during the course of operations.</li> <li>Workers are NOT allowed to snare any faunal species.</li> </ul>	NO IMPA	ACT		
POTENTIAL RISKS TO FAUNA SPECIES OF CONSERVATION CONCERN: HABITAT LOSS, DEGRADATION AND FRAGMENTATION	The development may fragment an already highly fragmented landscape which may create barriers to geneflow where subpopulations are disconnected and isolated. Roads and fences can affect the quality and quantity of available habitat, most notably through fragmentation, creating barriers to animal movement. Erosion from construction may degrade the habitat and direct loss of habitat will	DIRECT CUMULATIVE NO-GO		PERMANENT PERMANENT	DEFINITE DEFINITE NO IMPA	MODERATE MODERATE CT	MODERATE - MODERATE -	<ul> <li>Minimising the project footprint by utilising existing roads and disturbed areas as much as technically possible.</li> <li>Locate developments away from identified sensitive habitats, this includes no go zones and buffer zones for turbine pads, electrical substations and housing facilities as well as construction laydown areas.</li> </ul>	DIFFICULT DIFFICULT NO IMPA	LOW - LOW -		
	occur due to necessity of access roads.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							<ul> <li>Implementing adequate dust control and erosion control.</li> <li>Careful planning of road layout to minimise the length of roads traversing through riverine habitats and rocky ridges that have been</li> </ul>				

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXT	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation with regards to faunal species.							identified as Very high or high sensitivity which may create barriers and fragment habitats.  Lestablish wildlife passes, where artificial barriers are found; this particularly refers to physical barriers such as roads and fences.  Develop and implement a site-specific spill management plan.		
POTENTIAL RISKS TO FAUNA SPECIES OF CONSERVATION CONCERN: DISTURBANCE	Disturbance will be primarily in the form of visual and noise effects as well as general human activities. Visual stimuli from movements of the turbine blades may cause a disturbance which may be far reaching due to the site being open and unobscured. Noise effect from construction and associated human activities during this phase is highly probable. This impact will reduce once the WEF is operational however there will be continued noise pollution from turbines from both the hub and the swish of the blades.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on disturbance of faunal species of conservation concern.	DIRECT CUMULATIVE NO-GO	LOCALISED	PERMANENT PERMANENT	DEFINITE  NO IMPA	MODERATE MODERATE ACT	MODERATE - MODERATE -	Implementing adequate noise reduction measures, including the use of insulation to reduce noise output from turbine hubs.  Temporal (curtailment) restrictions. Temporal restriction strategies can focus on altering turbine operation during times or weather conditions when wildlife is most active or where a negative impact has been found during the monitoring program.  Targeted operational timing by working with wind facility managers to target specific turbines under certain weather conditions where a negative impact has been identified. This may require changing the minimum windspeed at which turbines begin to turn and generate energy (cut-in speed) so that they idle during gentle wind and in so doing reduce noise during periods of low ambient noise.  Minimise development lighting in order to minimise light pollution, disturbance to animals at night;  Minimize noise disturbance during constructions where construction takes place within 1000 m of Very high and high sensitivity habitats. Restricting noise to daytime (9 am – 4	DIFFICULT  NO IMPA	LOW - LOW -
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	There is an increased collision risk from increased	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	pm) periods when most fauna are less active.  A Careful planning of roads to minimise the	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF CONSERVATION CONCERN:  MORTALITY FROM ROAD COLLISION		CUMULATIVE NO-GO	1	PERMANENT	DEFINITE NO IMPA	MODERATE	MODERATE -	length that traverses through riverine and rocky habitats that have been identified as Very high or high sensitivity.  Use existing roads as much as possible.  Roadkill monitoring program on both internal and external public roads targeting sensitive habitats and wildlife corridors. Roadkill Monitoring programs must be initiated at preconstruction phase and continued during construction and post-construction as well as conducted over different seasons.  Pre-construction road planning to identify target sites for wildlife crossing structures which should be considered during the EIA process and with pre-construction roadkill monitoring findings. Wildlife crossing structures must be made in consultation with road planner, construction manager and	DIFFICULT  NO IMPA	LOW -

