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Kindly note that:

1. This basic assessment report is a standard report that may be required by a competent authority in terms of the EIA Regulations, 2010 and is meant to streamline applications. Please make sure that it is the report used by the particular competent authority for the activity that is being applied for.

2. The report must be typed within the spaces provided in the form. The size of the spaces provided is not necessarily indicative of the amount of information to be provided. The report is in the form of a table that can extend itself as each space is filled with typing.

3. Where applicable tick the boxes that are applicable in the report.

4. An incomplete report may be returned to the applicant for revision.

5. The use of “not applicable” in the report must be done with circumspection because if it is used in respect of material information that is required by the competent authority for assessing the application, it may result in the rejection of the application as provided for in the regulations.

6. This report must be handed in at offices of the relevant competent authority as determined by each authority.

7. No faxed or e-mailed reports will be accepted.

8. The report must be compiled by an independent environmental assessment practitioner.

9. Unless protected by law, all information in the report will become public information on receipt by the competent authority. Any interested and affected party should be provided with the information contained in this report on request, during any stage of the application process.

10. A competent authority may require that for specified types of activities in defined situations only parts of this report need to be completed.
SECTION A: ACTIVITY INFORMATION

Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?  
YES  NO

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:  
Any specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

1. ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

1. Introduction

Amatola Water has been appointed by the Department of Water Affairs (DWA), on behalf of Ndlambe Local Municipality to implement a regional bulk water supply scheme within Ndlambe Local Municipality (Figure A.1.1). Amatola Water has engaged Coastal & Environmental Services (CES) as the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP).

Figure A.1.1. Ndlambe Local Municipality.
The current Basic Assessment Report relates to the following project:

A) Replacing an existing pipeline between the water treatment works (WTW) reservoirs in Alexandria and an existing pump station and reservoir on the edge of the Coastal Forest, and

B) Installing a new pipeline to join the existing bulk reticulation infrastructure (above) to the existing reverse osmosis (RO) WTW at Cannon Rocks.

- The new pipeline will run from the existing RO water treatment plant (33°44′50.76″S; 26°31′52.10″ E) across a private farm to join the existing dirt road, where it will run within the road reserve for approximately 10km to join up with the route of the existing pipeline.
- The existing pipeline from the pump station (33°45′23.70″S; 26°26′37.18″E) to Alexandria will be replaced. This is approximately 14km of pipeline, of which 4.5km traverses the Woody Cape section of the Addo Elephant National Park.
- The existing pipeline route above the Woody Cape forest does not follow existing roads, but traverses private farm land before reaching the existing Alexandria WTW reservoirs.

Historically, farmers along the route of the existing pipeline have enjoyed access to this water in emergency circumstances.

1.2 Project urgency

The overall Ndlambe Bulk Water Supply Scheme (of which this application is a part) is a Ministerial project, driven by the Water and Environmental Affairs Minister, Edna Molewa. The Minister issued a directive in April 2011 indicating that the water supply crisis in Ndlambe Municipality is a priority project for the Department of Water Affairs.

Amatola Water was appointed as project implementing agents at the end of July 2011 and the anticipated completion date (as indicated by the Department of Water Affairs) is June 2015.
In order to achieve some of the short term objectives of the scheme, the overall project has been separated into four components relating, primarily, to different water resources, each of which will be the subject of a separate EIA application (See BID attached in Appendix G: Public Participation Documentation).

The current proposal (this report) represents the project that is least the complex to implement and will result in an immediate short-term positive outcome for the Alexandria area in terms of securing access to water. It is thus planned that implementation of the proposal (assuming a positive authorization is received) would take place within 2012.

2. The EIA process

2.1 Listed activities

The EIA process is guided by regulations made in terms of the National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA) as amended in 2008 and Government Notice No. R543, R544, R545 and R546 (2010). The listed activities triggered by the proposed activity are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is anticipated that the internal diameter of the pipeline will have an internal diameter of, at minimum, 0.36 meters, and in some areas, will be constructed outside of a road reserve.</td>
<td>544, 18 June 2010</td>
<td>9 (i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A number of river crossings may require upgrading in order to adequately support the potable water supply pipeline.</td>
<td>544, 18 June 2010</td>
<td>11 (iii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A number of river crossings will require upgrading in order to adequately support the potable water supply pipeline. This may require both infilling and excavation in the vicinity of the river bank.</td>
<td>544, 18 June 2010</td>
<td>18 (i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A number of river crossings will require upgrading in order to adequately support the potable water supply pipeline. This may increase the development footprint of the bridge.</td>
<td>544, 18 June 2010</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New storage reservoirs may need to be constructed.</td>
<td>546, 18 June 2010</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing reservoirs may need to be expanded by more than 250 cubic meters</td>
<td>546, 18 June 2010</td>
<td>17 (a) i (ff)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The activities listed above all require an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in the form of a Basic Assessment. The Government Notice R.543 clearly outlines the activities that are required for the completion of a Basic Assessment or a full Scoping and EIA, respectively.

It is important to note that in addition to the requirements for an authorization in terms of NEMA, there may be additional legislative requirements which need to be considered prior to commencing with the activity, for example:

- Authorisation from the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (No 25 of 1999),
- Water Use Licenses from the Department of Water Affairs in terms of the National Water Act (No 36 of 1998).

2.2 Details and Expertise of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP)

Environmental Consulting Company:

Coastal & Environmental Services
1 Hampton Court, 2 Marine Terrace, Quigney, East London
PO Box 8145, Nahoon, East London, 5210
Tel: (043) 742 3302
Fax: (043) 742 3306
e-mail: cesel@cesnet.co.za
In fulfillment of the above-mentioned legislative requirements, provided below are the details of
the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) that prepared this Basic Assessment Report
(BAR) as well as the expertise of the individual members of the study team.

Environmental Assessment Practitioners working on this project include:

- Dr Alan Carter
- Dr Cherie-Lynn Mack
- Dr Greer Hawley
- Mr Roy de Kock
- Mr Lungisa Bosman
- Ms Nikita Steele
- Ms Nande Suka

In fulfillment of this requirement Coastal and Environmental Services (CES) wishes to point to
the following expertise of the study team:

CES has considerable experience in terrestrial, marine and freshwater ecology, the Social
Impact Assessment (SIA) process, and state of environment reporting (SOER), Integrated
Waste Management Plans (IWMP), Spatial Development Frameworks (SDF), public
participation, as well as the management and co-ordination of all aspects of the Environmental
Impact Assessment (EIA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) processes. CES
has been active in all of the above fields, and in so doing have made a positive contribution to
towards environmental management and sustainable development in the Eastern Cape, South
Africa and many other African countries.

**Dr Alan Carter** is a Director at CES holds a PhD in Marine Biology and is a certified Public
Accountant, with extensive training and experience in both financial accounting and
environmental science disciplines with international accounting firms in South Africa and the
USA. He has 15 years of experience in environmental management and has specialist skills in
sanitation, coastal environments and industrial waste. Dr Carter is registered as a Professional
Natural Scientist under the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions and is
registered with EAPSA.

**Dr Cherie-Lynn Mack**, (Senior Environmental Consultant), holds a PhD and MSc (with
distinction) degrees in Environmental Biotechnology, with a BSc degree in Microbiology and
Biochemistry. She has postgraduate research experience in industrial and domestic
wastewater treatment technologies, with particular emphasis on the coal and platinum mining
industries. Her interests lie in the water sector, with experience in ecological reserve
determination and water quality monitoring and analysis. She has experience in water quality
analysis and industrial wastewater treatment research. She is currently employed in the East
London office of CES as a senior environmental consultant.

**Dr Greer Hawley**, a Principal Environmental Consultant, has a BSc degree in Botany and
Zoology and a BSc Honours in Botany from the University of Cape Town. She completed her
PhD thesis (Microbiology) at Rhodes University. Greer has been involved in a number of
diverse activities. The core academic focus has been directed in the field of taxonomy both in
the plant and fungal kingdom. Greer’s research ranges from studying fresh and marine algae,
estuarine diatoms, Restio species classification in the fynbos and forest vegetation and fungal
species identification and ecology. Greer’s study of fungi have also contributed towards an
understanding of soil ecology and “below ground” ecology. She is currently working on
numerous impact assessments at the East London branch.
Registration: South African Association of Botany.

**Mr Lungisa Bosman** (Senior Environmental Consultant) holds a Bachelor of Social Science
Mr Roy de Kock (Environmental Consultant) holds a BSc in Botany and Geology and a BSc Honours in Geology from the Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University in Port Elizabeth. He is currently completing his MSc thesis in Rehabilitation Ecology with the focus on Mine Rehabilitation. His Masters thesis titled; Bushclump Rehabilitation Within Couga Bontveld After Strip Mining focused on rehabilitation of mined Bontveld vegetation on limestones of the Nanaga Formation where he attempts to focusing the evolutionary path of the present landscape, as well as focusing on primary ecological processes such as hydrology, energy capture and nutrient cycling and the impact of mining on change in diversity and ecosystem health. Currently he is working on numerous impact assessments at the East London branch.

Ms Nikita Steele (Environmental Consultant) holds a BSc degree in Zoology and Ichthyology and a BSc Honours in Marine Biology from Rhodes University. Nikita is currently doing her M.Sc in Marine Biology focusing on the grazing effects on community structures between upwelling and non-upwelling rocky shores along the South African coastline. Nikita has been involved in a number of diverse activities. Her interests lay within a broad range of marine studies, namely conservation, fisheries management, predator-prey interactions and ecology. She is currently working in the East London branch.

Ms Nande Suka (Environmental Consultant) holds a B.Sc. degree with majors in Botany and Zoology (2010) and B.Sc. Honours in Terrestrial Botany (2011), both obtained at the Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University in Port Elizabeth. Her academic focus was in the broad field of Environmental Management and with great interest on impact assessments, environmental planning and conservation.

2.3 The Proponent

The proponent in this application is:

Amatola Water
Private Bag X3
Vincent
East London
5217

Contact Person: Mr Casper Brink
Tel: +27 (0)43 721 0260
Fax: +27 (0)43 707 3701
E-mail: cbrink@amatolawater.co.za

2.4 Relevant Authorities

The proposed activity will take place within the Ndlambe Local Municipality in the Cacadu District of the Eastern Cape Province. The competent authority that must consider and adjudicate on the application for authorization in respect of the proposed activities is the national Department Environmental Affairs (DEA) as the proponent is an “organ of state” as defined in the Water Services Act (108 of 1997), the Public Finance Management Act (1 of 1999) and the Constitution of South Africa (108 of 1996).
2.5 Nature and Structure of this Report

In accordance with the EIA Regulations (2010), a basic assessment report must contain all the information that is necessary for the competent authority to consider the application and to reach a decision. The structure of this report is based on section 22 of GN No.543, of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (2010), which clearly specifies the required content of a BAR.

2.6 Assumptions and Limitations

The following limitations and assumptions are implicit in this report –

- The primary assumption underpinning this EIA and the individual specialist studies upon which this report is based is that all information received from Amatola Water and other stakeholders including registered I&APs was correct and valid at the time of the study.
- To ensure that the significance of impacts was not under-estimated, the specialists assessed impacts under the worst-case scenario.
- There is a limitation in terms of alternatives to be assessed as significant portions of the project represent either upgrades of existing infrastructure or links that need to be made with existing infrastructure.

3. Biophysical environment

3.1 Current Land Use

The general area can be split into two distinct land uses; agriculture and conservation (Figure A.1.3). For the purposes of this report, the Woody Cape Nature Reserve has been split into two sections; the Alexandria Forest and the Coastal Forest.
Figure A.1.3: General land use character of the area.

North and south of the Alexandria Forest, the predominant land use is agriculture. The farmlands north of the Coastal Forest are predominantly planted to pasture for dairy cattle, while the farmlands to the north of the Alexandria Forest are used as grazing for a diversity of agricultural herds as well as for croplands (various crops).

3.2 Climate

The Alexandria/Cannon Rocks area falls in a temperate climate with an annual rainfall of about 520mm. Rainfall occurs throughout the year with the highest rainfall in October (57mm) and the lowest rainfall (33mm) in January. The average midday temperatures for Cannon Rocks range from 20°C in July to 26°C in February. The region is the coldest during July when the temperature drops to a minimum 8.7°C on average.

3.3 Geology and Soils

The general geology units of the study area include the Cape supergroup, Ecca group, Dwyka formation, Bedford group with Katberg formation and the Uitenhage group. The soils of the area consists of red lime-rich sandy clay loam soils occurring around the Sundays River catchments, coastal sands and sandy soils near coastal regions, red porous sandy clay loams and lithosols on lime around the Alexandria district, rocks and lithosols around the Zuurberg mountains and weakly developed soils with rocky land in the Grahamstown area.

3.4 Vegetation and floristics

South African National Biodiversity Institute

The SANBI Vegetation map (Figure A.1.3) indicates that different sections of the proposed bulk water pipeline are located three different vegetation types, namely Albany Coastal Belt,
## Southern Coastal Forest and Albany Dune Strandveld.

### Albany Coastal Belt

Albany Coastal Belt is an Albany Thicket Biome vegetation type that is found on gently sloping to moderately undulating landscapes and dissected hilltop slopes found as patches in a matrix of typical valley thicket between 15 – 30- km of the Indian Ocean coastline. It is dominated by short grasslands punctuated by scattered bush clumps or solitary *Acacia natalitia* trees. Other species present are a mixture of Fynbos, Grassland, and Succulent Karoo elements. Albany Coastal Belt is considered “LEAST THREATENED” by SANBI although up to 60% of this vegetation type is considered degraded.

Unlike other SANBI vegetation types, Albany Coastal Belt represents current-state vegetation rather than potential vegetation. This is because it is assumed that Albany Coastal Belt is a creation of man and the original (pre-settlement) vegetation was dominated by non-seasonal, dense thicket.

### Southern Coastal Forest

Southern Coastal Forests are considered as intrazonal forests found at low altitudes (20 – 340 m) in patches on coastal plains between Alexandria and Van Stadens River and on coastal dunes in the Eastern Cape. It is dominated by *Celtis africana* (white stinkwood), *Sideroxylon inerme* (white milkwood), *Mimusops caffra* (red milkwood) and *Dovyalis rotundifolia* (coastal silver oak). Southern Coastal forests have well developed low-tree, shrub and herb layers.

Southern Coastal Forests in the Eastern Cape are well protected under statutory conservation since most of the frontal dune cordons along the Province fall largely on state-owned land. The Alexandria Woody Cape forest forms part of the Greater Addo Elephant National Park.

This Forest Biome vegetation type is considered “LEAST THREATENED” by SANBI, but does contain protected plant species such as *Encephalartos altensteinii* and *Sideroxylon inerme*.