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF	SPATIAL	TEMPORAL	CERTAINTY	SEVERITY /	SIGNIFICANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/	SIGNIFICANCE
		IMPACT	SCALE (EXTENT)	SCALE (DURATION)	SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	BENEFICIAL SCALE	PRE- MITIGATION		MITIGATION	POST- MITIGATION
	same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on faunal species in relation to road collision mortality.		(EXTENT)	(DORATION)				wildlife biologist. This is generally more cost effective than retro fixing existing roads.  Assess efficiency of roadkill mitigation approaches via a post-implementation roadkill monitoring program.  Implementation of speed limits on both internal access WEF roads (40km/h) as well as external public roads (60km/h).  Reduced speed limits of 30km/h where roads (both internal and external) cross High and Very high sensitivity areas identified; including riverine habitat, koppies and ecotones which may harbour sensitive species and generally have higher species diversity and abundance  Wildlife warning signage and speed reduction measures where roads cross High and Very high sensitivity areas.  Education and awareness campaigns on SCC and their habitat must form part of staff induction procedures to help increase awareness, respect and responsibility towards the environment for all staff and contractors.  Inductions on safe wildlife passing and driving to reduce possible injury and roadkill alongside roads.  There is higher risk of collision when animals are more active which is typically from late afternoon to early morning. During these times a low speed limit (30km/h) needs to be implemented. Night-time driving should be avoided as much as possible but if necessary, speed needs to be reduced significantly to avoid collisions. Lagomorph species (hares and rabbits) often freeze in headlights and require headlights to be momentarily turned off to allow the animal to move off the road.  Reduced speeds also need to be implemented during reduced visibility such as misty conditions that have been observed on the site.  Induction must include reporting of any vehicle/wildlife collision or found roadkill to the appointed Roadkill monitoring personnel.  Search and rescue of slow-moving species, specifically Karoo Dwarf Tortoises, during the construction phase. IUCN guidelines for translocation of sensitive species should be		
								specifically Karoo Dwarf Tortoises, during the construction phase. IUCN guidelines for		

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
				1	1	T		appropriated mitigation.		
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	The cumulative impact is of concern, given the fact	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	↓ It is important to evaluate the consequences of	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF		CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	each development before the next is begun.	DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION	expanding in South Africa. The local fauna is already	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT		↓ Use a precautionary approach and aim to  minimize the second of t	NO IMPA	ACT
CONCERN:	impacted and threatened by past and current land							minimise negative effects even when the		
CUMULATIVE IMPACT	use and the combination of these existing anthropogenic impacts with planned developments							effects are not fully known.  Let Ensure the construction phase is done in as		
CONOCATIVE INFACT	may impact the local fauna with unexpectedly large							short a period as possible and avoid breeding		
	effects. Cumulative effects can also result where							season, typically in the spring after good rains.		
	the construction phase occurs at several locations							Construction needs to be done during daytime,		
	simultaneously or if a new project begins							avoiding noise and disturbance when faunal		
	construction immediately following the completion							communities are most likely active, particularly		
	of another. Cumulative effects can cause a small							where the construction is in proximity to their		
	localized effect (which may have a limited effect on							habitat. Sensitive habitats near construction		
	its own) to have a significant impact on population							will need to be clearly marked.		
	level as there may be thresholds where the							A Relating construction phase of the		
	cumulative effects increase disproportionally.							development with neighbouring developments		
								and farming activity to ensure construction		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							does not begin immediately after the		
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF							completion of another or simultaneously.		