### Albany Dune Strandveld

Albany Dune Strandveld is classified by SANBI as an Eastern Strandveld Coastal Vegetation type. It is found as a narrow coastal strip of vegetation situated between the Sundays River and the area just south of the Kei River in the Eastern Cape. Vegetation consists of dense shrubby thicket composed of 2-4 m high sclerophyllous shrubs accompanied by woody and herbaceous vines. It also consists of a sparse grassy understory. The occurrence of bulbous geophytes and succulent herbs is an important feature of this vegetation type.

SANBI considers this vegetation type as “LEAST THREATENED” with some 25% statutorily conserved in various National Parks and Nature Reserves. The largest threat to this vegetation type is the Australian Acacias that have already invaded large stretches of coastal thicket and are dominant in places. These plants are currently targeted for eradication by the Working for Water Programme managed by DWA.
**Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan (ECBCP)**

The ECBCP is an attempt at detailed, low-level conservation mapping for land-use planning purposes. Specifically, the aims of the Plan were to map critical biodiversity areas through a systematic conservation planning process. The current biodiversity plan includes the mapping of priority aquatic features, land-use pressures, critical biodiversity areas and develops guidelines for land and resource-use planning and decision-making.

The main outputs of the ECBCP are “critical biodiversity areas” or CBAs, which are allocated the following management categories:

| CBA 1: Maintain in a natural state |
| CBA 2: Maintain in a near-natural state |
| CBA 3: Functional landscapes |
| CBA 4: Towns & settlements |
| CBA 5: Cultivated land |
| CBA 6: Plantation/woodlots |

The ECBCP maps CBAs based on extensive biological data and input from key stakeholders. The ECBCP, although mapped at a finer scale than the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (Driver et al., 2005) is still, for the large part “coarse”. Therefore it is imperative that the status of the environment, for any proposed development MUST first be verified before the management recommendations associated with the ECBCP are considered (Berliner and Desmet, 2007).

---

Figure A.1.4: Vegetation map showing the vegetation type in the Alexandria area, namely Southern Coastal Forest, Albany Coastal Belt, Albany Dune Strandveld) (from Mucina and Rutherford, 2006).
Two Biodiversity Land Management Classes can be identified in the Cannon Rocks area (Figure A.1.4), namely CBA 1 and CBA 2. The critical biodiversity areas should be managed in a manner to maintain the biodiversity in a near-natural state with minimal loss of ecosystem integrity. No transformation of natural habitat should be permitted in these areas.

Figure A.1.5: Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan of the Cannon Rocks area.

The route is predominantly in CBA 1. However, aside from the portion through the Alexandria Forest and the Coastal Forest, the land is already significantly transformed. Thus, the recommendations for this CBA are only valid for the forest sections.

4. Socio-economic profile

The Ndlambe Municipality falls within the Cacadu District Municipality area, Eastern Cape Province. The Ndlambe Municipal area is bordered by the Makana Local Municipality within the Cacadu District Municipality to the North, the Sundays River Valley Municipality within the Cacadu District Municipality to the West and the Ngqushwa Local Municipality within the Amatole District Municipal Area to the East.

The Municipality consists of nine Wards with a total population of 69 288 (Community Survey 2007). Ndlambe Municipality has shown a population growth of 2.9% from 1996 - 2001, which is the second highest growth rate in the District. The Municipality also shows the second highest population density of 16.63 people per m² in the Cacadu District.

This proposed development occurs in Ward 2. The main centres in this ward are Alexandria (urban centre with associated economy) and Cannon Rocks (predominantly a holiday resort, but with many permanent residents).

4.1 Migration issues

A large proportion of the migration pattern seen in the Ndlambe Municipality is due to an influx of holiday makers during peak seasons (up to 33 000). This equates to approximately 56 % of
the permanent resident population. This influx places significant pressure on local infrastructure. This is felt more in Cannon Rocks than in Alexandria.

Alexandria is subject to migration pressure relating to rural and farm workers moving into the more urbanised centres seeking better job opportunities. This places increasing pressure on housing and service delivery as well as hampering municipal efforts to eradicate informal settlements.

4.2 Water

During the Census of 2001, 3 713 households in Ndlambe LM did not have access to potable water closer than 200m to their homesteads. This represents 23.9% of all households.

The Ndlambe Municipality IDP (2011-2012) has prioritized important development/service delivery issues and rated them in terms of levels of urgency in terms of securing funding. Those related to BULK water supply are:

MOST URGENT
- Water and sanitation is hampered by limited bulk supply.

URGENT
- There is not enough storage capacity for bulk water;
- Infrastructure is unable to meet the water demands in holiday seasons – households have to rely on rain water;

NECESSARY TO DO
- The maintenance of water and sanitation infrastructure requires more resources than the available budget;
- The cost of maintenance is influenced by aging infrastructure and bad water quality.

Figure A.1.6 represents the predicted water demand for the Ndlambe Municipality to 2035. The Western region (the proposal) demand is projected to more than double by 2035; from 4Ml/day to 9Ml/day.
2. FEASIBLE AND REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

“alternatives”, in relation to a proposed activity, means different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity, which may include alternatives to—

(a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
(b) the type of activity to be undertaken;
(c) the design or layout of the activity;
(d) the technology to be used in the activity;
(e) the operational aspects of the activity; and
(f) the option of not implementing the activity.

Describe alternatives that are considered in this application. Alternatives should include a consideration of all possible means by which the purpose and need of the proposed activity could be accomplished in the specific instance taking account of the interest of the applicant in the activity. The no-go alternative must in all cases be included in the assessment phase as the baseline against which the impacts of the other alternatives are assessed. The determination of whether site or activity (including different processes etc.) or both is appropriate needs to be informed by the specific circumstances of the activity and its environment. After receipt of this report the competent authority may also request the applicant to assess additional alternatives that could possibly accomplish the purpose and need of the proposed activity if it is clear that realistic alternatives have not been considered to a reasonable extent.

The NEMA guidelines require the consideration of various development alternatives or proposals as part of the EIA process. The consideration of project alternatives is a key requirement of an EIA as it provides a basis for comparison by the competent authority and I&APs.

In the NEMA EIA Regulations, “alternatives”, in relation to a proposed activity, means different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity, which may include alternatives to—
(a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
(b) the type of activity to be undertaken;
(c) the design or layout of the activity;
(d) the technology to be used in the activity;
(e) the operational aspects of the activity; and
(f) the option of not implementing the activity.

The following categories of alternatives were considered in the current EIA.

(a) the property on which the activity is proposed AND (c) the design or layout of the activity

**Alternative 1 (Figure A.2.1):**

Alternative 1 is a below ground pipeline that originates at the existing Cannon Rocks RO WTW, and runs in a westerly direction for 10km within the existing public road reserve. The route then turns north and follows the servitude of the existing bulk water supply pipeline from Cape Padrone to the WTW reservoirs at Alexandria via the Alexandria Forest.

Length = 24km

**Alternative 2 (Figure A.2.1):**

Alternative 2 is also a below ground pipeline that originates at the existing Cannon Rocks RO WTW and runs in an easterly direction along the existing road reserve, which turns northwest outside of Boknes and continues in similar direction until it intersects the R72 east of Alexandria. The pipeline would then continue along the R72 until reaching the WTW reservoirs on the western side of Alexandria.

Length = 25km
Figure A.2.1: Alternative locations/layouts for the bulk water supply pipeline from Cannon Rocks to Alexandria

(b) the type of activity to be undertaken

NOT ASSESSED – as piping water to Alexandria is the only reasonable alternative

(d) the technology to be used in the activity

Alternative 3: The proposed pipeline will run above ground in the section through the Alexandria Forest. The remainder of the pipeline will be buried, as per Alternative 1.

Table A.2.1: Issues associated with installing the pipeline either below or above ground.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Above ground installation of pipeline</th>
<th>Below ground installation of pipeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility of vandalism</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional support</td>
<td>Unnecessary stresses and possible sagging of the pipeline.</td>
<td>No additional support required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaks</td>
<td>Minimum leakage as pipe can be easily</td>
<td>Pipe needs to be excavated before leak is fixed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(e) the operational aspects of the activity

NOT ASSESSED

(f) the option of not implementing the activity

**Alternative 4:** Not upgrading the existing pipeline or connecting it to the Cannon Rocks RO WTW.

The existing beach well abstraction site at Cape Padrone currently has sufficient water for the needs of Alexandria. However, the sections of the pipeline have fallen into disrepair and significant water losses are recorded between the abstraction site and the Alexandria reservoirs.

Migration of rural communities to the outskirts of urban nodes such as Alexandria has been noted in the Ndlambe Municipality IDP. This will continue to place pressure on the existing service delivery structures. Limited access to fresh water WILL hamper delivery of housing to these migrants and will consequently negatively impact on the efforts of the municipality to eradicate informal settlements.

Not incorporating the additional fresh water supply from Cannon Rocks will have long-term consequences from housing and service delivery in Alexandria.

| Route through the Alexandria forest | Requires minimum clearance through the forest during construction (max. 4m) | Digging may incur damage to the surrounding environment if done incorrectly. Leak detection may not happen for a long period before a decrease in water quantity/pressure is noticed. | A minimum clearance of 6m is required during the construction phase. |

---

**Leak detection** is rapid. Digging may incur damage to the surrounding environment if done incorrectly. Leak detection may not happen for a long period before a decrease in water quantity/pressure is noticed.
Paragraphs 3 – 13 below should be completed for each alternative.

3. **ACTIVITY POSITION**

Indicate the position of the activity using the latitude and longitude of the centre point of the site for each alternative site. The co-ordinates should be in degrees and decimal minutes. The minutes should have at least three decimals to ensure adequate accuracy. The projection that must be used in all cases is the WGS84 spheroid in a national or local projection.

**Alternative:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In the case of linear activities:**

**Alternative:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Latitude (S)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative S1 ALTERNATIVE 1</td>
<td>33° 44.513'</td>
<td>26° 25.325'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33° 44.956'</td>
<td>26° 31.903'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33° 39.036'</td>
<td>26° 26.466'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative S2 ALTERNATIVE 2</td>
<td>33° 44.513'</td>
<td>26° 25.325'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33° 41.858'</td>
<td>26° 29.777'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33° 39.036'</td>
<td>26° 26.466'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative S3 (Pipeline section above ground in Woody Cape)</td>
<td>33° 44.293'</td>
<td>26° 25.121'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33° 43.470'</td>
<td>26° 24.183'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33° 42.392'</td>
<td>26° 23.716'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For route alternatives that are longer than 500m, please provide an addendum with co-ordinates taken every 250 meters along the route for each alternative alignment.

4. **PHYSICAL SIZE OF THE ACTIVITY**

Indicate the physical size of the preferred activity/technology as well as alternative activities/technologies (footprints):

**Alternative:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of the activity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

or, for linear activities:

**Alternative:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of the activity:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 200m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 300m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Alternative S..** refer to site alternatives.
2. **Alternative A..** refer to activity, process, technology or other alternatives.
Alternative A3 (if any) 24 200m

Indicate the size of the alternative sites or servitudes (within which the above footprints will occur):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>Size of the site/servitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative A1 (preferred activity alternative) ASSUME 6m SERVITUDE</td>
<td>145 200 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative A2 (if any)</td>
<td>151 800m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative A3 (if any)</td>
<td>18 400m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. SITE ACCESS

Does ready access to the site exist? YES NO

If NO, what is the distance over which a new access road will be built m

Describe the type of access road planned:

See Figure A.2.1. A significant portion of the pipeline will be laid in road servitudes. Access to those areas outside of road servitudes is possible using farm roads and existing maintenance routes (e.g. maintenance road through the Alexandria Forest)

Include the position of the access road on the site plan and required map, as well as an indication of the road in relation to the site.

6. SITE OR ROUTE PLAN

A detailed site or route plan(s) must be prepared for each alternative site or alternative activity. It must be attached as Appendix A to this document.

The site or route plans must indicate the following:

6.1 the scale of the plan which must be at least a scale of 1:500;
6.2 the property boundaries and numbers of all the properties within 50 metres of the site;
6.3 the current land use as well as the land use zoning of each of the properties adjoining the site or sites;
6.4 the exact position of each element of the application as well as any other structures on the site;
6.5 the position of services, including electricity supply cables (indicate above or underground), water supply pipelines, boreholes, street lights, sewage pipelines, storm water infrastructure and telecommunication infrastructure;
6.6 all trees and shrubs taller than 1.8 metres;
6.7 walls and fencing including details of the height and construction material;
6.8 servitudes indicating the purpose of the servitude;
6.9 sensitive environmental elements within 100 metres of the site or sites including (but not limited thereto):
   - rivers;
   - the 1:100 year flood line (where available or where it is required by DWA);
   - ridges;
   - cultural and historical features;
   - areas with indigenous vegetation (even if it is degraded or invested with alien species);
6.9 for gentle slopes the 1 metre contour intervals must be indicated on the plan and whenever the slope of the site exceeds 1:10, the 500mm contours must be indicated on the plan; and
6.10 the positions from where photographs of the site were taken.

7. SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Colour photographs from the centre of the site must be taken in at least the eight major compass directions with a description of each photograph. Photographs must be attached under Appendix B to this form. It must be supplemented with additional photographs of relevant features on the site, if applicable.

8. FACILITY ILLUSTRATION

A detailed illustration of the activity must be provided at a scale of 1:200 as Appendix C for activities that include structures. The illustrations must be to scale and must represent a realistic image of the planned activity. The illustration must give a representative view of the activity.

DESIGN DETAIL FOR THE PROJECT IS NOT YET AVAILABLE. HOWEVER, STRUCTURES SUCH AS THOSE ILLUSTRATED IN APPENDIX B REPRESENT THE TYPE OF FACILITY PROPOSED (RESERVOIRS, PIPES, VALVES, AIR CHAMBERS, RISING MAINS, ETC.)

9. ACTIVITY MOTIVATION

9(a) Socio-economic value of the activity
What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion?
What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of the activity?
Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?
Is the activity a public amenity?
How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development phase of the activity?
What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during the development phase?
What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?
How many permanent new employment opportunities will be created during the operational phase of the activity?
What is the expected current value of the employment opportunities during the first 10 years?
What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?

The socio-economic values noted as “not determined” were due to the urgency of the project and the need to get water to Alexandria.