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							↑ The developer instigates a proactive mitigation		
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							measure by initiating a multi-stakeholder		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the							dialogue at a workshop to clarify these concerns and how they might be taken forward		
	same standard.							and co-funded. The aim of this mitigation is to		
	No-go alternative would result in no impact from a							reduce current impacts that threaten the		
	cumulative faunal species of conservation concern							survival of SCC populations. We recommend a		
	loss perspective.							biodiversity wildlife corridor approach whereby		
								protecting sensitive habitats is made a priority.		
								This may include species refuge areas where no		
								form of indiscriminate wildlife killing/snaring is		
								allowed, no or highly reduced livestock grazing,		
								and no pest control including locust spraying is		
								carried out.		
								Poaching and the use of hunting dogs at site is		
				T	T	Γ		prohibited.		
POTENTIAL RISKS TO	The effect of the wind farm on one species may	DIRECT	LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	→ Initiate a general Fauna Biodiversity	DIFFICULT	LOW -
FAUNA SPECIES OF	have indirect cascading effects (knock on effect) on		LOCALISED	PERMANENT	DEFINITE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	Monitoring program	DIFFICULT	LOW -
CONSERVATION CONCERN:	other species within the same community due to	NO-GO			NO IMPA	AC I		A Fauna Biodiversity program must be initiated pre-construction to have baseline population	NO IMPA	ICI
CONCERN:	ecological relations to one another. This means that an effect on one species may in turn affect many							status and monitoring must be ongoing post-		
CASCADING IMPACT	others within the same ecosystem. Cascading							construction to identify any changes in		
ACROSS TROPHIC	effects may be complex and unpredictable as it may							occupancy in certain species' population which		
LEVELS	be the result of different types of interactions							may in turn indirectly impact other fauna		
	including competition, predation, parasitism, or							populations.		
	symbiosis.							<ul> <li>We recommend the use of multiple monitoring</li> </ul>		
	<i>'</i>							methods including and not limited to; camera		
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be							trapping in diverse habitats, targeted camera		
	moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF							trapping for SCC; small mammal monitoring		
	clusters construction timelines overlap. However, it							with the use of Sherman traps; the use of		
	is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their							Conservation Scent Detection Dog teams to		
	associated infrastructure are proposed by the same							assist in detecting SCC.		
					<del></del>		<del></del>			

	SYN	NTHESIS O	F SPECIAL	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no cascading				·					
	impact across the trophic levels due to the proposed WEF.									
	WEIT				VISUAL IMPACT A	SSESSMENT				
POTENTIAL VISUAL	The visual impacts of facility operations on sensitive	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SEVERE	VERY HIGH -		VERY DIFFICULT	VERY HIGH -
IMPACT OF FACILITY	visual receptors (i.e., residents of homesteads, as		LOCALISED	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SEVERE	VERY HIGH -	vegetation in all areas outside of the	VERY DIFFICULT	VERY HIGH -
OPERATIONS ON	well as, observers travelling along the secondary	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		development footprint.	NO IMP	ACT
SENSITIVE VISUAL RECEPTORS IN CLOSE	road) in close proximity to the proposed Soutrivier South WEF (within 5km) is expected to be of very							Maintain the general appearance of the facility as a whole.		
PROXIMITY (< 5KM) TO THE PROPOSED	high significance.							<ul> <li>Monitor rehabilitated areas, and implement remedial action as and when required.</li> </ul>		
DEVELOPMENT	Sensitive visual receptors within this zone include:							remedial action as and when required.		
	<ul> <li>Users of the various secondary roads</li> </ul>									
	Residents of the following homesteads:									
	o Stoeifontein									
	The following homesteads are located on farm									
	portions earmarked for the Victoria West WEF, thereby reducing the probability of this impact									
	occurring on these specific receptors (i.e. it is									
	assumed that these landowners are supportive of									
	WEF developments and their associated visual									
	impacts):									
	<ul><li>Liebenbergsdam</li></ul>									
	<ul> <li>Soutrivier</li> </ul>									
	Bonnievale									
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be									
	very high should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF									
	clusters operational timelines overlap, which is									
	likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are									
	proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will									
	be prepared to the same standard.									