9(b) Need and desirability of the activity

Motivate and explain the need and desirability of the activity (including demand for the activity):
### DESIRABILITY:

1. Does the proposed land use / development fit the surrounding area?  
   - YES  
   - NO
2. Does the proposed land use / development conform to the relevant structure plans, SDF and planning visions for the area?  
   - YES  
   - NO
3. Will the benefits of the proposed land use / development outweigh the negative impacts of it?  
   - YES  
   - NO
4. If the answer to any of the questions 1-3 was NO, please provide further motivation / explanation:

5. Will the proposed land use / development impact on the sense of place?  
   - YES  
   - NO
6. Will the proposed land use / development set a precedent?  
   - YES  
   - NO
7. Will any person’s rights be affected by the proposed land use / development?  
   - YES  
   - NO
8. Will the proposed land use / development compromise the “urban edge”?  
   - YES  
   - NO
9. If the answer to any of the question 5-8 was YES, please provide further motivation / explanation.

### BENEFITS:

1. Will the land use / development have any benefits for society in general?  
   - YES  
   - NO
2. Explain:
   
   The project will result in an improvement in the quality and quantity of potable water available to the Alexandria community. The project will also reduce the water losses currently experienced along the existing pipeline. In the past, strict water restrictions and periods of zero water supplies have had negative impacts on this sector.

3. Will the land use / development have any benefits for the local communities where it will be located?  
   - YES  
   - NO
4. Explain:

   Short term benefits will accrue to the local community in the form of temporary employment opportunities for unskilled labour. Long term benefits will include the potential to expand the availability of formal housing in the Alexandria area as a sustainable supply of potable water able to meet the growing water requirements will be available. This will result in an improvement in the progress of the municipal project to eradicate informal housing areas. Farmers along the pipeline route may also benefit from the additional water volumes made available. This would need to be negotiated with the municipality/Amatola Water.
10. APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES

List all legislation, policies and/or guidelines of any sphere of government that are applicable to the application as contemplated in the EIA regulations, if applicable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of legislation, policy or guideline:</th>
<th>Administering authority:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No 10 of 2004)</td>
<td>Department Environmental Affairs (DEA)</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Forest Act (84 of 1998)</td>
<td>Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF)</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan</td>
<td>Department of Economic Development and Environmental Affairs (DEDEA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. WASTE, EFFLUENT, EMISSION AND NOISE MANAGEMENT

11(a) Solid waste management
Will the activity produce solid construction waste during the construction/initiation phase? YES NO
If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month? 20-50 m³
How will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?
All solid construction waste will be collected at a central location and will be stored temporarily until removed to an appropriately permitted landfill site near the construction site. The nearest licensed landfill site is at Alexandria.

Where will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?
| Solid construction waste will be removed to an appropriately permitted landfill site near the construction site. The nearest licensed landfill site is at Alexandria. |

Will the activity produce solid waste during its operational phase? YES NO
If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month? m³

How will the solid waste be disposed of (describe)?
N/A

Where will the solid waste be disposed if it does not feed into a municipal waste stream (describe)?
N/A
If the solid waste (construction or operational phases) will not be disposed of in a registered landfill site or be taken up in a municipal waste stream, then the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Can any part of the solid waste be classified as hazardous in terms of the relevant legislation?

If yes, inform the competent authority and request a change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Is the activity that is being applied for a solid waste handling or treatment facility?

If yes, then the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

11(b) Liquid effluent

Will the activity produce effluent, other than normal sewage, that will be disposed of in a municipal sewage system?  
If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month? m³

Will the activity produce any effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of on site?

If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Will the activity produce effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of at another facility?

If yes, provide the particulars of the facility:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility name:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact person:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal code:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe the measures that will be taken to ensure the optimal reuse or recycling of waste water, if any:

11(c) Emissions into the atmosphere

Will the activity release emissions into the atmosphere?  
If yes, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government?  
If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

If no, describe the emissions in terms of type and concentration:

| Possible dust as a result of construction activities |

11(d) Generation of noise

Will the activity generate noise?
If yes, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government? 
YES | NO
If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.
If no, describe the noise in terms of type and level:
Noise during construction - machinery

12. WATER USE

Please indicate the source(s) of water that will be used for the activity by ticking the appropriate box(es)

| municipal water board | groundwater | river, stream, dam or lake | other | the activity will not use water |

If water is to be extracted from groundwater, river, stream, dam, lake or any other natural feature, please indicate the volume that will be extracted per month: litres

Does the activity require a water use permit from the Department of Water Affairs? 
YES | NO
If yes, please submit the necessary application to the Department of Water Affairs and attach proof thereof to this application if it has been submitted.

13. ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Describe the design measures, if any, that have been taken to ensure that the activity is energy efficient:
N/A

Describe how alternative energy sources have been taken into account or been built into the design of the activity, if any:
N/A
SECTION B: SITE/AREA/PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Important notes:
1. For linear activities (pipelines, etc) as well as activities that cover very large sites, it may be necessary to complete this section for each part of the site that has a significantly different environment. In such cases please complete copies of Section B and indicate the area, which is covered by each copy No. on the Site Plan.

The above sections are assessed separately as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Route 1</th>
<th>Copy of Section B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Route 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section B Copy No. 1

Section 1 of Alternative 1

2. Paragraphs 1 - 6 below must be completed for each alternative.

3. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?  
   YES  NO

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:
All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

CES INTERNAL SPECIALIST: Mr Roy De Kock

1. GRADIENT OF THE SITE

Indicate the general gradient of the site.
**Alternative 1 (only alternative):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1:50 – 1:20</th>
<th>1:20 – 1:15</th>
<th>1:15 – 1:10</th>
<th>1:10 – 1:7.5</th>
<th>1:7.5 – 1:5</th>
<th>Steeper than 1:5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>1:7.5</td>
<td>1:5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average slope for the section is 3.1% or 1:33

![Gradient Graph](image)

2. LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:

2.1 Ridgeline  
2.2 Plateau  
2.3 Side slope of hill/mountain  
2.4 Closed valley  
2.5 Open valley  
2.6 Plain  
2.7 Undulating plain / low hills  
2.8 Dune  
2.9 Seafront  

3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE

Is the site(s) located on any of the following (tick the appropriate boxes)?

**Alternative S1:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil
Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)
Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)
Any other unstable soil or geological feature
An area sensitive to erosion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other unstable soil or geological feature</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An area sensitive to erosion</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. (Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted).

4. GROUNDCOVER

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site:

The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural veld - good condition</th>
<th>Natural veld with scattered aliens</th>
<th>Natural veld with heavy alien infestation</th>
<th>Veld dominated by alien species</th>
<th>Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport field</td>
<td>Cultivated land</td>
<td>Paved surface</td>
<td>Building or other structure</td>
<td>Bare soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any of the boxes marked with an “E” is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn’t have the necessary expertise.

AN ECOLOGICAL SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT WAS UNDERTAKEN. THE REPORT CAN BE FOUND IN APPENDIX D

SUMMARY

An old riverbed (called the Apies River by the local community) was identified (GPS: 33°44.846’S 26°29.926’E; Figure 3.5 & Plates 3.2 & 3.3 below). This riverbed will be traversed by the pipeline. The river does not currently contain any water. Conversations with the surrounding landowners indicate that the river acts more as a stormwater channel, where it flows heavily for two to three days and then dries up again. It was estimated that such events happen every 20 – 25 years, with the last occurrence 4 - 5 years ago. The existing road across the river bed is installed with a single 600mm pipe culvert.

The riverbed has been converted to kikuyu pasture for dairy cattle.
The entire area is considered degraded due to large scale agriculture development within Section 1. Minor sensitive areas were identified along the proposed line that will require mitigation. Figure B.1.4 below shows the location of identified sensitive areas within Section 1. Figure B.1.5 indicates the rated sensitivity of the areas identified in Figure B.1.4.

Minor mitigation measures during the construction and post-construction phase are required in the sensitive areas as shown in Figure B.1.4. These include avoiding long and short term erosion on steep slopes and minimising development footprints in pockets of natural and near natural vegetation. Comment from DWA (Dept. of Water Affairs) is required on all construction within 32 meters of any water body.
Figure B.1.4. Location of identified sensitive areas within Alternative 1: Section 1

Figure B.1.5. Sensitivity map of Alternative 1: Section 1 of the proposed pipeline route. Red areas = HIGH, orange areas = MODERATE, yellow areas = LOW sensitivity.

HIGH SENSITIVITY:
- Both the northern Alexandria forest and the southern Coastal forest are considered highly sensitive. This section does not impact on these areas.

MODERATE SENSITIVITY:
- Four areas are considered near natural coastal forest patches.

LOW SENSITIVITY:
- A single area was ranked as LOW due to a steep slope.
5. **LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA**

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

5.1 Natural area
5.2 Low density residential
5.3 Medium density residential
5.4 High density residential
5.5 Informal residential
5.6 Retail commercial & warehousing
5.7 Light industrial
5.8 Medium industrial
5.9 Heavy industrial
5.10 Power station
5.11 Office/consulting room
5.12 Military or police base/station/compound
5.13 Spoil heap or slimes dam
5.14 Quarry, sand or borrow pit
5.15 Dam or reservoir
5.16 Hospital/medical centre
5.17 School
5.18 Tertiary education facility
5.19 Church
5.20 Old age home
5.21 Sewage treatment plant
5.22 Train station or shunting yard
5.23 Railway line
5.24 Major road (4 lanes or more)
5.25 Airport
5.26 Harbour
5.27 Sport facilities
5.28 Golf course
5.29 Polo fields
5.30 Filling station
5.31 Landfill or waste treatment site
5.32 Plantation
5.33 Agriculture
5.34 River, stream or wetland
5.35 Nature conservation area
5.36 Mountain, koppie or ridge
5.37 Museum
5.38 Historical building
5.39 Protected Area
5.40 Graveyard
5.41 Archaeological site
5.42 Other land uses (describe)

If any of the boxes marked with an "N" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.
If any of the boxes marked with an "An" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A
If YES, specify: N/A

If any of the boxes marked with an "H" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A
If YES, specify: N/A

6. CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including Archaeological or palaeontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site?

If YES, explain:

If uncertain, conduct a specialist investigation by a recognised specialist in the field to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site.

Briefly explain the findings of the specialist:

An HIA was undertaken by a specialist. NO heritage resources were identified in Section 1.

Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?

Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)?

If yes, please submit or, make sure that the applicant or a specialist submits the necessary application to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency and attach proof thereof to this application if such application has been made.
Section B Copy No. 2
(e.g. A):

Section 2 of
Alternative 1

4. Paragraphs 1 - 6 below must be completed for each alternative.

5. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:
All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

CES INTERNAL SPECIALIST: Mr Roy De Kock

1. GRADIENT OF THE SITE

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

Alternative 1 (only alternative):

|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|

Average slope for the section is 13.2% or 1:7,5

2. LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:

2.1 Ridgeline
2.2 Plateau
2.3 Side slope of hill/mountain
2.4 Closed valley
2.5 Open valley
2.6 Plain
2.7 Undulating plain / low hills
2.8 Dune
2.9 Seafront

3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE

Is the site(s) located on any of the following (tick the appropriate boxes)?

Alternative S2:  

Comment
Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)  
Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas  
Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)  
Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil  
Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)  
Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)  
Any other unstable soil or geological feature  
An area sensitive to erosion

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. (Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted).

4. GROUNDCOVER

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site:

The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural veld - good condition</th>
<th>Natural veld with scattered aliens</th>
<th>Natural veld with heavy alien infestation</th>
<th>Veld dominated by alien species</th>
<th>Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport field</td>
<td>Cultivated land</td>
<td>Paved surface</td>
<td>Building or other structure</td>
<td>Bare soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any of the boxes marked with an “E” is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn’t have the necessary expertise.

AN ECOLOGICAL SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT WAS UNDERTAKEN. THE REPORT CAN BE FOUND IN APPENDIX D

SUMMARY

The entire area is considered protected as it forms part of a National Park (shaded in Figure B.2.1). Various protected plant species and sections of forest edges may be affected in the construction phase as it has been indicated by the developer that the existing 4 m pipeline clearance may not be sufficient during the construction of the new pipeline. A minimum clearance width of 6 meters within the forest may be required to accommodate construction, and therefore widening the existing 4 meters wide area may be necessary.

Widening the current 4 m cleared pipeline route to 6 m in the 4.48 km Alexandria forest route will require a high level of mitigation.

• It is recommended that where feasible, alternative methods (eg. manual labour) during
the construction phase within Section 2 takes place. This will have the least impact on the forest vegetation.

- It is recommended that where feasible, above ground installation of the proposed pipeline takes place within Section B. This will have the least impact on the forest vegetation.
- If a mechanical option is used during the construction phase within Section 2, high level mitigation is required to minimise impacts.
- No construction camps will be allowed anywhere in the Alexandria forest.
- In sections where the existing cleared pipeline route width is less than 4 m due to forest encroachment, every effort should be made to avoid forest removal.
- In the event that it is necessary to remove forest vegetation, consultation with DWAF (Forestry) and SANParks will be required to determine if permit applications are required in terms of the National Forests Act (NFA).

Figure B.2.1. Location of identified sensitive areas within Alternative 1: Section 2 of the proposed pipeline route.
Figure B.2.1. Sensitivity map of Alternative 1: Section 2 of the proposed pipeline route. Red area = HIGH, orange areas = MODERATE sensitivity.

The entire section through the Alexandria Forest is considered to be of HIGH sensitivity. Moderately sensitive areas are those where the existing pipeline route traverses surface drainage areas.

5. LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

5.1 Natural area
5.2 Low density residential
5.3 Medium density residential
5.4 High density residential
5.5 Informal residential
5.6 Retail commercial & warehousing
5.7 Light industrial
5.8 Medium industrial
5.9 Heavy industrial
5.10 Power station
5.11 Office/consulting room
5.12 Military or police base/station/compound
5.13 Spoil heap or slimes dam
5.14 Quarry, sand or borrow pit
5.15 Dam or reservoir
5.16 Hospital/medical centre
5.17 School
5.18 Tertiary education facility
5.19 Church
### 5. Land Use

- **5.20** Old age home
- **5.21** Sewage treatment plant
- **5.22** Train station or shunting yard
- **5.23** Railway line
- **5.24** Major road (4 lanes or more)
- **5.25** Airport
- **5.26** Harbour
- **5.27** Sport facilities
- **5.28** Golf course
- **5.29** Polo fields
- **5.30** Filling station
- **5.31** Landfill or waste treatment site
- **5.32** Plantation
- **5.33** Agriculture
- **5.34** River, stream or wetland
- **5.35** Nature conservation area
- **5.36** Mountain, koppie or ridge
- **5.37** Museum
- **5.38** Historical building
- **5.39** Protected Area
- **5.40** Graveyard
- **5.41** Archaeological site
- **5.42** Other land uses (describe)

If any of the boxes marked with an "N" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If any of the boxes marked with an "An" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A

If YES, specify: N/A

If any of the boxes marked with an "H" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A

If YES, specify: N/A

### 6. Cultural/Historical Features

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including Archaeological or palaeontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If YES, explain: N/A
If uncertain, conduct a specialist investigation by a recognised specialist in the field to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Briefly explain the findings of the specialist:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>While shell middens do occur in this area, they would all be subsurface, only to be exposed during construction activities. The Alexandria Forest has a limited existing cleared area and the existing line is unlikely to uncover sites. If the line route will replace the existing pipeline, then no mitigation is required. However, if the line is to run parallel to the existing route then certain areas need to be monitored for potential archaeological sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The area that will require monitoring during construction, if a new line is chosen, are as follows:

S33°42'22.46"; E26°23'42.69" to S33°44'17.28"; E26°25'7.08"

Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way? **YES**  **NO**

Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)? **YES**  **NO**

If yes, please submit or, make sure that the applicant or a specialist submits the necessary application to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency and attach proof thereof to this application if such application has been made.
6. Paragraphs 1 - 6 below must be completed for each alternative.

7. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:
All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

CES INTERNAL SPECIALIST: Mr Roy De Kock

1. **GRADIENT OF THE SITE**

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

**Alternative 1 (only alternative):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gradient</th>
<th>1:50</th>
<th>1:20</th>
<th>1:15</th>
<th>1:10</th>
<th>1:7.5</th>
<th>1:5</th>
<th>Steeper than 1:5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>1:7.5</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average slope for the section is 2.9% or 1:33.

2. **LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE**

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:

2.1 Ridgeline
2.2 Plateau
2.3 Side slope of hill/mountain
2.4 Closed valley
2.5 Open valley
2.6 Plain
2.7 Undulating plain / low hills
2.8 Dune
2.9 Seafront

3. **GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE**

Is the site(s) located on any of the following (tick the appropriate boxes)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative S3:</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)  
Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)  
Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil  
Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)  
Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)  
Any other unstable soil or geological feature  
An area sensitive to erosion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Moderately sensitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. (Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted).

4. GROUNDCOVER

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site:

The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural veld - good condition</th>
<th>Natural veld with scattered aliens</th>
<th>Natural veld with heavy alien infestation</th>
<th>Veld dominated by alien species</th>
<th>Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport field</td>
<td>Cultivated land</td>
<td>Paved surface</td>
<td>Building or other structure</td>
<td>Bare soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any of the boxes marked with an “E” is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn’t have the necessary expertise.

AN ECOLOGICAL SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT WAS UNDERTAKEN. THE REPORT CAN BE FOUND IN APPENDIX D

SUMMARY

The entire area is considered degraded due to large scale agriculture development within Section 3. Minor sensitive areas were identified along the proposed line that will require mitigation. Figure B.3.1 below shows the location of identified sensitive areas within Section 3. Figure B.3.2 shows the ranking of the sensitivity of the identified sites.

Minor mitigations are required in the sensitive areas as shown in Figure B.1.1. These include minimising development footprints in pockets of natural and near natural vegetation.
Figure B.3.1. Location of identified sensitive areas within Alternative 1: Section 3

Figure B.3.2. Sensitivity map of Alternative 1: Section 3 of the proposed pipeline route. Red areas = HIGH, orange areas = MODERATE, yellow areas = LOW sensitivity

MODERATE SENSITIVITY:
- Two farm dams were identified within 32 meters of the proposed pipeline upgrade.
- Two small patches of dense vegetation.

LOW SENSITIVITY:
• Historical origin of the Boknes River north of the Alexandria forest.

It is possible that the existing reservoirs at the Alexandria water treatment works may need to be enlarged. If this is the case, the overall footprint of the works will not increase as there is sufficient space for enlargement of the reservoirs.

Figure B.3.3 The Alexandria water treatment works and reservoirs.

5. LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

5.1 Natural area
5.2 Low density residential
5.3 Medium density residential
5.4 High density residential
5.5 Informal residential
5.6 Retail commercial & warehousing
5.7 Light industrial
5.8 Medium industrial
5.9 Heavy industrial
5.10 Power station
5.11 Office/consulting room
5.12 Military or police base/station/compound
5.13 Spoil heap or slimes dam
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5.14 Quarry, sand or borrow-pit
5.15 Dam or reservoir
5.16 Hospital/medical-centre
5.17 School
5.18 Tertiary education facility
5.19 Church
5.20 Old-age home
5.21 Sewage treatment plant
5.22 Train station or shunting yard
5.23 Railway line
5.24 Major road (4 lanes or more)
5.25 Airport
5.26 Harbour
5.27 Sport facilities
5.28 Golf course
5.29 Polo fields
5.30 Filling station
5.31 Landfill or waste treatment site
5.32 Plantation
5.33 Agriculture
5.34 River, stream or wetland
5.35 Nature conservation area
5.36 Mountain, koppie or ridge
5.37 Museum
5.38 Historical building
5.39 Protected Area
5.40 Graveyard
5.41 Archaeological site
5.42 Other land uses (describe)

If any of the boxes marked with an "N" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If any of the boxes marked with an "AN" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A
If YES, specify: N/A

If any of the boxes marked with an "H" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A
If YES, specify: N/A

6. CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including

YES NO
Archaeological or palaeontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site?  
If YES, explain: [Uncertain]

If uncertain, conduct a specialist investigation by a recognised specialist in the field to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site. Briefly explain the findings of the specialist: 

The existing pipeline route in section 3 avoids most heritage sites identified by the specialist. However, one sensitive area was highlighted. This area is associated with the Dekselfontein farmhouse and associated buildings. Farm labourer’s buildings appear on a historical topographical map of the area, which have since fallen into ruin. It is possible that the area may have associated grave sites which would only be exposed during the construction phase. If this does occur, construction should stop immediately, and the relevant authorities (SAHRA and SAPS) would need to be alerted. The SAPS needs to be informed as the skeleton would also fall under their jurisdiction; however, they are not allowed to remove any parts.

The pipeline route is approximately 50 m from this area, and should not impact on the site.

Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?  
YES  NO

Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)?  
YES  NO

If yes, please submit or, make sure that the applicant or a specialist submits the necessary application to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency and attach proof thereof to this application if such application has been made.  

Section B Copy No.  
(e.g. A):  

Section 4 of Alternative 1

6. Paragraphs 1 - 6 below must be completed for each alternative.

7. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?  
YES  NO

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed: All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

CES INTERNAL SPECIALIST: Mr Roy De Kock

1. GRADIENT OF THE SITE

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

Alternative 1 (only alternative):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gradient</th>
<th>Slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>1:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>1:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>1:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>1:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:7.5</td>
<td>1:7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>1:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steeper than 1:5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average slope for the section is 3.4% or 1:30.
2. LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:

2.1 Ridgeline
2.2 Plateau
2.3 Side slope of hill/ mountain
2.4 Closed valley
2.5 Open valley
2.6 Plain
2.7 Undulating plain / low hills
2.8 Dune
2.9 Seafront

3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE

Is the site(s) located on any of the following (tick the appropriate boxes)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative S1:</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other unstable soil or geological feature</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An area sensitive to erosion</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. (Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted).

4. GROUNDCOVER

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site:

The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).
If any of the boxes marked with an “E” is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn’t have the necessary expertise.

**AN ECOLOGICAL SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT WAS UNDERTAKEN. THE REPORT CAN BE FOUND IN APPENDIX D**

**SUMMARY**

An additional 1.12 km of pipeline will be refurbished to link the existing pump station (Springs Reservoir) situated in the Coastal forest to the proposed new pipeline.

---

**Figure B.4.1. Pipeline link between the proposed bulk pipeline & the existing Springs Reservoir.**
The Springs reservoir is situated in the Coastal forest section that forms part of the Woody Cape Nature Reserve. The reservoir will be upgraded but will not exceed the existing footprint and as such is not assessed. The pipeline will follow an existing cleared pipeline route for 800m along the edge of the indigenous forest within the Coastal forest. Trimming and possible widening of the natural vegetation in the existing pipeline route fringes may be required.
5. LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

5.1 Natural area
5.2 Low density residential
5.3 Medium density residential
5.4 High density residential
5.5 Informal residential
5.6 Retail commercial & warehousing
5.7 Light industrial
5.8 Medium industrial
5.9 Heavy industrial
5.10 Power station
5.11 Office/consulting room
5.12 Military or police base/section/compound
5.13 Spoil heap or slimes dam
5.14 Quarry, sand or borrow pit
5.15 Dam or reservoir
5.16 Hospital/medical centre
5.17 School
5.18 Tertiary education facility
5.19 Church
5.20 Old age home
5.21 Sewage treatment plant
5.22 Train station or shunting yard
5.23 Railway line
5.24 Major road (4 lanes or more)
5.25 Airport
5.26 Harbour
5.27 Sport facilities
5.28 Golf course
5.29 Polo fields
5.30 Filling station
5.31 Landfill or waste treatment site
5.32 Plantation
5.33 Agriculture
5.34 River, stream or wetland
5.35 Nature conservation area
5.36 Mountain, koppie or ridge
5.37 Museum
5.38 Historical building
5.39 Protected Area
5.40 Graveyard
5.41 Archaeological site
5.42 Other land uses (describe)

If any of the boxes marked with an "N" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If any of the boxes marked with an "AN" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any of the boxes marked with an "H" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including Archaeological or palaeontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site? Uncertain

If YES, explain:

Briefly explain the findings of the specialist:

While shell middens do occur in this area, they would all be subsurface, only to be exposed during construction activities. The Woody Cape Nature Reserve has a limited servitude and the existing line is unlikely to uncover sites. If the line route will replace the existing pipeline, then no mitigation is required. However, if the line is to run parallel to the existing route then certain areas need to be monitored for potential archaeological sites.

Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?

| YES | NO |

Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)?

| YES | NO |
If yes, please submit or, make sure that the applicant or a specialist submits the necessary application to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency and attach proof thereof to this application if such application has been made.

Section B Copy No. 5 (e.g. A): Section 5 of Alternative 2

6. Paragraphs 1 - 6 below must be completed for each alternative.

7. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:
All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

CES INTERNAL SPECIALIST: Mr Roy De Kock

1. GRADIENT OF THE SITE

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

Alternative 1 (only alternative):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1:50 – 1:20</th>
<th>1:20 – 1:15</th>
<th>1:15 – 1:10</th>
<th>1:10 – 1:7.5</th>
<th>1:7.5 – 1:5</th>
<th>Steeper than 1:5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average slope for the section is 2.9% or 1:33.

2. LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:

2.1 Ridgeline
2.2 Plateau
2.3 Side slope of hill/mountain
2.4 Closed valley
2.5 Open valley
2.6 Plain
2.7 Undulating plain / low hills
2.8 Dune
2.9 Seafront

3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE

Is the site(s) located on any of the following (tick the appropriate boxes)?
Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)  
Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative S1:</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)  
Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil  
Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)  
Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)  
Any other unstable soil or geological feature  
An area sensitive to erosion

| YES | NO | Moderately sensitive |

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. (Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted).

4. GROUNDCOVER

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site:

The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural veld - good condition</th>
<th>Natural veld with scattered aliens</th>
<th>Natural veld with heavy alien infestation</th>
<th>Veld dominated by alien species</th>
<th>Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sport field</td>
<td>Cultivated land</td>
<td>Paved surface</td>
<td>Building or other structure</td>
<td>Bare soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If any of the boxes marked with an "E" is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn’t have the necessary expertise.

**NO ECOLOGICAL SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT WAS UNDERTAKEN AS THIS SECTION FOLLOWS AN EXISTING ROAD RESERVE.**

**SUMMARY**

The pipeline would follow the existing road reserve in order to limit the impact on farm lands and other private properties. The entire area is considered degraded due to agriculture development within Alternative 2: Section 5. The main areas of sensitivity identified were three river/stream crossings (Figure B.5.1). The northernmost crossing is the Brak River, a large tributary of the Boknes River, while the two southern crossings are over small tributaries to the Boknes River.
Figure B.5.1. Route of pipeline in Alternative 2 (Section 5) indicating river/stream crossings.

All three of the crossings are not formal bridges, but low level crossings with culverts. The second crossing is the largest:

Figure B.5.1. Aerial image of crossing 2.
Crossing these streams with a pipeline could be done either by attaching the pipeline to an upgraded bridge structure (outside the 1:100 year floodline) or running the pipeline upstream of the water crossing on pedestals. However the pipeline were to cross these streams, a water use licence application would be required for authorisation of Section 21 activities (Water Act) relating to altering the bed or banks of a watercourse.

Both of these options represent possibly significant impacts on the watercourses, and additional input by design engineers to ensure that the crossing is technically sound.

Significantly, this route alternative DOES NOT link in to the overall plan for the augmentation of the water supply to Alexandria. The existing beach wells system would not be linked into this route, thus Alexandria would become completely reliant on the Cannon Rocks RO plant.

5. LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

5.1 Natural area
5.2 Low density residential
5.3 Medium density residential
5.4 High density residential
5.5 Informal residential
5.6 Retail commercial & warehousing
5.7 Light industrial
5.8 Medium industrial
5.9 Heavy industrial
5.10 Power station
5.11 Office/consulting room
5.12 Military or police base/station/compound
5.13 Spoil heap or slimes dam

Figure B.5.2. Western side of the crossing.

Figure B.5.3. Eastern side of the crossing.