	No-go alternative would result in no impact on									
	sensitive visual receptors.			<b>.</b>	<u> </u>					
POTENTIAL VISUAL	The visual impact of facility operations on sensitive	DIRECT	STUDY	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SEVERE	HIGH -	Retain / re-establish and maintain large trees,	VERY DIFFICULT	HIGH -
IMPACT OF FACILITY OPERATIONS ON	visual receptors (i.e. users of the various secondary roads and residents of homesteads) within the local	CUMULATIVE	AREA STUDY	LONG TERM	DEFINITE	SEVERE	HIGH -	natural features and noteworthy natural vegetation in all areas outside of the activity	VERY DIFFICULT	HIGH -
SENSITIVE VISUAL	area (between 5 - 10km offset) is expected to be of	COMOLATIVE	AREA	LOIVO ILINIVI	DLINNIL	JL V LIVL	.11011	footprint.	VERT DITTICOLI	111011
RECEPTORS WITHIN THE	high significance.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ст		Retain natural pockets (wetland, river and	NO IMP	ACT
LOCAL AREA (BETWEEN								other sensitive vegetation zones) as buffers		
5 - 10KM)	Sensitive visual receptors within this zone include:							within the property and along the perimeter.		
SURROUNDING THE PROPOSED	<ul> <li>Users traveling along the various secondary roads, potential visibility is however scattered</li> </ul>							<ul> <li>Dust suppression techniques should be in place at all times during the site development and</li> </ul>		
DEVELOPMENT	along the length of these roads and visual							operational phases.		
DETECT WILLIAM	intrusion where possible will be brief.							Access roads will require an effective dust		
	Residents of the following homesteads:							suppression management programme, such as		
	<ul> <li>Meltonwold</li> </ul>							regular wetting and/or the use of non-polluting		
	<ul> <li>Stoeifontein</li> </ul>							chemicals that will retain moisture in the road		
	<ul> <li>Wolwefontein</li> </ul>							surface.		

	SYN	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	The following homesteads are located on farm portions earmarked for the Victoria West WEF, thereby reducing the probability of this impact occurring on these specific receptors (i.e. it is assumed that these landowners are supportive of WEF developments and their associated visual impacts):  Oppermanskraal Slypfontein  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be high should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on sensitive visual receptors.							<ul> <li>A Downscaling of operations.</li> <li>A Keeping infrastructure at minimum heights.</li> <li>Introducing landscaping measures such as vegetating berms.</li> <li>A Avoid the use of highly reflective material.</li> <li>A Metal surfaces, where they occur, should be painted in natural soft colours that would blend in with the environment.</li> <li>A Maintain the general appearance of the site as a whole.</li> <li>Lighting should be kept to a minimum wherever possible.</li> <li>Install light fixtures that provide precisely directed illumination to reduce light "spillage" beyond the immediate surrounds of the activity — this is especially relevant where the edge of the activity is exposed to residential properties.</li> <li>A Wherever possible, lights should be directed downwards to avoid illuminating the sky.</li> <li>A Avoid high pole top security lighting along the periphery of the site and use only lights that are activated on movement.</li> </ul>		
POTENTIAL VISUAL IMPACT OF FACILITY	The visual impact of facility operations on sensitive visual receptors (i.e. users of the various secondary	DIRECT	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	Retain / re-establish and maintain large trees,     natural features and noteworthy natural	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
OPERATIONS ON SENSITIVE VISUAL	road, arterial R63 and the national N12 road, visitors to region, and residents of homesteads)	CUMULATIVE	STUDY AREA	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	MODERATE	MODERATE -	vegetation in all areas outside of the activity footprint.	VERY DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