Figure B.5.4. Elevation profile of the crossing.
5.14 Quarry, sand or borrow pit
5.15 Dam or reservoir
5.16 Hospital/medical centre
5.17 School
5.18 Tertiary education facility
5.19 Church
5.20 Old-age home
5.21 Sewage treatment plant
5.22 Train-station or shunting yard
5.23 Railway line
5.24 Major road (4 lanes or more)
5.25 Airport
5.26 Harbour
5.27 Sport facilities
5.28 Golf course
5.29 Polo fields
5.30 Filling station
5.31 Landfill or waste treatment site
5.32 Plantation
5.33 Agriculture
5.34 River, stream or wetland
5.35 Nature conservation area
5.36 Mountain, koppie or ridge
5.37 Museum
5.38 Historical building
5.39 Protected Area
5.40 Graveyard
5.41 Archaeological site
5.42 Other land uses (describe)

If any of the boxes marked with an “N” are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If any of the boxes marked with an “AN” are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A
If YES, specify: N/A

If any of the boxes marked with an “H” are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain: N/A
If YES, specify: N/A

6. CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including

YES  NO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archaeological or palaeontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site?</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If YES, explain:</td>
<td>The pipeline route runs within the existing road reserve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If uncertain, conduct a specialist investigation by a recognised specialist in the field to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briefly explain the findings of the specialist:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)?</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, please submit or, make sure that the applicant or a specialist submits the necessary application to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency and attach proof thereof to this application if such application has been made.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION C: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

ALL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DOCUMENTATION CAN BE FOUND IN APPENDIX G

1. ADVERTISEMENT

The person conducting a public participation process must take into account any guidelines applicable to public participation as contemplated in section 24J of the Act and must give notice to all potential interested and affected parties of the application which is subjected to public participation by—

(a) fixing a notice board (of a size at least 60cm by 42cm; and must display the required information in lettering and in a format as may be determined by the competent authority) at a place conspicuous to the public at the boundary or on the fence of—
   (i) the site where the activity to which the application relates is or is to be undertaken; and
   (ii) any alternative site mentioned in the application;
(b) giving written notice to—
   (i) the owner or person in control of that land if the applicant is not the owner or person in control of the land;
   (ii) the occupiers of the site where the activity is or is to be undertaken or to any alternative site where the activity is to be undertaken;
   (iii) owners and occupiers of land adjacent to the site where the activity is or is to be undertaken or to any alternative site where the activity is to be undertaken;
   (iv) the municipal councillor of the ward in which the site or alternative site is situated and any organisation of ratepayers that represent the community in the area;
   (v) the municipality which has jurisdiction in the area;
   (vi) any organ of state having jurisdiction in respect of any aspect of the activity; and
   (vii) any other party as required by the competent authority;
(c) placing an advertisement in—
   (i) one local newspaper; or
   (ii) any official Gazette that is published specifically for the purpose of providing public notice of applications or other submissions made in terms of these Regulations;
(d) placing an advertisement in at least one provincial newspaper or national newspaper, if the activity has or may have an impact that extends beyond the boundaries of the metropolitan or local municipality in which it is or will be undertaken: Provided that this paragraph need not be complied with if an advertisement has been placed in an official Gazette referred to in subregulation 54(c)(ii); and
(e) using reasonable alternative methods, as agreed to by the competent authority, in those instances where a person is desiring of but unable to participate in the process due to—
   (i) illiteracy;
   (ii) disability; or
   (iii) any other disadvantage.

2. CONTENT OF ADVERTISEMENTS AND NOTICES

A notice board, advertisement or notices must:

(a) indicate the details of the application which is subjected to public participation; and
(b) state—
(i) that the application has been submitted to the competent authority in terms of these Regulations, as the case may be;
(ii) whether basic assessment or scoping procedures are being applied to the application, in the case of an application for environmental authorisation;
(iii) the nature and location of the activity to which the application relates;
(iv) where further information on the application or activity can be obtained; and
(iv) the manner in which and the person to whom representations in respect of the application may be made.

3. PLACEMENT OF ADVERTISEMENTS AND NOTICES

Where the proposed activity may have impacts that extend beyond the municipal area where it is located, a notice must be placed in at least one provincial newspaper or national newspaper, indicating that an application will be submitted to the competent authority in terms of these regulations, the nature and location of the activity, where further information on the proposed activity can be obtained and the manner in which representations in respect of the application can be made, unless a notice has been placed in any Gazette that is published specifically for the purpose of providing notice to the public of applications made in terms of the EIA regulations.

Advertisements and notices must make provision for all alternatives.

4. DETERMINATION OF APPROPRIATE MEASURES

The practitioner must ensure that the public participation is adequate and must determine whether a public meeting or any other additional measure is appropriate or not based on the particular nature of each case. Special attention should be given to the involvement of local community structures such as Ward Committees, ratepayers associations and traditional authorities where appropriate. Please note that public concerns that emerge at a later stage that should have been addressed may cause the competent authority to withdraw any authorisation it may have issued if it becomes apparent that the public participation process was inadequate.

5. COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REPORT

The practitioner must record all comments and respond to each comment of the public before the application is submitted. The comments and responses must be captured in a comments and response report as prescribed in the EIA regulations and be attached to this application. The comments and response report must be attached under Appendix E.

6. AUTHORITY PARTICIPATION

Authorities are key interested and affected parties in each application and no decision on any application will be made before the relevant local authority is provided with the opportunity to give input. The planning and the environmental sections of the local authority must be informed of the application at least 30 (thirty) calendar days before the submission of the application.

List of authorities informed:
7. CONSULTATION WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS

Note that, for linear activities, or where deviation from the public participation requirements may be appropriate, the person conducting the public participation process may deviate from the requirements of that subregulation to the extent and in the manner as may be agreed to by the competent authority.

Any stakeholder that has a direct interest in the site or property, such as servitude holders and service providers, should be informed of the application at least 30 (thirty) calendar days before the submission of the application and be provided with the opportunity to comment.

Has any comment been received from stakeholders? YES NO

If “YES”, briefly describe the feedback below (also attach copies of any correspondence to and from the stakeholders to this application):

SANParks
A meeting was held between SANParks, Amatola Water (Applicant), Aurecon (Engineers), and CES (EAP) in order to ascertain any specific requirements for construction of the pipeline through the Alexandria Forest.

SANParks are willing to allow construction to go ahead, on the proviso that the SANParks guidelines and specifications for construction are adhered to.

These guidelines and minutes of the meeting are attached in Appendix G: Public Participation Documentation.

Ndlambe Ratepayers Forum
Amatola Water (applicant) and Aurecon (Engineers) met with the executive of the Ndlambe Ratepayers Forum (NRF) to discuss the overall Ndlambe Bulk Water Supply Scheme (this application forms one part of the overall scheme, please see BID attached in Appendix G: Public Participation Documentation).

The NRF raised a number of concerns regarding the project design, etc. A copy of the letter is attached in Appendix G: Public Participation Documentation.

Only comments directed at the Cannon Rocks to Alexandria pipeline project have been commented on in this report. The additional comments will be addressed where necessary in the relevant project BAR.
SECTION D: IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The assessment of impacts must adhere to the minimum requirements in the EIA Regulations, 2010, and should take applicable official guidelines into account. The issues raised by interested and affected parties should also be addressed in the assessment of impacts.

1. ISSUES RAISED BY INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

List the main issues raised by interested and affected parties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name/ Organization</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SANParks</td>
<td>Amenable to replacing pipeline within the Alexandria Forest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No aboveground pipeline through the Alexandria Forest for aesthetic and wildlife endangerment reasons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concerns raised regarding the old asbestos pipelines. Should this be removed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full time on-site ECO required so that SANParks can contact a responsible person if non-compliances are noted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental training must be done by a SANParks official.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For trenching, use the smallest possible machine to limit impact on natural vegetation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A maximum of 1m of vegetation clearing will be allowed. NO TREES WILL BE CUT DOWN WITHOUT PRIOR PERMISSION FROM SANPARKS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A maximum length of 100m may be trenched at a time to ensure safety of wildlife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The existing Springs reservoir and pump station is on a recognised cultural heritage site (shell midden). This must be assessed if any further development takes place on this site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndlambe Ratepayers Forum</td>
<td>Absence of scheduled maintenance is a far bigger contributor to the degeneration of the existing water infrastructure than the aging process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why is the existing Alexandria wastewater treatment works effluent reuse water treatment works not included in the project? Why was this works decommissioned?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High water losses on the existing pipeline from the Springs to Alexandria. Should this not be investigated further?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The current RO plant at Cannon Rocks can supply a maximum of 300kL/day to Alexandria, providing that the plant operates for 24 hours per day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Response from the practitioner to the issues raised by the interested and affected parties (A full response must be given in the Comments and Response Report that must be attached to this report):

- All specifications submitted by SANParks will be incorporated into the final EMPr document.
- Amatola Water has commissioned a Water Conservation and Demand Management Study for the entire Ndlambe Municipality. This is a requirement from the Department of Water Affairs, and will address the concerns raised regarding the
“actual” water demand versus water loss issues raised by the Ndlambe Ratepayers Forum.

Recommissioning of the Alexandria effluent reuse water treatment works, associated with the Alexandria wastewater treatment works has not been considered in this project. This decision relates predominantly to the fact that the wastewater treatment works does not produce high enough quality effluent to allow for cost-effective water reuse. Thus, recommissioning would require the upgrading of the existing WWTW.

Alexandria will continue to be supplied with potable water from the existing “Springs” beach well system with additional inputs from the Cannon Rocks RO plant where possible. The short-term benefit to replacing the pipeline between the “Springs” reservoir/pump station will be a significant reduction in water losses experienced with the existing pipeline.

2. IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE PLANNING AND DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATIONAL, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASES AS WELL AS PROPOSED MANAGEMENT OF IDENTIFIED IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

List the potential direct, indirect and cumulative property/activity/design/technology/operational alternative related impacts (as appropriate) that are likely to occur as a result of the planning and design phase, construction phase, operational phase, decommissioning and closure phase, including impacts relating to the choice of site/activity/technology alternatives as well as the mitigation measures that may eliminate or reduce the potential impacts listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALTERNATIVE 1 – BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FROM CANNON ROCKS TO ALEXANDRIA VIA ALEXANDRIA FOREST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICY COMPLIANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT/ CUMULATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND OWNERSHIP AND SERVITUDE ISSUES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCIO-ECONOMIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/ INDIRECT/ CUMULATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERITAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOLOGY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIRECT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>along the proposed line may result in unnecessary soil erosion and/or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ensure that as far as possible, the new pipeline avoids areas of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inappropriate geological or soil structure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PIPELINE MATERIALS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIRECT/INDIRECT</strong></td>
<td>The use of inappropriate corrodiible material for the pipeline could result in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>leakages and resultant loss of the water resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure that high quality, SABS approved materials are used for all elements of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>infrastructure installation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSIDERATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE</strong></td>
<td>Lack of environmental consideration in the route planning may result in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inappropriate placement of pipeline within sensitive systems along the length of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>route.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incorporation of the mitigation measures provided below will ensure proper route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appoint an independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) for the duration of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the construction to monitor construction activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Micro-siting of the final pipeline layout must be approved by the ECO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRAINAGE LINES</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIRECT/INDIRECT</strong></td>
<td>Impeded hydrological flow in tributaries and rivers where the pipeline intersects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water bodies within 32 meters of the route must be demarcated and must not be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>accessible during construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All trenches dug within 32 meters of any water body must be rehabilitated in full.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No work camp or any other temporary construction infrastructure must be erected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>within 32 meters of any water body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Where necessary, water use licenses should be obtained from the Department of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water Affairs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLEARANCE OF PIPELINE ROUTE (through Woody Cape)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIRECT</strong></td>
<td>Permanent loss of forest vegetation due to the widening of the existing cleared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pipeline route through the forest sections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure that construction activities are limited to the pipeline route.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative methods such as above ground installation or manual labour for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>trenching for below ground pipeline may be used within the natural forest sections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to avoid the need to widen the existing cleared pipeline route.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If widening of the existing cleared pipeline route is required, consult with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SANParks and DWAF (Mr Tabo Nokoya) to determine if and what permit applications are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All relevant permits must be obtained before removal/trimming/destruction of any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>protected species takes place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When widening the route, every effort must be made to avoid protected species and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>species of special concern. This includes reducing clearance to 4 m, using manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>labour and clearance route re-alignment in affected sections (See scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>illustrations and mitigations in Section 7.2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If possible, transplant any impacted trees. Sapling and seedlings especially must</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not be destroyed but rather removed and transplanted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The maximum total width that the existing cleared pipeline route may be widened to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is 6 m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| ALTERNATIVE 2 – BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS, NO|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION THROUGH ALEXANDRIA FOREST</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As above, excluding pipeline route through Alexandria Forest, but</td>
<td>including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIVER CROSSINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

### AIR POLLUTION

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

The road crosses numerous streams using low-level crossings. Attaching the pipeline to these crossings would expose the pipeline to possible damage during flood events. This could result in interruption of water supply to Alexandria.

Suitably qualified engineers must design pipeline crossings that will ensure that the pipeline is not at risk during flood events. This may require that the road crossing is upgraded. Water Use Licences will need to be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs for modifying the bed/banks of a watercourse.

### TRAFFIC

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

The existing road is the most direct route between Cannon Rocks/Boknes and Alexandria. Construction along this road will result in traffic-related issues; in particular when/if the river crossings need to be upgraded.

Suitable plans must be drawn up in conjunction with the relevant municipal department to provide temporary traffic diversion routes, etc. for the duration of construction.

### ALTERNATIVE 3 – PIPELINE ROUTE AS PER ALTERNATIVE 1, ABOVE GROUND PIPELINE THROUGH THE ALEXANDRIA FOREST SECTION

#### SERVITUDE SURVEY

**DIRECT**

The existing cleared pipeline route through the forest is approximately 4 m in width. A pipeline above the ground will not require expansion of the existing area or removal of protected trees.

The proposed clearance will need to be surveyed and mapped. Bush that needs to be cleared before construction must be clearly demarcated to prevent unnecessary destruction of vegetation.

---

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

**HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE STORAGE & USAGE**

**DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE**

Hazardous substances such as cement, tar/bitumen and diesel/oil all have the potential to contaminate the surrounding environment (soil, surface/groundwater, etc.) if not managed properly.

Hazardous Chemical Substances Regulations promulgated in terms of the Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993 and the SABS Code of Practise must be adhered to. This applies to solvents and other chemicals possibly used in the construction process.

Oil trays must be placed under parked machinery to avoid soil contamination.

**CONCRETE BATCHING**

Concrete should not be mixed directly on the ground, or during rainfall events when the potential for transport to the stormwater system is the greatest (as per the EMPr).

Concrete must be mixed only in the area demarcated for this purpose and on an...
impermeable substratum. All areas affected during the Construction Phase should be rehabilitated.

**HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL SPILLS**

The individual responsible for or who discovers the spill must report the incident to the Project Coordinator, ECO and or Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.

The problem must be assessed and the necessary actions required will be undertaken.

The immediate response must be to contain the spill. Depending on the nature and extent of the spill, contaminated soil must be either excavated or treated on-site.

The ECO must determine the precise method of treatment of polluted soil. This could involve the application of soil absorbent materials or oil-digestive powders to the contaminated soil.

If a spill occurs on an impermeable surface such as cement or concrete, the surface spill must be contained using oil absorbent materials. Contaminated remediation materials must be carefully removed from the area of the spill so as to prevent further release of petrochemicals to the environment, and stored in adequate containers until appropriate disposal.

**HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL STORAGE**

Staff that will be handling hazardous materials must be trained to do so. All hazardous chemicals must be properly stored in a secure, bunded and contained area.

**WORKER HEALTH AND SAFETY**

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

Inadequate attention to fire safety awareness and fire safety equipment could result in unsafe working environment and loss of property.

Fire fighting equipment should be present on site at all times as per Occupational Health and Safety Act. All construction foremen must be trained in fire hazard control and fire fighting techniques. All flammable substances must be stored in dry areas which do not pose an ignition risk to the said substances.

No open fires will be allowed on site unless in a demarcated area identified by the ECO. No smoking near flammable substance. All cooking shall be done in demarcated areas that are safe in terms of runaway or uncontrolled fires.

The Contractor shall have operational fire-fighting equipment available on site at all times. The level of fire fighting equipment must be assessed and evaluated thorough a typical risk assessment process.

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

Failure to provide adequate onsite sanitation and clean drinking water may result in runoff transferring contaminants into the surrounding environment.

Adequate sanitary and ablutions facilities must be provided for construction workers. The facilities must be regularly serviced to reduce the risk of surface or groundwater pollution. Contaminated wastewater must be managed by the Contractor to ensure existing water resources on the site are not contaminated. All wastewater from general activities in the camp shall be collected and removed from the site for appropriate disposal at a licensed commercial facility.

**WASTE MANAGEMENT**

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

Littering on site may attract vermin, detract from the visual appeal of the area, and pollute the surrounding areas.

Littering by the employees of the Contractor shall not be allowed under any circumstances. The ECO shall monitor the neatness of the work sites as well as the Contractor campsite. All waste must be removed from the site and transported to a licensed landfill site.

**DIRECT/INDIRECT**

Hazardous waste e.g. used oils, offcuts, etc., could pollute surface and groundwater resources if not properly contained.
All waste hazardous materials must be carefully stored as advised by the ECO, and then disposed of offsite at the licensed hazardous landfill site in Mt Frere. Contaminants must be stored safely to avoid spillage. Machinery must be properly maintained to keep oil leaks in check.

**SOCIO-ECONOMIC**

| DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE | Temporary job creation during the construction phase. If manual labour is used for the section of pipeline to be replaced through the Alexandria Forest, this benefit would increase in significance. |

**RIVERS & STREAMS**

| DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE | Potential negative impacts (e.g. Bulldozers, rubble etc.) on the various rivers and streams at pipeline crossing. All construction rubble must be removed from all rivers and streams after completion of work. The river/stream must be returned to its natural state after construction. |

**STORM WATER MANAGEMENT**

| DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE | Runoff of stormwater containing contaminants, silt, sand and litter may contaminate the surrounding environment. The site must be managed in a manner that prevents pollution of drains, downstream watercourses or groundwater, due to suspended solids, silt or chemical pollutants. Temporary cut-off drains and berms may be required to capture storm water and promote infiltration. The area must be monitored by an ECO on a regular basis as described in the EMPr. |

**HERITAGE**

| DIRECT | The increase of heavy duty equipment would increase the potential for risks for heritage sites along the pipeline route. Any shell middens that are exposed during the course of construction, need to be reported to the heritage Impact assessor, the ECO and SAHRA immediately. If any graves or remains are exposed during construction phase, then all work in that area needs to be stopped and SAHRA needs to be informed immediately. |

**ALTERNATIVE 1 - BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FROM CANNON ROCKS TO ALEXANDRIA VIA ALEXANDRIA FOREST**

General impacts as above, including:

**REMOVAL OF TREES TO WIDEN SERVITUDE**

| DIRECT/CUMULATIVE | Permanent loss of forest vegetation due to the widening of the existing cleared pipeline route through the forest sections. Ensure that construction activities are limited to the pipeline route. Alternative methods such as above ground installation or manual labour for trenching for below ground pipeline may be used within the natural forest sections to avoid the need to widen the existing cleared pipeline route. If widening of the existing cleared pipeline route is required, consult with SANParks and DWAF (Mr Tabo Nokoya) to determine if and what permit applications are required. All relevant permits must be obtained before removal/trimming/destruction of any protected species takes place. When widening the route, every effort must be made to avoid protected species and species of special concern. This includes reducing clearance to 4 m, using manual labour and clearance route re-alignment in affected sections (See scenario illustrations and mitigations in Section 7.2). If possible, transplant any impacted trees. Sapling and seedlings especially must not be destroyed but rather removed and transplanted. The maximum total width that the existing cleared pipeline route may be widened to is 6 m. |

**BIODIVERSITY**

| DIRECT | Excessive damage to surrounding biodiversity due to unrestricted construction activities and vehicular movement within the Alexandria forest section. Construction activities must be restricted within the existing pipeline cleared route within the Alexandria forest. |
An ECO must be on site twice a week or more during construction in the Alexandria forest to monitor construction activities.

**DIRECT** Site, site camps, storage facilities and ablution facilities may impact on vulnerable Alexandria forest through inappropriate waste management (litter, sewage and hydrocarbon pollution) and potential break-away fires.

- Camps and ablution facilities are to be placed in currently impacted areas, at least 100 meters away from any forest area.
- An appropriate waste management programme must be implemented throughout the construction phase.
- Fires for cooking must be located within an enclosed, demarcated area.
- No fires will be allowed in the Alexandria forest.
- Fire-fighting equipment must be kept onsite in order to contain an accidental fire.

**SOIL EROSION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/INDIRECT</td>
<td>Soil erosion on steep slopes due to disturbance of highly erosive soils and poor rehabilitation. Ensure that construction sites are stabilised and soil is prevented from unnecessary exposure. Implement vegetation re-establishment as part of a detailed Rehabilitation Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Building unnecessary access roads may result in high level surface erosion of these tracks. Ensure that current road infrastructure is used to access remote areas of the pipeline layout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest section may affect protected trees. Avoid cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest where protected trees will be affected.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE</td>
<td>Non-compliance with the EMPR in this section of the forest could result in significant environmental degradation in terms of forest biodiversity, etc. An ECO MUST be on site a minimum of two days per week while construction occurs within the forest. The ECO will determine the extent to which bush is cleared and will clearly demarcate which plants/trees are to be avoided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALTERNATIVE 2 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS**

General impacts as above, including

**STREAM CROSSINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE</td>
<td>Inappropriate disturbance and modification to the streams during construction of upgraded stream crossings at various (3) locations may lead to significant changes to the hydrology of the stream systems. This could have negative consequences on the entire Boknes River system. Construction of any river crossings MUST comply with an EMPR approved by the Department of Water Affairs and by the Department of Environmental Affairs Use MUST be made of ecologically acceptable temporary diversion methods if necessary. Construction of stream crossings MUST be done under supervision of the ECO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALTERNATIVE 3 – PIPELINE ROUTE AS PER ALTERNATIVE 1, ABOVE GROUND PIPELINE THROUGH THE ALEXANDRIA FOREST SECTION**

General impacts as above, including

**PIPELINE ANCHORING**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/INDIRECT</td>
<td>Underlying soil structure may result in a need to anchor pipeline infrastructure using concrete pedestals. Batching of concrete within the forest section could result in contamination of the surrounding surface water environment. All concrete MUST be mixed on a suitably bunded temporary area. Contaminated water from concrete mixing should be collected and disposed of according to the instructions of the ECO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOIL EROSION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Soil erosion on steep slopes due to disturbance of highly erosive soils and poor rehabilitation. Ensure that construction sites are stabilised and soil is prevented from</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Taking the assessment of potential impacts into account, please provide an environmental impact statement that summarises the impact that the proposed activity and its alternatives may have on the environment after the management and mitigation of impacts have been taken into account, with
specific reference to types of impact, duration of impacts, likelihood of potential impacts actually occurring and the significance of impacts.
### Table 3.1: Issues and Impacts during the Planning and Design Phase: Pre- and post-mitigation assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Description</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Spatial</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POLICY COMPLIANCE</td>
<td>The route, and the pipeline itself, may not be consistent with relevant environmental policy and/or spatial guideline documents.</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>Localized</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Ensure that the development complies with relevant legislation and/or policy, e.g. EBCBP, Municipal By-laws, SDFs, etc.</td>
<td>SOMEBENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND OWNERSHIP AND SERVITUDE ISSUES</td>
<td>Pipeline construction will inconvenience landowners.</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>Localized</td>
<td>Definite</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>HIGH NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Ensure that prior to the start of construction, servitude agreements are in place and that the areas to be directly impacted are appropriately demarcated so that no undue impact is made on the surrounding environment.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>Public access to servitudes on private land could result in an increase in poaching of livestock/wildlife and associated criminal activities.</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>Localized</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Access to servitudes through private land should be adequately fenced and secured, e.g. padlocked gates. Construction staff should be monitored while on site.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCIO-ECONOMIC</td>
<td>A number of temporary unskilled jobs can be created during the construction phase of the project.</td>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Project Level</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Very Beneficial</td>
<td>HIGH POSITIVE</td>
<td>Ensure that this aspect is incorporated into any contracts compiled for construction contractors. Temporary jobs created should be earmarked for the local communities as far as possible.</td>
<td>HIGH POSITIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>Archaeological resources such as possible graves and shell middens have been identified along the proposed route of the pipeline. These could be inadvertently damaged or destroyed during construction.</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>The results of the Phase 1 Heritage and Palaeontological assessments have been submitted to SAHRA – ensure that the recommended management measures are incorporated into the final design and planning for the pipeline construction.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOLOGY</td>
<td>Installation of pipelines without taking into account the geology and soil structure along the proposed line may result in unnecessary soil erosion and/or damage to geological formations.</td>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Ensure that as far as possible, the new pipeline avoids areas of inappropriate geological or soil structure. The recommendations of the geotechnical specialist MUST be adhered to.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPELINE MATERIALS</td>
<td>The use of inappropriate corrodible material for the pipeline could result in leakages and resultant loss of the water resource.</td>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Ensure that high quality, SABS approved materials are used for all elements of infrastructure installation.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSIDERATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS</td>
<td>Lack of environmental consideration in the route planning may result in inappropriate placement of pipeline within sensitive systems along the length of route.</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>Project area</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Incorporation of the mitigation measures provided below will ensure proper route planning. Appoint an independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) for the duration of the construction to monitor construction activities. Micro-siting of the final pipeline layout must be approved by the ECO.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRAINAGE LINES</td>
<td>Impeded hydrological flow in tributaries and rivers where the pipeline intersects.</td>
<td>Medium-Long term</td>
<td>Project area</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Water bodies within 32 meters of the route must be demarcated and must not be accessible during construction. All trenches dug within 32 meters of any water body must be rehabilitated in full. No work camp or any other temporary construction infrastructure must be erected within 32 meters of any water body. Where necessary, water use licenses should be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs.</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEARANCE OF PIPELINE ROUTE (through Woody Cape)</td>
<td>Permanent loss of forest vegetation due to the widening of the existing cleared pipeline route</td>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Definite</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>HIGH NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Ensure that construction activities are limited to the pipeline route. Alternative methods such as above ground installation or manual</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.2: Issues and Impacts during the Construction Phase: Pre- and post-mitigation assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Description</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Spatial</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR POLLUTION</td>
<td>Dust (air) pollution caused by digging and levelling exposed land can cause a nuisance to neighbouring residential areas and businesses along the pipeline route.</td>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Cleared surfaces must be dampened whenever possible and especially in dry and windy conditions to avoid excessive dust generation.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOISE POLLUTION</td>
<td>Noise pollution caused during construction could potentially be a nuisance to neighbouring residential areas and businesses along the pipeline route.</td>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Construction activity close to farm houses, which includes the movement of construction vehicles, must be restricted to normal working hours (7:00am – 17:00pm).</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE STORAGE & USAGE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFECTS</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>LEVEL</th>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>SEVERITY</th>
<th>PROBABILITY</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous substances such as cement, tar/bitumen and diesel/oil all have the potential to contaminate the surrounding environment (soil, surface/groundwater, etc.) if not managed properly.</td>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Hazardous Chemical Substances Regulations promulgated in terms of the Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993 and the SABS Code of Practise must be adhered to. This applies to solvents and other chemicals possibly used in the construction process. Oil trays must be placed under parked machinery to avoid soil contamination.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete batching</td>
<td>• Concrete should not be mixed directly on the ground, or during rainfall events when the potential for transport to the stormwater system is the greatest (as per the EMP). • Concrete must be mixed only in the area demarcated for this purpose and on an impermeable substratum. • All areas affected during the Construction Phase should be rehabilitated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous chemical spills</td>
<td>• The individual responsible for or who discovers the spill must report the incident to the Project Coordinator, ECO and or Contractor as soon as reasonably possible. • The problem must be assessed and the necessary actions required will be undertaken. • The immediate response must be to contain the spill. • Depending on the nature and extent of the spill, contaminated soil must be either excavated or treated on-site. • The ECO must determine the precise method of treatment of polluted soil. • This could involve the application of soil absorbent materials or oil-digestive powders to the contaminated soil. • If a spill occurs on an impermeable surface such as cement or concrete, the surface spill must be contained using oil absorbent materials. • Contaminated remediation materials must be carefully removed from the area of the spill so as to prevent further release of petrochemicals to the environment, and stored in adequate containers until appropriate disposal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous chemical storage</td>
<td>• Staff that will be handling hazardous materials must be trained to do so. • All hazardous chemicals must be properly stored in a secure, bunded and contained area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WORKER HEALTH AND SAFETY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFFECTS</th>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>LEVEL</th>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>SEVERITY</th>
<th>PROBABILITY</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate attention to fire safety awareness and fire safety equipment could result in unsafe working environment and loss of property.</td>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Project Level</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Very Severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Fire fighting equipment should be present on site at all times as per Occupational Health and Safety Act. All construction foremen must be trained in fire hazard control and fire fighting techniques. All flammable substances must be stored in dry areas which do not pose an ignition risk to the said substances. No open fires will be allowed on site unless in a demarcated area identified by the ECO. No smoking near flammable substance. All cooking shall be done in demarcated areas that are safe in terms of runaway or uncontrolled fires. The Contractor shall have operational fire-fighting equipment available on site at all times. The level of fire fighting equipment must be assessed and evaluated thorough a typical risk assessment process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to provide adequate onsite sanitation and clean drinking water may result in runoff</td>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately Severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Adequate sanitary and ablutions facilities must be provided for construction workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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transferring contaminants into the surrounding environment.

- The facilities must be regularly serviced to reduce the risk of surface or groundwater pollution.
- Contaminated wastewater must be managed by the Contractor to ensure existing water resources on the site are not contaminated. All wastewater from general activities in the camp shall be collected and removed from the site for appropriate disposal at a licensed commercial facility.