RECEPTORS WITHIN THE DISTRICT (BETWEEN 10 - 20KM) SURROUNDING THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	<u> </u>	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		<ul> <li>→ Retain natural pockets (wetland, river and other sensitive vegetation zones) as buffers within the property and along the perimeter.</li> <li>→ Dust suppression techniques should be in place at all times during the site development and operational phases.</li> <li>→ Access roads will require an effective dust suppression management programme, such as regular wetting and/or the use of non-polluting chemicals that will retain moisture in the road surface.</li> <li>→ Downscaling of operations.</li> <li>→ Keeping infrastructure at minimum heights.</li> <li>→ Introducing landscaping measures such as vegetating berms.</li> <li>→ Avoid the use of highly reflective material.</li> <li>→ Metal surfaces, where they occur, should be painted in natural soft colours that would blend in with the environment.</li> <li>→ Maintain the general appearance of the site as a whole.</li> <li>→ Lighting should be kept to a minimum wherever possible.</li> <li>→ Install light fixtures that provide precisely directed illumination to reduce light "spillage" beyond the immediate surrounds of the activity</li> </ul>	NO IMP	ACT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIA	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
POTENTIAL VISUAL	The following homesteads are located on farm portions earmarked for the Victoria West WEF, thereby reducing the probability of this impact occurring on these specific receptors (i.e. it is assumed that these landowners are supportive of WEF developments and their associated visual impacts):  Oppermanskraal Stampfontein Oorlogsfontein Slypfontein  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be moderate should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard. No-go alternative would result in no impact on sensitive visual receptors.							<ul> <li>this is especially relevant where the edge of the activity is exposed to residential properties.</li> <li>Wherever possible, lights should be directed downwards to avoid illuminating the sky.</li> <li>Avoid high pole top security lighting along the periphery of the site and use only lights that are activated on movement.</li> </ul>		
POTENTIAL VISUAL	The visual impact of facility operations on sensitive	DIRECT	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY	MODERATE	LOW -	★ Retain / re-establish and maintain large trees,	VERY DIFFICULT	LOW -
IMPACT OF FACILITY OPERATIONS ON SENSITIVE VISUAL RECEPTORS WITHIN THE REGION (> 20KM)	visual receptors (i.e., users of the various secondary roads, visitors to the region, and residents of homesteads) within the region (beyond the 20km offset) is expected to be of low significance. Sensitive visual receptors within this zone include:  Users traveling along portions of the N12, R63, R381 and various secondary roads, potential visibility is however scattered along the length of these roads and visual intrusion where possible will be brief.  Residents of various homesteads (refer to Section 6.6 of the VIA for a full list).  The following homesteads are located on farm portions earmarked for the Victoria West WEF, thereby reducing the probability of this impact occurring on these specific receptors (i.e. it is assumed that these landowners are supportive of WEF developments and their associated visual impacts):  Boshoek  Spes Bona Stampfontein Boschrug Blindefontein Drupfontein Middlewater Oorlogsfontein	NO-GO	REGIONAL	LONG TERM	UNLIKELY NO IMPA	MODERATE	LOW -	natural features and noteworthy natural vegetation in all areas outside of the activity footprint.  Retain natural pockets (wetland, river and other sensitive vegetation zones) as buffers within the property and along the perimeter.  Dust suppression techniques should be in place at all times during the site development and operational phases.  Access roads will require an effective dust suppression management programme, such as regular wetting and/or the use of non-polluting chemicals that will retain moisture in the road surface.  Downscaling of operations.  Keeping infrastructure at minimum heights.  Introducing landscaping measures such as vegetating berms.  Avoid the use of highly reflective material.  Metal surfaces, where they occur, should be painted in natural soft colours that would blend in with the environment.  Maintain the general appearance of the site as a whole.  Lighting should be kept to a minimum wherever possible.  Install light fixtures that provide precisely directed illumination to reduce light "spillage" beyond the immediate surrounds of the activity	VERY DIFFICULT  NO IMPA	ACT

ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF	SPATIAL	TEMPORAL	CERTAINTY	RACTED FROM SEVERITY /	SIGNIFICANCE	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/	SIGNIFICANCE
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	IMPACT	SCALE (EXTENT)	SCALE (DURATION)	SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	BENEFICIAL SCALE	PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	MITIGATION	POST- MITIGATION
	Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, which is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact on							<ul> <li>this is especially relevant where the edge of the activity is exposed to residential properties.</li> <li>Wherever possible, lights should be directed downwards to avoid illuminating the sky.</li> <li>Avoid high pole top security lighting along the periphery of the site and use only lights that are activated on movement.</li> </ul>		
	sensitive visual receptors.			Γ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
POTENTIAL VISUAL	The receiving environment has a relatively small	DIRECT	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	Aviation standards and CAA Regulations for	MODERATE	MODERATE -
IMPACT OF	number of populated places, and it can be expected	CUMULATIVE	LOCALISED	LONG TERM	PROBABLE	SEVERE	HIGH -	turbine lighting must be followed.	MODERATE	MODERATE -
OPERATIONAL	that any light trespass and glare from the security	NO-GO			NO IMPA	CT		The possibility of limiting aircraft warning lights	NO IMP	ACT
LIGHTING AT NIGHT ON	and after-hours operational lighting for the facility							to the turbines on the perimeter according to		
SENSITIVE VISUAL RECEPTORS IN THE	will have some significance. In addition, the remote sense of place and rural ambiance of the local area							CAA requirements, thereby reducing the overall impact, must be investigated.		
REGION	increases its sensitivity to such lighting intrusions.							Impact, must be investigated.  Install aircraft warning lights that only activate		
REGION	וווכו במשבש ונש שבוושוניוני נט שנכוו ווצוונוווצ ווונו ששוטוו.							when the presence of an aircraft is detected, if		
	Another source of glare light is the aircraft warning							permitted by CAA.		
	lights mounted on top of the hub of the wind							★ Shield the sources of light by physical barriers		
	turbines. While these lights are less aggravating							(walls, vegetation, or the structure itself).		
	due to the toned-down red colour, they do have the							Limit mounting heights of lighting fixtures, or		
	potential to be visible from a greater distance then							alternatively use foot-lights or bollard level		
	general operational lighting, especially due to the							lights.		
	strobing effect of the lights, a function specially							Make use of minimum lumen or wattage in		
	designed to attract the viewers' attention. The Civil							fixtures.		
	Aviation Authority (CAA) prescribes these warning							Make use of down-lighters, or shielded fixtures.		
	lights and the potential to mitigate their visual							Make use of Low-Pressure Sodium lighting or		
	impacts is low. The possibility of limiting aircraft							other types of low impact lighting.		
	warning lights to the turbines on the perimeter							Make use of motion detectors on security		
	according to CAA requirements, thereby reducing							lighting. This will allow the site to remain in		
	the overall impact, is recommended to be							relative darkness, until lighting is required for		
	investigated.							security or maintenance purposes.		
	Some ground-breaking new technology in the									
	development of strobing lights that only activate									
	when an aircraft is detected nearby. This may aid in									
	restricting light pollution at night and should be									
	investigated and implemented by the project									
	proponent, if available and permissible by the CAA.  This new technology is referred to as needs-based									
	night lights, which basically deactivates the wind									
	turbine's night lights when there is no flying object									
	within the airspace of the WEF. The system relies on									
	the active detection of aircraft by radar sensors,									
	which relays a switch-on signal to the central wind									
	farm control to activate the obstacle lights.									