**WASTE MANAGEMENT**

| DIRECT/ INDIRECT | Littering on site may attract vermin, detract from the visual appeal of the area, and pollute the surrounding areas. | Short Term | Localised | Possible | Slight | LOW NEGATIVE | Littering by the employees of the Contractor shall not be allowed under any circumstances. The ECO shall monitor the neatness of the work sites as well as the Contractor campsite. All waste must be removed from the site and transported to a licensed landfill site. | LOW NEGATIVE |

| DIRECT/ INDIRECT | Hazardous waste e.g. used oils, offcuts, etc., could pollute surface and groundwater resources if not properly contained. | Short Term | Localised | Possible | Moderately Severe | LOW NEGATIVE | All waste hazardous materials must be carefully stored as advised by the ECO, and then disposed of offsite at the licensed hazardous landfill site in Mt Frere. Contaminants must be stored safely to avoid spillage. Machinery must be properly maintained to keep oil leaks in check. | LOW NEGATIVE |

**SOCIO-ECONOMIC**

| DIRECT/ INDIRECT/ CUMULATIVE | Temporary job creation during the construction phase. | Short Term | Localised | Definite | Beneficial | SOME BENEFITS | If manual labour is used for the section of pipeline to be replaced through the Alexandria Forest, this benefit would increase in significance. | BENEFICIAL |

**RIVERS & STREAMS**

| DIRECT/ INDIRECT/ CUMULATIVE | Potential negative impacts (e.g. Bulldozers, rubble etc.) on the various rivers and streams at pipeline crossing. | Short term | Project level | definite | Moderately severe | MODERATE NEGATIVE | All construction rubble must be removed from all rivers and streams after completion of work. The river/stream must be returned to its natural state after construction. | LOW NEGATIVE |

**STORM WATER MANAGEMENT**

| DIRECT/ INDIRECT/ CUMULATIVE | Runoff of stormwater containing contaminants, silt, sand and litter may contaminate the surrounding environment. | Long Term | Localised | Probable | Severe | HIGH NEGATIVE | The site must be managed in a manner that prevents pollution of drains, downstream watercourses or groundwater, due to suspended solids, silt or chemical pollutants. Temporary cut-off drains and berms may be required to capture storm water and promote infiltration. The area must be monitored by an ECO on a regular basis as described in the EMPR. | LOW NEGATIVE |

**HERITAGE**

| DIRECT | The increase of heavy duty equipment would increase the potential for risks for heritage sites along the pipeline route. | Short term | Localised | Possible | Severe | MODERATE NEGATIVE | Any shell middens that are exposed during the course of construction, need to be reported to the heritage impact assessor, the ECO and SAHRA immediately. If any graves or remains are exposed during construction phase, then all work in that area needs to be stopped and SAHRA needs to be informed immediately. | LOW NEGATIVE |

**ALTERNATIVE 1 - BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FROM CANNON ROCKS TO ALEXANDRIA VIA ALEXANDRIA FOREST**

General impacts as above, including:

| REMOVAL OF TREES TO WIDEN SERVITUDE | PERMANENT LOSS OF FOREST VEGETATION DUE TO THE WIDENING OF THE EXISTING CLEARED PIPELINE ROUTE THROUGH THE FOREST SECTIONS. | Permanent | Localised | Definite | Severe | HIGH | Ensure that construction activities are limited to the pipeline route. Alternative methods such as above ground installation or manual labour for trenching for below ground pipeline may be used within the natural forest sections to avoid the need to widen the existing cleared pipeline route. If widening of the existing cleared pipeline route is required, consult with SANParks and DWAF (Mr Tabo Nokoya) to determine if and what permit applications are required. All relevant permits must be obtained before removal/trimming/ destruction of any protected species takes place. When widening the route, every effort must be made to avoid MODERATE |
protected species and species of special concern. This includes reducing clearance to 4 m, using manual labour and clearance route re-alignment in affected sections (See scenario illustrations and mitigations in Section 7.2).

- If possible, transplant any impacted trees. Sapling and seedlings especially must not be destroyed but rather removed and transplanted.
- The maximum total width that the existing cleared pipeline route may be widened to is 6 m.

## BIODIVERSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>Excessive damage to surrounding biodiversity due to unrestricted construction activities and vehicular movement within the Alexandria forest section.</td>
<td>Short-term</td>
<td>Localized</td>
<td>Definite</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Site, site camps, storage facilities and ablation facilities may impact on vulnerable Alexandria forest through inappropriate waste management (litter, sewage and hydrocarbon pollution) and potential break-away fires.</td>
<td>Short-term</td>
<td>Project level</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SOIL EROSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/ INDIRECT</td>
<td>Soil erosion on steep slopes due to disturbance of highly erosive soils and poor rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Medium-Long term</td>
<td>Project area</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Building unnecessary access roads may result in high level surface erosion of these tracks.</td>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>Project level</td>
<td>Probable</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest section may affect protected trees.</td>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/ INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE</td>
<td>Non-compliance with the EMPR in this section of the forest could result in significant environmental degradation in terms of forest biodiversity, etc.</td>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>Surrounding areas</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Highly severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ALTERNATIVE 2 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS

General impacts as above, including:

### STREAM CROSSINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT/ INDIRECT/CUMULATIVE</td>
<td>Inappropriate disturbance and modification to the streams during construction of upgraded stream crossings at various (3) locations may lead to significant changes to the hydrology of the stream systems. This could have negative consequences on the entire Boknes River system.</td>
<td>Medium term</td>
<td>Surrounding areas</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ALTERNATIVE 3 – PIPELINE ROUTE AS PER ALTERNATIVE 1, ABOVE GROUND PIPELINE THROUGH THE ALEXANDRIA FOREST SECTION

General impacts as above, including:

### PIPELINE ANCHORING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>Underlying soil structure may result in a need to anchor pipeline infrastructure using concrete pedestals. Batching of concrete within the forest section could result in contamination of the surrounding surface water environment.</td>
<td>Short term</td>
<td>Surrounding areas</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SOIL EROSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Type</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Soil erosion on steep slopes due to disturbance of highly erosive soils and poor rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Project area</td>
<td>Medium-Long term</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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• Implement vegetation re-establishment as part of a detailed Rehabilitation Plan.

• Avoid cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest where protected trees will be affected.

Table 3.3: Issues and Impacts during the Operation Phase:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Impact</th>
<th>Impact Description</th>
<th>Temporal</th>
<th>Spatial</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Severity</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAINTENANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRECT</td>
<td>Non-compliance to a pipeline maintenance schedule would result in the pipeline becoming overgrown and perhaps incurring damage.</td>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>Project level</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Regular inspection of the pipeline must take place to monitor its operational status.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIRECT</td>
<td>Disturbance of vegetation due to on-going utilisation of service roads could initiate erosion events.</td>
<td>Short-Term</td>
<td>Localised</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately Severe</td>
<td>HIGH NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Service roads should remain within the servitude as much as possible. Avoid creating permanent tracks. Monitor for erosion and rehabilitate if necessary.</td>
<td>MODERATE NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUMULATIVE</td>
<td>Non-compliance with a pipeline maintenance schedule could result in leaks going undetected.</td>
<td>Medium Term</td>
<td>Surrounding areas</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Moderately severe</td>
<td>HIGH NEGATIVE</td>
<td>Pipelines MUST be regularly monitored for leaks. If these are identified or reported by the public, immediate actions must be taken to remedy the situation.</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCIO-ECONOMIC</td>
<td>Availability of water will result in increased housing development and increased confidence in the tourism industry.</td>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Surrounding areas</td>
<td>Definite</td>
<td>Highly beneficial</td>
<td>BENEFICIAL</td>
<td>No mitigation provided</td>
<td>BENEFICIAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALTERNATIVE 4 – NO GO

WATER SUPPLY

Alexandria will continue to be water-scarce due to the current state of disrepair of the existing pipeline. This will continue to hamper formal growth of the urban node and slow eradication of the informal housing sector.

DIRECT/CUMULATIVE | Alexandria will continue to be water-scarce due to the current state of disrepair of the existing pipeline. This will continue to hamper formal growth of the urban node and slow eradication of the informal housing sector. | Long-Term | Surrounding Areas | Definite | Severe | VERY HIGH NEGATIVE | No mitigation | VERY HIGH NEGATIVE |

FOREST CLEARANCE

DIRECT | A further routing will not need to be cleared through the Woody Cape Nature Reserve. This will ensure the continued protection of the indigenous tree species surrounding the existing pipeline. | Long Term | Localised | Definite | Moderately beneficial | BENEFICIAL | No mitigation | BENEFICIAL |
SECTION E. RECOMMENDATIONS OF PRACTITIONER

Is the information contained in this report and the documentation attached here sufficient to make a decision in respect of the activity applied for (in the view of the environmental assessment practitioner)?

- YES
- NO

If “YES”, please list any recommended conditions, including mitigation measures that should be considered for inclusion in any authorisation that may be granted by the competent authority in respect of the application:

Is an EMP attached?

- YES
- NO

The EMP must be attached as Appendix F

SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Amatola Water has been appointed by the Department of Water Affairs (DWA), on behalf of Ndlambe Local Municipality to implement a regional bulk water supply scheme within Ndlambe Local Municipality. Amatola Water has contracted Coastal & Environmental Services (CES) as the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP).

The current BAR relates to the following activities:

- A) Replacing an existing pipeline between the WTW reservoirs in Alexandria and a beach well water abstraction point at “The Springs” near Cape Padrone, and
- B) Installing a new pipeline to join the existing pipeline (above) to the existing reverse osmosis WTW at Cannon Rocks.

The new pipeline will run from the existing RO water treatment plant (33°44′50.76″S; 26°31′52.10″E) across a private farm to join the existing dirt road, where it will run within the road reserve for approximately 10km to join up with the route of the existing pipeline.

- The existing pipeline from the pump station (33°45′23.70″S; 26°26′37.18″E) to Alexandria will be replaced. This is approximately 14km of pipeline, of which 4.5km traverses the Alexandria Forest.
- The existing pipeline route above the Alexandria forest does not follow existing roads, but traverses private farm land before reaching the existing Alexandria WTW reservoirs.

Historically, farmers along the route of the existing pipeline have enjoyed access to this water in emergency circumstances.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

The following alternatives were assessed as part of the Basic Assessment:

- Alternative 1: A below ground pipeline route alternative that runs from the existing Cannon Rocks RO plant to join up with existing infrastructure (that is to be replaced) that conveys bulk water to Alexandria via the Alexandria Forest.
- Alternative 2: A below ground pipeline route that follows the existing road reserve from Cannon Rocks to Alexandria, not through the Alexandria Forest.
- Alternative 3: A technology alternative which proposes the above ground installation of the pipeline through the Alexandria Forest.
- Alternative 4: No upgrade of the existing pipeline, i.e. the NO-GO alternative.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS (all impacts that are High pre-mitigation)
The proposed development will result in a number of impacts, both positive and negative, during the Planning and Design, Construction and Operation Phases (see table below). The phase with the highest number of impacts is the construction phase; however these impacts are not rated as significant. The following table provides a summary of the pre-mitigation impacts that were ranked as HIGH or above.

### ALTERNATIVE 1 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE THROUGH WOODY CAPE FOREST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Ownership and Servitude Issues</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline construction will inconvenience landowners</td>
<td>HIGH NEGATIVE</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-Economic</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A number of temporary unskilled jobs can be created during the construction phase of the project</td>
<td>HIGH POSITIVE</td>
<td>HIGH POSITIVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storm Water Management</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runoff of stormwater containing contaminants, silt, sand and litter may contaminate the surrounding environment</td>
<td>HIGH NEGATIVE</td>
<td>LOW NEGATIVE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ALTERNATIVE 2 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS

As above, including:

### River Crossings

The road crosses numerous streams using low-level crossings. Attaching the pipeline to these crossings would expose the pipeline to possible damage during flood events. This could result in interruption of water supply to Alexandria.

### ALTERNATIVE 1 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE THROUGH WOODY CAPE FOREST NATURE RESERVE

General impacts as above, including:

### Removal of Trees to Widen Servitude

Semi-permanent loss of forest vegetation due to the widening of the existing 4 m pipeline servitude through the Alexandria forest.

### Biodiversity

Site, site camps, storage facilities and ablution facilities may impact on vulnerable Alexandria forest through inappropriate waste management (litter, sewage and hydrocarbon pollution) and potential break-away fires.

### Soil Erosion

Soil erosion on steep slopes due to disturbance of highly erosive soils and poor rehabilitation.

### Cut and Fill of Soil on Steep Slopes within the Alexandria forest section may affect protected trees.
### COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPr

| Non-compliance with the EMPr in this section of the forest could result in significant environmental degradation in terms of forest biodiversity, etc. | HIGH NEGATIVE | MODERATE NEGATIVE |

### ALTERNATIVE 2 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS

| General impacts as above, including STREAM CROSSINGS | HIGH NEGATIVE | MODERATE NEGATIVE |
| Inappropriate disturbance and modification to the streams during construction of upgraded stream crossings at various (3) locations may lead to significant changes to the hydrology of the stream systems. This could have negative consequences on the entire Boknes River system. | |

### ALTERNATIVE 3 – PIPELINE ABOVE GROUND THROUGH THE WOODY CAPE SECTION

| General impacts as above, including SOIL EROSION | HIGH NEGATIVE | MODERATE NEGATIVE |
| Soil erosion on steep slopes due to disturbance of highly erosive soils and poor rehabilitation. | |
| Cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest section may affect protected trees. | HIGH NEGATIVE | LOW NEGATIVE |

### COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPr

| Non-compliance with the EMPr in this section of the forest could result in significant environmental degradation in terms of forest biodiversity, etc. | HIGH NEGATIVE | MODERATE NEGATIVE |

### OPERATIONAL PHASE

| MAINTENANCE | PRE | POST |
| Disturbance of vegetation due to on-going utilisation of service roads could initiate erosion events. | HIGH NEGATIVE | MODERATE NEGATIVE |
| Non-compliance with a pipeline maintenance schedule could result in leaks going undetected. | HIGH NEGATIVE | LOW NEGATIVE |

### SOCIO-ECONOMIC

| Availability of water will result in increased housing development and increased confidence in the tourism industry. | BENEFICIAL | BENEFICIAL |

### NO GO

| WATER SUPPLY | PRE | POST |
| Alexandria will continue to be water-scarce due to the current state of disrepair of the existing pipeline. This will continue to hamper formal growth of the urban node and slow eradication of the informal housing sector. | VERY HIGH NEGATIVE | VERY HIGH NEGATIVE |

### FOREST CLEARANCE

| A further servitude will not need to be cleared through the Woody Cape Nature Reserve. This will ensure the continued protection of the indigenous tree species surrounding the existing pipeline. | BENEFICIAL | BENEFICIAL |

Summary of impact assessment significance, pre- and post-mitigation
## OPINION OF THE EAP

Coastal and Environmental Services (the EAP) hereby provides the following opinion concerning the proposed pipeline between Alexandria and Cannon Rocks.

### Alternatives

As can be seen from the analysis of impacts (table above) no alternative is significantly less desirable than any other. It is the opinion of CES, based on the above analysis, that:

- The route identified as Alternative 1 be authorised.
- The greater portion of the pipeline will be built within existing road servitude. This limits the possible delays due to servitude negotiations with landowners.
- HOWEVER, the pipeline could cause significant disturbance within the Woody Cape Nature Reserve. Here it is the opinion of CES that if technically feasible, the pipeline...
should be laid above ground (as in Alternative 3). This alternative should allow the new pipeline to be constructed within the existing servitude.

- If the above ground option is not feasible, the following scenarios and mitigation measures must be implemented during the widening of the existing 4 m cleared pipeline route in the forest areas:

**Scenario 1**

- Sections containing protected trees on one side of the existing 4 m cleared pipeline route must be expanded in the opposite direction to avoid impacting the tree/s.
- No trenches or stored topsoil must be placed within 2 m of any protected tree/s.
- These 2 m buffers must be clearly marked with tape and completely removed afterwards.
- Trimming of any protected trees will only be allowed if a legal trimming permit was obtained.
- Manual labour can also be used.

**Scenario 2**

- Sections containing protected trees on both sides of the existing cleared pipeline route must be kept at the existing width of 4 m to avoid damaging protected trees.
- Manual labour or alternative methods must be used in these sections.
- No trenches or stored topsoil must be placed within 2 m of any protected tree.
- These 2 m buffers must be clearly marked with candy tape and completely removed afterwards.
- Trimming of these trees will only be allowed if a legal trimming permit was obtained.

It is the opinion of Coastal and Environmental Service that NO FATAL FLAWS are associated with the proposed pipeline and that all impacts can be adequately mitigated to reduce the risk or significance of impacts to an acceptable level.

**Information**

It is the opinion of CES that this Basic Assessment Report contains sufficient information to allow DEA to make an informed decision. CES therefore recommends that the application for Authorisation should be approved on condition that the recommended mitigation measures stated herein are effectively implemented.
## MITIGATION MEASURES

### PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE

#### ALTERNATIVE 1 – BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FROM CANNON ROCKS TO ALEXANDRIA VIA ALEXANDRIA FOREST

### POLICY COMPLIANCE
- Ensure that the development complies with relevant legislation and/or policy, e.g. ECBCP, Municipal By-laws, SDFs, etc.

### LAND OWNERSHIP AND SERVITUDE ISSUES
- Ensure that prior to the start of construction, servitude agreements are in place and that the areas to be directly impacted are appropriately demarcated so that no undue impact is made on the surrounding environment.
- Public access to servitudes on private land could result in an increase in the poaching of livestock/wildlife and associated criminal activities.
- Access to servitudes through private land should be adequately fenced and secured, e.g. padlocked gates.
- Construction staff should be monitored while on site.

### SOCIO-ECONOMIC
- Ensure that this aspect is incorporated into any contracts compiled for construction contractors. Temporary jobs created should be earmarked for the local communities as far as possible.

### HERITAGE
- The results of the Phase 1 Heritage and Palaeontological assessments have been submitted to SAHRA – ensure that the recommended management measures are incorporated into the final design and planning for the pipeline construction.

### GEOLOGY
- Ensure that as far as possible, the new pipeline avoids areas of inappropriate geological or soil structure.
- The recommendations of the geotechnical specialist MUST be adhered to.

### PIPELINE MATERIALS
- Ensure that high quality, SABS approved materials are used for all elements of infrastructure installation.

### CONSIDERATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS
- Incorporation of the mitigation measures provided below will ensure proper route planning.
- Appoint an independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) for the duration of the construction to monitor construction activities.
- Micro-siting of the final pipeline layout must be approved by the ECO.

### DRAINAGE LINES
- Water bodies within 32 meters of the route must be demarcated and must not be accessible during construction.
- All trenches dug within 32 meters of any water body must be rehabilitated in full.
- No work camp or any other temporary construction infrastructure must be erected within 32 meters of any water body.
Where necessary, water use licenses should be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs.

CLEARANCE OF PIPELINE ROUTE (through Woody Cape)

- Ensure that construction activities are limited to the pipeline route.
- Alternative methods such as above ground installation or manual labour for trenching for below ground pipeline may be used within the natural forest sections to avoid the need to widen the existing cleared pipeline route.
- If widening of the existing cleared pipeline route is required, consult with SANParks and DWAF (Mr Tabo Nokoja) to determine if and what permit applications are required.
- All relevant permits must be obtained before removal/trimming/destruction of any protected species takes place.
- When widening the route, every effort must be made to avoid protected species and species of special concern. This includes reducing clearance to 4 m, using manual labour and clearance route re-alignment in affected sections (See scenario illustrations and mitigations in Section 7.2).
- If possible, transplant any impacted trees. Sapling and seedlings especially must not be destroyed but rather removed and transplanted.
- The maximum total width that the existing cleared pipeline route may be widened to is 6 m.

ALTERNATIVE 2 – BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS, NO SECTION THROUGH ALEXANDRIA FOREST

As above, excluding pipeline route through Alexandria Forest, but including:

RIVER CROSSINGS

- Suitably qualified engineers must design pipeline crossings that will ensure that the pipeline is not at risk during flood events. This may require that the road crossing is upgraded. Water Use Licences will need to be obtained from the Department of Water Affairs for modifying the bed/banks of a watercourse.

TRAFFIC

- Suitable plans must be drawn up in conjunction with the relevant municipal department to provide temporary traffic diversion routes, etc. for the duration of construction.

ALTERNATIVE 3 – PIPELINE ROUTE AS PER ALTERNATIVE 1, ABOVE GROUND PIPELINE THROUGH THE ALEXANDRIA FOREST SECTION

SERVITUDE SURVEY

- The proposed clearance will need to be surveyed and mapped.
- Bush that needs to be cleared before construction must be clearly demarcated to prevent unnecessary destruction of vegetation.

CONSTRUCTION PHASE

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS RELATED TO PIPELINES

AIR POLLUTION

- Cleared surfaces must be dampened whenever possible and especially in dry and windy conditions to avoid excessive dust generation.
- Any soil excavated, and not utilised for rehabilitation, must be removed from site or covered and no large mounds of soil should be left behind after construction.
NOISE POLLUTION

- Construction activity close to farm houses, which includes the movement of construction vehicles, must be restricted to normal working hours (7:00am – 17:00pm).

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE STORAGE & USAGE

- Hazardous Chemical Substances Regulations promulgated in terms of the Occupational Health and Safety Act 85 of 1993 and the SABS Code of Practise must be adhered to. This applies to solvents and other chemicals possibly used in the construction process.
- Oil trays must be placed under parked machinery to avoid soil contamination.

CONCRETE BATCHING

- Concrete should not be mixed directly on the ground, or during rainfall events when the potential for transport to the stormwater system is the greatest (as per the EMP).
- Concrete must be mixed only in the area demarcated for this purpose and on an impermeable substratum.
- All areas affected during the Construction Phase should be rehabilitated.

HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL SPILLS

- The individual responsible for or who discovers the spill must report the incident to the Project Coordinator, ECO and or Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.
- The immediate response must be to contain the spill.
- Depending on the nature and extent of the spill, contaminated soil must be either excavated or treated on-site.
- The ECO must determine the precise method of treatment of polluted soil.
- This could involve the application of soil absorbent materials or oil-digestive powders to the contaminated soil.
- If a spill occurs on an impermeable surface such as cement or concrete, the surface spill must be contained using oil absorbent materials.
- Contaminated remediation materials must be carefully removed from the area of the spill so as to prevent further release of petrochemicals to the environment, and stored in adequate containers until appropriate disposal.

HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL STORAGE

- Staff that will be handling hazardous materials must be trained to do so.
- All hazardous chemicals must be properly stored in a secure, bunded and contained area.

WORKER HEALTH AND SAFETY

- Fire fighting equipment should be present on site at all times as per Occupational Health and Safety Act.
- All construction foremen must be trained in fire hazard control and fire fighting techniques.
- All flammable substances must be stored in dry areas which do not pose an ignition risk to the said substances.
- No open fires will be allowed on site unless in a demarcated area identified by the ECO.
- No smoking near flammable substance.
- All cooking shall be done in demarcated areas that are safe in terms of runaway or uncontrolled fires.
- The Contractor shall have operational fire-fighting equipment available on site at all times. The level of fire fighting equipment must be assessed and evaluated thorough a typical risk assessment process.
- Failure to provide adequate onsite sanitation and clean drinking water may result in runoff transferring contaminants into the surrounding environment.
- Adequate sanitary and ablutions facilities must be provided for construction workers.
- The facilities must be regularly serviced to reduce the risk of surface or groundwater pollution.
- Contaminated wastewater must be managed by the Contractor to ensure existing water resources on the site are not contaminated. All wastewater from general activities in the camp shall be collected and removed from the site for appropriate disposal at a licensed facility.
commercial facility.

WASTE MANAGEMENT

- Littering by the employees of the Contractor shall not be allowed under any circumstances. The ECO shall monitor the neatness of the work sites as well as the Contractor campsite.
- All waste must be removed from the site and transported to a licensed landfill site.
- Hazardous waste e.g. used oils, offcuts, etc., could pollute surface and groundwater resources if not properly contained.
- All waste hazardous materials must be carefully stored as advised by the ECO, and then disposed of offsite at the licensed hazardous landfill site in Mt Frere.
- Contaminants must be stored safely to avoid spillage
- Machinery must be properly maintained to keep oil leaks in check.

SOCIO-ECONOMIC

- If manual labour is used for the section of pipeline to be replaced through the Alexandria Forest, this benefit would increase in significance.

RIVERS & STREAMS

- All construction rubble must be removed from all rivers and streams after completion of work.
- The river/stream must be returned to its natural state after construction.

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

- The site must be managed in a manner that prevents pollution of drains, downstream watercourses or groundwater, due to suspended solids, silt or chemical pollutants.
- Temporary cut-off drains and berms may be required to capture storm water and promote infiltration.
- The area must be monitored by an ECO on a regular basis as described in the EMPr.

HERITAGE

- Any shell middens that are exposed during the course of construction, need to be reported to the heritage Impact assessor, the ECO and SAHRA immediately.
- If any graves or remains are exposed during construction phase, then all work in that area needs to be stopped and SAHRA needs to be informed immediately.

ALTERNATIVE 1 - BELOW GROUND ROUTE ALTERNATIVE FROM CANNON ROCKS TO ALEXANDRIA VIA ALEXANDRIA FOREST

General impacts as above, including:

REMOVAL OF TREES TO WIDEN SERVITUDE

- Ensure that construction activities are limited to the pipeline route.
- Alternative methods such as above ground installation or manual labour for trenching for below ground pipeline may be used within the natural forest sections to avoid the need to widen the existing cleared pipeline route.
- If widening of the existing cleared pipeline route is required, consult with SANParks and DWAF (Mr Tabo Nokoya) to determine if and what permit applications are required.
- All relevant permits must be obtained before removal/trimming/destruction of any protected species takes place.
- When widening the route, every effort must be made to avoid protected species and species of special concern. This includes reducing clearance to 4 m, using manual labour and clearance route re-alignment in affected sections (See scenario illustrations and mitigations in Section 7.2).
- If possible, transplant any impacted trees. Saplings and seedlings especially must not be destroyed but rather removed and transplanted.
The maximum total width that the existing cleared pipeline route may be widened to is 6 m.

BIODIVERSITY
- Construction activities must be restricted within the existing pipeline cleared route within the Alexandria forest.
- An ECO must be on site twice a week or more during construction in the Alexandria forest to monitor construction activities.
- Site, site camps, storage facilities and ablution facilities may impact on vulnerable Alexandria forest through inappropriate waste management (litter, sewage and hydrocarbon pollution) and potential break-away fires.
- Camps and ablution facilities are to be placed in currently impacted areas, at least 100 meters away from any forest area.
- An appropriate waste management programme must be implemented throughout the construction phase.
- Fires for cooking must be located within an enclosed, demarcated area.
- No fires will be allowed in the Alexandria forest.
- Fire-fighting equipment must be kept onsite in order to contain an accidental fire.

SOIL EROSION
- Ensure that construction sites are stabilised and soil is prevented from unnecessary exposure.
- Implement vegetation re-establishment as part of a detailed Rehabilitation Plan.
- Building unnecessary access roads may result in high level surface erosion of these tracks.
- Ensure that current road infrastructure is used to access remote areas of the pipeline layout.
- Cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest section may affect protected trees.
- Avoid cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest where protected trees will be affected.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPr
- An ECO MUST be on site a minimum of two days per week while construction occurs within the forest.
- The ECO will determine the extent to which bush is cleared and will clearly demarcate which plants/trees are to be avoided.

ALTERNATIVE 2 – ROUTE ALTERNATIVE ALONG EXISTING ROADS
General impacts as above, including

STREAM CROSSINGS
- Construction of any river crossings MUST comply with an EMPr approved by the Department of Water Affairs and by the Department of Environmental Affairs.
- Use MUST be made of ecologically acceptable temporary diversion methods if necessary.
- Construction of stream crossings MUST be done under supervision of the ECO.

ALTERNATIVE 3 – PIPELINE ROUTE AS PER ALTERNATIVE 1, ABOVE GROUND PIPELINE THROUGH THE ALEXANDRIA FOREST SECTION
General impacts as above, including

PIPELINE ANCHORING
- All concrete MUST be mixed on a suitably bunded temporary area.
- Contaminated water from concrete mixing should be collected and disposed of according to the instructions of the ECO.
SOIL EROSION

- Ensure that construction sites are stabilised and soil is prevented from unnecessary exposure.
- Implement vegetation re-establishment as part of a detailed Rehabilitation Plan.
- Cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest section may affect protected trees.
- Avoid cut and fill of soil on steep slopes within the Alexandria forest where protected trees will be affected.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE EMPr

- An ECO MUST be on site a minimum of two days per week while construction occurs within the forest.
- The ECO will determine the extent to which bush is cleared and will clearly demarcate which plants/trees are to be avoided.

OPERATIONAL PHASE

MAINTENANCE

- Regular inspection of the pipeline must take place to monitor its operational status.
- Disturbance of vegetation due to on-going utilisation of service roads could initiate erosion events.
- Service roads should remain within the servitude as much as possible. Avoid creating permanent tracks. Monitor for erosion and rehabilitate if necessary.
- Pipelines MUST be regularly monitored for leaks. If these are identified or reported by the public, immediate actions must be taken to remedy the situation.
SECTION F: APPENDICES

The following appendixes must be attached as appropriate:

Appendix A: Site plan(s)
Appendix B: Photographs
Appendix C: Facility illustration(s)
Appendix D: Specialist reports
Appendix E: Comments and responses report
Appendix F: Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)
Appendix G: Other information – PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DOCUMENTATION