	Last is the potential lighting impact is known as sky									
	glow. Sky glow is the condition where the night sky									
	is illuminated when light reflects off particles in the									
	atmosphere such as moisture, dust or smog. The sky									
	glow intensifies with the increase in the number of									

REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
DIFFICULT	MODERATE -
NO IMPA	ACT
	DIFFICULT

	SYI	NTHESIS O	F SPECIA	LIST IMPA	CTS AS EXTI	RACTED FROM	THE SPECIAL	LIST REPORTS		
ISSUE	DESCRIPTION OF IMPACT	NATURE OF IMPACT	SPATIAL SCALE (EXTENT)	TEMPORAL SCALE (DURATION)	CERTAINTY SCALE (PROBABILITY/ LIKELIHOOD)	SEVERITY / BENEFICIAL SCALE	SIGNIFICANCE PRE- MITIGATION	MITIGATION MEASURES	REVERSABILITY/ MITIGATION	SIGNIFICANCE POST- MITIGATION
	sensitive visual receptors.									
					WAKE EFFECT	T STUDY				
WAKE EFFECTS	The operational Noblesfontein WEF does lie	DIRECT			NO IMPA			→ None suggested		
	downwind of an important wind sector, but	CUMULATIVE			NO IMPA					
	distance and terrain effects are likely to mean no significant impact is experienced at that site.  Cumulative impact, on a localised scale, would be low should the Taaibos and Soutrivier WEF clusters operational timelines overlap, this is likely. However, it is important to note that the 5 WEFs and their associated infrastructure are proposed by the same developer and the EMPrs will be prepared to the same standard.  No-go alternative would result in no impact related to wake effect as no WEFs would be present on these land parcels.	NO-GO			NO IMPA	ACT			NO IMPA	ACT
	associated with the decommissioning phase will be sin	nilar to those list	ed in the cons	AG struction phase o ction phase and	RICULTURAL IMPA and the associated AQUATIC IMPACT the associated mit	CT ASSESSMENT   mitigations measures ASSESSMENT igations measures mus	must be updated a		ts.	
The avifaunal impacts a	ssociated with the decommissioning phase will be simi	ar to those listed	l in the constr		d the associated m  BAT IMPACT AS	nitigations measures m	ust be updated and	implemented to reduce potential adverse impacts.		
The bat impacts associa	ted with the decommissioning phase will be similar to t	hose listed in the	construction	phase and the d			updated and imple	mented to reduce potential adverse impacts.		
					HERITAGE IMPACT					
The heritage impacts as	sociated with the decommissioning phase will be simile	r to those listed	in the constru	iction phase and			st be updated and i	implemented to reduce potential adverse impacts.		
The naise increases	inted with the decommission in the contill be stortled	those listed in t	ha canatauret	on phase and th	NOISE IMPACT A			Jamental to reduce natorial advance income		
i ne noise impacts assoc	iated with the decommissioning phase will be similar to	unose iistea in t	ne construction	-		ations measures must b PACT ASSESSMENT	e upaatea ana imp	nementea to reauce potential aaverse impacts.		
None identified by speci	alist									
_				RIVE	ERINE RABBIT IMP	ACT ASSESSMENT				
The socio-economic imp	acts associated with the decommissioning phase will b	e similar to those	listed in the	•	ase and the associon in the control of the control		res must be update	ed and implemented to reduce potential adverse im	pacts.	
The socio-economic imp	acts associated with the decommissioning phase will b	similar to those	listed in the	-		_	res must be update	ed and implemented to reduce potential adverse im	pacts.	
The termestal black			- 4h !!-+ . !			IMPACT ASSESSMENT		and the desired from the state of the state		
ine terrestrial biodivers	ity impacts associated with the decommissioning phase	wiii be similar t	o tnose listed	in the construct	tion phase and the VISUAL IMPACT A		measures must be	upaatea ana impiementea to reduce potential adv	erse impacts.	
The visual impacts associ	iated with the decommissioning phase will be similar t	o those listed in	the constructi	ion phase and th			be updated and imr	plemented to reduce potential adverse impacts.		
		and and and and		prince arra tri	WAKE EFFECT		- Spanie and Imp	The state of the s		

None identified by specialist